



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13895
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF TWO WARM UP PROTOCOL ON VERTICAL JUMP PERFORMANCE IN MINI-VOLLEYBALL PLAYERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Interesting paper, well design and redaction	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Introduction P1 l21- to improve Add an hypothesis Discusion P4l128 – Mcneal reference is not according journal reference style If there any ethical issue, please clarify.	Many thanks for your comments. All the modifications were applied to the text as you mentioned.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		