



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11317
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of solar radiation intensity on temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction in Lubigi <i>Cyperus papyrus</i> L. wetland surface
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Paper studies the effect of photo active synthetically active component of radiation (PAR) on other meteorological parameters for Lubigi <i>Cyperus papyrus</i> L. wetland surface the study is relevant .</p> <p>1.Paper requires to be revised including abstract which is not reflecting clearly the work presented. It needs to contain important analysis results also.</p> <p>2.Langauge of paper requires improvement,</p> <p>3.Title of paper requires to be changed by replacing solar radiation by photo active synthetically active component of radiation (PAR)</p> <p>4. Key words need to include PAR in full form also.</p> <p>5.references in text require properly written in text like Line 28,31,40,155</p> <p>Require to quote authors names or rephrasing of statements</p> <p>Like it is written [6] argued that ...</p> <p>[8]said...reported by [13] [14]...</p> <p>6.Reference no.13 is not complete</p> <p>7. Study require to identify further follow up research area</p> <p>8. Authors have analysed the data for period 2010-11 period. The results of study needs to be include further analysis if data recorded is available. This will improve the manuscript.</p>	<p>Abstract has been revised</p> <p>Improvement in the language has been done.</p> <p>PAR has been included in the title and the key words.</p> <p>This has been done accordingly in the introduction and discussion</p> <p>Ref no.13 has been completed</p> <p>There was a breakdown in the system for recording data that is why the flow of data does not cover the whole period of study.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		