



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12320
Title of the Manuscript:	COPD: immunopathogenesis and immunological markers
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. Line 239, suggested that there were novel biomarkers which were capable of improving diagnosis and monitor response, but these were not highlighted.</p> <p>2. The drawbacks/limitations of the MicroRNAs were not adequately and clearly stated.</p> <p>3. The numbering of the various sections are not consistent. Hence there should have been a section 6 before a section 7. However, section 6 was totally omitted.</p> <p>4. The language style is in need of revision and there are many grammatical errors.</p>	<p>1. Included as requested Inclusions have been highlighted in yellow</p> <p>2. Included as requested Inclusions have been highlighted in yellow</p> <p>3. Edited as requested</p> <p>4. Edited as requested</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>1. The study was very comprehensive and has the potential of adding to knowledge, however has some short-comings. These have been highlighted above.</p>	