



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11790
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF FOUR CONTRASTING SOILS UNDER DIFFERENT LAND USE SYSTEM</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>
comments	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The paper is well written except results however the research is not novel at all. References are too old. There is a lot of room for statistical analysis. Mean comparison was done by LSD but values (?) were not described. I suggest to explain and label these values properly and present comparison by letters ( I think it should be P value).The paper can be accepted after few corrections.</b></p>	<p>Well the research is novel based on the area it was conducted and why the research was embarked on. Where the research was conducted, farmers adopt fallow systems as soil management strategy for improving productivity, but the fallow period does not exceed maximum of 3 years. So the results of the research portray that the age of fallow is very important for fertility restoration in agricultural activities, the farmers in the study area are transiting from long fallow period to short fallow period due to population increase and there is a need for research in this direction.</p> <p>The references cited are not too old as I have much references cited from 2000 to 2010.</p> <p>Fisher's least significant difference (FLSD) was used to compare the Means of eight treatments for each parameter and if the differences between two (2) mean values are greater than the LSD value those two means are considered statistically different.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		