



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_ACSj_16268
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytoremediation Potentials of Common Nigerian weeds for the purpose of cleaning up a Lead-zinc Derelict Mine
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Comments are in the manuscript	I have taking time to study all the comments and every comment made by the reviewer has been considered and applied appropriately 1. The botanical name of sunflower has been changed from <i>Helianthus tuberosus</i> to <i>Helianthus annus</i> as suggested by Reviewer 3 However all these plants were authenticated in Applied biology... line 7 2. "Also" was added to give meaning to the sentence as suggested by Reviewer 3 3. The statement has been modified 4. Finding was used to replace Finding ... line 108
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		