



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_9161
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmentally Favourable and Unfavorable Bacteria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title of manuscript did not match to the aim of paper particularly the authors did not handle the environmental unfavourable bacteria - Line s 291-293 "Figure 5 shows the agglutination ofin assay tubes". <p>The title of figure 5 showed different things</p>	<p>The title was changed to: "Saprophytic, Symbiotic and Parasitic bacteria: Importance to Environment, Biotechnological Applications and Biocontrol".</p> <p>Figure 4 and 5: The position of Figure 4 and 5 was corrected. They were exchanged.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Languish should revise - 	Languish was revised
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Manuscript looked like general comment, missing the attractive way of presentation 	We give a brief discussion about the three classes of bacteria namely saprophytic, symbiotic and parasitic bacteria, and also about biocontrol and biotechnological applications.