



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_AIR_7752
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION OF BIODIESEL FROM MARINE AND FRESHWATER MICROALGAE: A CRITICAL REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 90: The concept that Biodiesel no release of harsh compounds (CO and CO₂), is not correct every combustion produce CO and CO₂.</p> <p>Line 262- 263. The function of the proteins present in microalgae is not only as a light detection.</p> <p>Section 6: It's necessary to talk about the scale</p>	<p>Changed to SOx, NOx and HC</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>OK</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Not all the figures are referred in text.</p> <p>There are many typing errors (line 11, 25, 27, 77, 106, 118, 143, 225, 278, 326, 327, 330, 370, 479, 536, 597, 652, 653, 675-679, 839, 899, 969, 993,</p>	<p>These were due to uploading.</p> <p>Now corrected.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Figures 2 and 3 don't have a good definition.</p>	<p>They do now.</p>