



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11157
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between National Income and Occupational Injury

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Line 23-26: starting 'we wished.....noexistent data. X need to mention here – delete.</p> <p>Line 27-28: from..In order to .....Paragraph: delete.</p> <p>Line 29: In mind..Delete.</p> <p>Line 31: Start new para from ....In order....</p> <p>Line 36-37: replace...even.....disparity with Despite of these assumptions, occup accidents occurs in all nations.</p> <p>Line 38-39: ...plant accident in 2011, in Japan has killed....</p> <p>Line 39: replace..About the..With - on these...</p> <p>Line 101: replace with...These literatures examine major....</p> <p>Line 102-3: delete</p> <p>Line 107: They revealed that....</p> <p>Line 113-14: delete other.....nations.</p> <p>Line 116: replace... and about... with ...compared to.</p> <p>Line 119: 3. Methodology</p> <p>Line 121- 124: better to write hypothesis in form of list – H1, H2.....so that its easy to relates with regression analysis in Line 215-217.</p> <p>148 - graph 1: my opinion - graph still lack of association esp btw fatal acidt with high @ low consequ...eg if gdp high ➔ fatal reduce/low...etc – G1 do not show this; of course they wl b explain later via regression; but here v are referring to graph presentation.</p> <p>171 - 176: replace with...'G3 demonstrates the growth of 4 selected developed economies. It should be noted that the USA having a much greater working pop compared to other developed nations'.</p> <p>175: replace Cases...with ...Incidence of FOI for both economies are shown in G4 &amp; 5.</p> <p>177 &amp; 184: G4 &amp; G5 - delete cases of.. but only use.... FOI in emerging/developed market.</p> <p>180-84: replace with – G4 presented the incidence of ..... an increase for India, but a steady trend for Mexico &amp; Thailand.</p> <p>187-188: replace with ... G5 shows a steady trend of FOI in 4 developed econ (note: data for Y1&amp;2 in USA missing/cld not be obtained)</p> <p>190-192: replace... The rate of FOI in emerging market have higher rates, ranging frm .....compared to developed economies of only 0.6 – 4.0% ( refer G6 &amp; 7)</p> <p>196-7: replace... The trend for India is significantly higher, compared to R,M &amp; T.</p> <p>201-203: G7....% label on vertical axis....double entry??</p> <p>208-213: replace....The hypothesis of this study.....thru a linear rgr model. The results are evaluated based on F.... test, by utilising spss software.</p> <p>215: delete In this.... replace with ....The following</p> <p>217: delete Rate of...use only FOIn = ....</p> <p>219-225: FOIn – the rate of....; GDPn – national.....; Cases FOIn – the number of....;</p> <p>227: T1 illustrates the research V</p> <p>231-248 &amp; 254-272: my opinion.....should starts with T2..The coeff, followed with T3 - anova &amp; T4 Summary of model @ better if T3 &amp; T4 could b combined.</p> <p>The study has only 1 model...so x need to label model 1; the notes under each table do not present/x according to international settings, normally the notes rfr to sources &amp; signif level of test: eg: a – signif @ 5% @ 10% level...</p> <p>249-252, 274-277 &amp; 293-300: its risky @ x appropriate to just conclude direct @ indirect association...may be positive @ inverse relationship much acceptable...However, higher GDP &amp; the status of developed C's may provides greater opportunities to invest in....&amp; do the enforcement.....</p> <p>322-336: need better phrases of conclusion to show the depth of positive/significant vs inverse/insig r/ship btw factors in this study.</p>	<p>Line 23-26: Deleted from “We wished” through “nonexisting data”. The regression model was mentioned.</p> <p>Line 27-28: Deleted from “in order to” through “paragraph”.</p> <p>Line 29: Deleted “in mind”.</p> <p>Line 31: New paragraph was started from “In order....”</p> <p>Line 36-37: “Even.....disparity” was replaced with “Despite these assumptions”</p> <p>Line 38-39: The sentence was corrected “...plant accident in 2011, in Japan has killed....” and “in highly developed Japan” was deleted at the end of the sentence (to avoid redundancy)</p> <p>Line 39: “..About the...” was replaced with “...on these...”</p> <p>Line 101: It was replaced with “This literature examines major....”</p> <p>Line 102-3: It was deleted.</p> <p>Line 107: It was changed to “They revealed that...”</p> <p>Line 113-14: It was deleted “Another.....nations.”</p> <p>Line 116: “... and about” was replaced with “...compared to...”</p> <p>Line 119: “DATA AND” was removed, leaving only “3. Methodology”</p> <p>Line 121- 124: The structure was modified by identifying “H1” and “H2” and placing them as two paragraphs.</p> <p>Line 148: The changes have been made to Graph 1 in order to clarify the relationship between GDP, safety standards, and rates of occupational injuries.</p> <p>Line 171-176: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 175: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 177&amp; 184: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 180-184: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 187-188: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Lines 190-192: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Lines 196-197: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Lines 201-203: Double entry on Y-axis was removed.</p> <p>Lines 208-213: The sentences “The hypothesis of this study.....thru in the regression model” was removed, and replaced with “The results are evaluated based on the Fisher F test, by utilizing SPSS software. Also the sentence “All the testing is performed by utilizing SPSS software” was deleted in order to avoid redundancy.</p> <p>Line 215: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 217: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 219-225: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Line 227: Changes have been made according to the suggestion.</p> <p>Lines 231-248 &amp; 254-272: Tables were labelled from 2 through 7 accordingly and rearranged in order: coefficients, ANOVA, and model summary.</p> <p>The model's label from (1) was changed to (x).</p> <p>Due to the suggestions, the significant level of 0.05 was changed to 5% after Tables 3 and Table 6, which are ANOVA Tables.</p> <p>Lines 249-252, 274-277 &amp; 293-300: Wording was changes according to suggestions.</p> <p>Lines 322-336: Recommendations have been included in the conclusion.</p> <p>As per instructions from the other reviewer, graphs 2-5 were eliminated with all captions and explanations. The previous version had these graphs and data on lines 161 – 188.</p>