



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_19018
Title of the Manuscript:	Static loading test and assessment of cable-stayed bridge which Specialized for rail transit
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Whether it is a case study or review paper? 2. Clarification to the above point is required. 3. The information given is whether the result of the author or others? 4. References are not proper. It should be properly updated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript seems to be a review paper and the editor should ensure with the author. Since the author has mentioned as case study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>K Nirmalkumar</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Civil Engineering, Kongu Engineering College, Tamilnadu, India</i>