



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_21662
Title of the Manuscript:	DIELECTRIC STUDY OF PURE PROPAN-1-OL AND PROPAN-2-OL USING DEBYE RELAXATION METHOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In line 57 and line 58, previous paper reference should be cited in the text. 2. Graphs can be concised in this way: a) one graph for di-electric constants only at different temperatures making the y-axis resolution higher vs frequency. b) For Loss factor only at different temperatures making the y-axis resolution higher vs frequency. 3. line 220 /221, if some equations can be incorporated to make it much more justified, author should try it. 4. same as (3) is suggested for line no. 224/225 also	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Centigrade 'c' should be replaced by 'C'. 2. Line 82 : 'different' should be replaced by 'difference'. 3. In line 220, give a space between 'to' and 'the'. 4. Some minor syntax error is there. Author should go though it carefully.	
Optional/General comments	The effort is good. Authors are suggested to incorporate much more justification behind the fact	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunipa Roy
Department, University & Country	Guru Nanak Institute of Technology, Kolkata, India