



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_9665
Title of the Manuscript:	Epiphytic Orchids of Kericho Forest, Kenya
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Overall this is actually very good study of orchid species information in Kenya 1. Put figure title below figure. 2. Scientifically very good data	The authors have revised the manuscript as suggested by the reviewer. The revisions have been highlighted in yellow.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Overall this is actually very good study of orchid species information in Kenya 1. Put figure title below figure. 2. Scientifically very good data	The authors have revised the manuscript as suggested by the reviewer. The revisions have been highlighted in yellow.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<b>I believe the manuscript would be acceptable with revisions</b>	