



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_21692
Title of the Manuscript:	Removal of High-Concentration Fe(III) by Oxidized Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes in a Fixed Bed Column
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Grammar is not ok	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The concept and novelty are ok	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Oupa Ntwampe</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Chemical and Minerals Engineering, University of North West, South Africa</i>