



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_19018
Title of the Manuscript:	Static loading test and assessment of cable-stayed bridge which Specialized for rail transit
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. References are very little (3 references). Please add more references. 2. Caijia Jialing river bridge (capital letter for c and j). This comment applies for the whole text. 3. Please improve the English usage. It is suggested for the authors to send the paper for the professional English proof-reader before resubmitting this paper. 4. Meansurement of the initial... did you mean measurement? 5. Please use the word "Figure" in the text (capital letter for f), for example "as shown in Figure 2." This comment applies for the whole text. 6. It would be nice if the authors can start this paper with Introduction section first, before discussing on the bridge profile and testing purposes in greater details. 7. Please do not start a new sentence with "so". Please revise accordingly. 8. It is suggested to use the word "4. Conclusion" instead of 4. Epilogue.	1. Eight references were added 2. The capital letter of <i>caijia jialing</i> has been changed in the whole text. 3. I have revised the whole text carefully for once. 4. Yes . 5. The <i>fig.</i> has been changed with <i>figure</i> in the whole text. 6. This paper has started with <i>Introduction</i> section first already. 7. I have revised about it in the whole text. 8. I have changed <i>Epilogue</i> with <i>Conclusion</i>.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		