



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11675
Title of the Manuscript:	Feeding habits of the African carp <i>Labeobarbus batesii</i> (Pisces: Cyprinidae) from the Mbô Floodplain Rivers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author has completely re-write the results section in order to convey the outcome of the research meaningfully.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Spelling of analysis in line 21 should be corrected. In line 28-30, the research did not include breeding and its culture medium. These cannot be in conclusion. Line 44-45 should be reviewed. Line 95, delete the word by. Line 102, rG is not in the equation.	Line 21, methods and results: the presence of rG is an error. Methods, results and conclusion are revised
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		