



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AIR_7807
Title of the Manuscript:	ADAPTATION STRATEGIES AGAINST SOIL DEGRADATION AMONG ARABLE CROP FARMERS IN ATISBO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract is adequate with minor corrections thus:  Line 20: should read lack of incentives, inadequate information, (remove the word <b>and</b>)  Line 22: but depends <b>largely</b> on their educational...(add the word largely)  Line 24: farmers <b>should</b> be (remove the word should)  Line 25: on the need for <b>adequate use of soil without hampering the</b> (replace the highlighted phrase with <b>(sustainable use of)</b>, (replace <b>should be a</b> with, <b>there is the need for</b>)  Line 26: replace <b>between</b> with <b>among</b>.  Lines 107-113: Start your sentence with "This research intended to: (Collapse all your objectives to a sentence as a part of your introduction)  Lines 115-118 (Expunge hypothesis statement from a research article of this nature)  Lines 231-234 (Expunge and make it part of your finding results and or conclusions), Same for Lines 250-251.  Line 272: farmers to be the <b>major</b> causes (insert the word major)  Line 292: State how. Present adaptation technique and how agencies can help farmers at improving on them.</p> <p>REFERENCE  In text: Line 101: Etui and Pender, 2005; Line 299: Ehui and Pender, please reconcile.</p>	<p>The corrections have been made. Some other amendments were made. The abstract is much better now.</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>I had rather state, test and discuss the hypotheses separately</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Stating how will be a recommendation beyond the research findings of this study</p> <p>Done</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	These references are absent in the text but are conspicuous on the reference page: Fakayo, 2000; Garcia, 2010, Onumadu, et al 2000. Please reference them accordingly in the text.	Done. The article I sent was not the most updated one
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		