



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_AIR_8240
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Distributor Plate Configuration on Pressure Drop in a Bubbling Fluidized Bed Reactor
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Figure 2, 3, 6 and 8, and Table 2 are similar in Ghaly and MacDonald(2012)'s paper; these should be cited. <p>Please see the link http://thescipub.com/abstract/10.3844/ajeassp.2012.170.183</p>	Figures 2 describe s a part of the experimental apparatus. Figure 3 is about the size of sand particles Figures 6 and have been cited
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• In introduction, author should explain more detail why shape and angle have an effect on pressure drop.• In results and discussion, these paragraphs should be rewriting.	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		