



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11388
Title of the Manuscript:	Crack-growth on canvas paintings during transport simulation monitored with digital holographic speckle interferometry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The discussion text and the conclusion text must be presented as different items. The conclusions item must present just the carried out recommendations and not the analyses of graphic (this should be part of the discussion item)</p> <p>The List of figure captions and the List of table captions, are not usually included in a paper. Please check the template of the journal.</p>	<p>Ok items have been separated as 3.3 for post-data discussion and 4 for conclusions.</p> <p>Ok List of figure and table captions has been removed and will be submitted as ANNEX.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The text describes an interesting work concerning the application of techniques to evaluate the damages originated in canvas when transporting it.</p> <p>The testes are correct and diverse. The conclusions and discussion of results are useful to be considered by professional of the artwork field.</p>	