



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11371
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spectrum Auctions in Turkey: Recent Developments and a Critical Assessment</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;Number of keywords are too less, (Telecommunication, Mobile penetration may be used)</li> <li>&gt;References should be used in standard format.</li> <li>&gt; Figure-1 must be mentioned in the Text and also more explanations are necessary with authentic sources of data.</li> <li>&gt;In line 219-232, Table-1 and Table-2 must be mentioned in the text. It is better to give some details about source of BTK.</li> <li>&gt;Figure-2 must be mentioned in the Text with proper explanations.</li> <li>&gt;Conclusions are too long. It must be concise.</li> </ul>	<p>Number of keywords are increased.</p> <p>References are included and numbered in the text accordingly in the standard format</p> <p>Figure 1 is mentioned in the text and explanation about the data source is included.</p> <p>Table-1 and Table-2 are mentioned in the text, data source is explained.</p> <p>Figure-2 is mentioned in the text.</p> <p>The conclusion section is shortened.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>&gt;In abstract, more lines are necessary to clarify the present research. A model has been developed but there have no words about benefits of the model for a country.</li> <li>&gt;In introduction, it should be introduced the present research works with other topics related to this research. So introduction must be revised.</li> <li>&gt;In line 97-98: It is better to use 'National Mobile Market Structure in Turkish' as a heading.</li> <li>&gt;In line 252-272: It is better to write few words in favour of putting Ref. [4], [14, 3], [21] so that any reader can easily understand about the justification of these references.</li> <li>&gt;It is better to add some recent references in the paper. Maximum References are old.</li> </ul>	<p>The abstract is enhanced with evaluation of the proposed model.</p> <p>The introduction is shortened based on the comments of reviewers.</p> <p>The heading is replaced accordingly.</p> <p>Explanations in favour of listed references are added to some extent.</p> <p>Some recent references are added.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>It is observed in many places in the text that past time period is used in the present sentences. So grammatical corrections in many lines are necessary.</p> <p>If there any competing interest, please clarify.</p>	<p>Grammatical corrections are made.</p>