



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13016
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE OF NATURAL ICE NUCLEI TO DEPOSITED SILVER IODIDE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This paper reports the results of “RESPONSE OF NATURAL ICE NUCLEI TO DEPOSITED SILVER IODIDE”. It is noticed that the work is of interest and rigorous. The results and discussion section is very detailed and informative. I must recommend this paper for publication in your esteemed journal. Few comments are below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) English needs significant reworking as some sections are difficult to understand. 2) The resolution of the figures is poor and should be enhanced. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. English: Unfortunately the reviewer did not indicate the sections that need re-working. Certainly, the analysis of cloud seeding experiments involves some difficult concepts, but these have been expressed in the terms usually used and I cannot see how to improve the wording. 2. Figures 3, 4 and 5 have been re-drawn and a mistake in the text of figure 6 rectified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		