



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_9073
Title of the Manuscript:	An Application of Fuzzy Canonical Correlation and Fuzzy DEA for Ranking Bank Branches
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In this paper of “Complete Efficiency ranking by Fuzzy canonical correlation in DEA context”. The authors using Fuzzy canonical correlation in DEA approach to analyze <i>the bank branch efficiency</i>. It is an interesting and important paper.</p> <p>Also, the presentation, style, methodology and interpretation of this paper are appropriate.</p> <p>Several suggestions for this article:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.. The paper has no driving research question.. Without a clear focus at this point the article has little value. It is not clear to explain the contribution of this paper. 2 . Please provide more details the origin of all the data? 3. The results shall compare with the past work .What is the Policy implication? 4. Please more in-depth analysis in concluding. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The main purpose of this paper as it mentioned in ABSTRACT is suggesting a fuzzy CCA method for ranking decision making units. To prove the results of this method, we have compared them with the result of the fuzzy DEA model, which is a common method to rank units. The real example for comparing the results of these two methods is mentioned in the paper. (Ranking the bank branches). 2. The data are gathered from two questionnaires. A short explanation is bringing in lines 428-433. 3. The result of new method is compared with the results of fuzzy DEA method which is a proven method used in many papers. 4. The conclusion part has been changed, please review this part.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		