



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_9208
Title of the Manuscript:	Biosorption of synthetic dyes on spruce wood shavings from binary solutions: a comparison of equilibrium models
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a good work. The overall presentation is good. The main comments are listed below: 1) Authors should highlight in the last paragraph of Introduction section the novelty of their work compared to literature. 2) Authors should cite in lines 56-57 some references for wastes of coffee as dye sorbents [Materials 5 (2012) 2069-2087; Chem Eng J 189-190 (2012) 148-159].	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer