



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13438
Title of the Manuscript:	A study to examine the correlation between nutritional status with bone health of young adult college students of two different communities (Tribal and non-Tribal) by anthropometric measures and urinary indices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>- Abstract and line 394-396: Considering the number of data obtained, the conclusion must be based on the research results instead of "<i>studies with larger sample size are needed....</i>"</p> <p>-More information about the population are needed like life style and contact with the sunlight for giving support to Results and Discussion.</p> <p>- The Authors should improve discussion of the data for Table 1.</p>	<p>We do appreciate your comment. Necessary changes have been made and highlighted at specific sites.</p> <p>As suggested, in Material and Methods, under Sub-Section 2.1 Subjects, additional information is given in highlighted lines 76-85.</p> <p>Your suggestion is appreciated and expanded to get better in highlighted lines 232-237.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>- All the abbreviations must be identified on the beginning of the text.</p> <p>- It is necessary to standardize the terminology using "gender" instead of "sex"</p> <p>- Line 151: change ml - mL</p> <p>-Page 292: We suggest that the title of the Table 3 could begin with "<i>Urinary excretion...</i>" (take away the term "24 hour")</p> <p>- All the parameters analysed must be in mmol/24h instead of mmol/24h.</p> <p>If there any ethical issue, please clarify.</p>	<p>Checked all the abbreviations.</p> <p>It has been standardized to gender only.</p> <p>Changed accordingly and highlighted.</p> <p>Changed accordingly and highlighted.</p> <p>Changed accordingly and highlighted.</p> <p>Ethical approval of this study has already been reported in highlighted lines 105-106 and at the end of the manuscript in lines 406-407.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>- The authors could do the dosage of vitamin D in others investigations.</p>	<p>Your comment is appreciated.</p>