



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_11858
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy Metals Contents in soils and Some Crops Irrigated along the Bindare Stream Zaria- Kaduna State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are needs for through check for typographical errors. Give values of the Geoaccumulation indices in the abstract. Line 27: Change to Pollutants are the causes of alterations in water quality in streams and rivers,.... Line 36-37: delete the sentence highlighted Line 38: Replace with accumulate Line 60-64: What types of waste or pollutant do we have from these industries? Line 76: Were the seeds kept for 15 years? No Line 78 : metres done Line 80: kilometres done Line 94-97: Which method? The two methods were all used separately; one for the crops and the other for the soil . Line 99-101: Which method did you use for your digestion? Line 175 : Is bean a vegetable? No ! Crop has been used in place of vegetable Line 197: You did not make reference to this table in the body of the work. Reference has been made see	See line 27 written in Green Done Done Waste generated by these industries include heavy metals, pesticides, dye, used containers, caustic soda, spent solvent, drugs ,brake fluid and electric wires. See line 64 – 64 written in green



SDI Review Form 1.6

	line 155 in red	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author need to make correction as highlighted in the review.	