



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2015_ACSj_16268
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytoremediation Potentials of Common Nigerian weeds for the purpose of cleaning up a Lead-zinc Derelict Mine
Type of the Article	Original research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	improve the discussion with other species of heavy metal accumulating plants improve some tables	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 13 – Nickel - nickel Line 38 – missed reference (Ademoroti, 1996), the same in the line 67 Change levels to concentration(s)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Brazil