SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	**2013_ACSj_4957**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Fe0.2Al1.8Zn1O4 Composite: An Efficient Catalyst for the Synthesis of 1, 4-Dihydropyridine Derivatives**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results and discussion section is not complete and you must present other data in this synthesis, and you did not accompany other catalysts with $Fe_{0.2}Al_{1.8}Zn_1O_4$ Composite. Also, you have to consider other catalysts, its quality, yields and useful data in this synthesis. And to compare other catalysts with $Fe_{0.2}Al_{1.8}Zn_1O_4$ Composite and you did not present any physical chemistry data of $Fe_{0.2}Al_{1.8}Zn_1O_4$ Composite, you have to present in your manuscript some data of this catalyst. There are not data of apparatus in experimental section, too. We did not receive any data of this catalyst about its reusability. The results and discussion section is very weak and this section must be complete with catalyst data and to compare other catalysts with $Fe_{0.2}Al_{1.8}Zn_1O_4$ Composite and must	

	present spectal data for all compounds, ^{1}H NMR,MAS, IR, ^{13}C NMR,Elemtal Analytical. Therefore, I reject this manuscript and with this situation, I do not recommend it for publication in American Chemical Science Journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is not novel, because the Synthesis of 1, 4-Dihydropyridine Derivatives is normal and there are some methods for its synthesis and other similar papers about this synthesis, too. Therefor, I reject this manuscript and with this situation, I do not recommend it for publication in American Chemical Science Journal. This manuscript need a series serious revision and changes in the results and discussion,.After serious revision, and complete changes can consider for new review, again.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer