



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11625
Title of the Manuscript:	Heat transfer and solidification of molten iron in a pipe
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>This paper is not that comprehensive, it is lack of discussion. The references cited should be used for discussion in order to improve the quality of the paper</b></p> <p><b>The references used are very old</b></p> <p><b>In the abstract, it should be added with the results of discussion</b></p>	<p>Sorry, this issue was not raised by previous reviewers. I think the discussion is included in Section 6.</p> <p>Recent references (14-17) were already added to the manuscript.</p> <p>Results are added to the abstract.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		