1	Original Research Article
2 3 4	Impact of solar radiation intensity on temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction in Lubigi <i>Cyperus papyrus</i> L. wetland surface
5	Abstract
7	Response to the position of the sun, the length of the day and solar radiation changes can be
8	complex and perhaps even counter – intuitive. Assessment of diurnal solar radiation intensity
9	measured as photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on relative humidity (RH),
10	temperature, wind speed and direction in Cyperus papyrus (papyrus) wetland surface canopy
1	was done during the months of September, 2010 (wet month) and June, 2011 (dry month)
12	when the sun is at the equator and Tropics of Cancer respectively.
13	Significantly strong correlation existed between PAR with RH, temperature and wind speed
14	during September and June. The significant difference in PAR intensity during the months
15	coincided with temperature and wind speed at noon (11.00 - 1200 hours) and sun rise (07.00 -
16	08.00 hours) respectively. Significant difference in PAR in the evening (19.00 hour) was
17	followed by significant temperature difference between 21.00 to 21.00 hours of the months.
18	Therefore, the influence of the sun's position on the ecophysiology and development of
19	papyrus requires assessment.
20	
21	Keywords: Papyrus, relative humidity, solar radiation, temperature, wetlands
22	Introduction
23	The area bounded by the Tropic of Cancer on the north and Tropic of Capricorn on the south
24	is known as the tropics and does not experience significant seasonal variations because the
25	sun is always high in the sky [1]. However, the position of the sun, the length of the day and
26	solar radiation change occur [2]. This directly affect the annual changes of extraterrestrial

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

radiation in the tropics with position of the sun in the north and south of the equator with varied energy budget [3]. [4] also related shift in energy budget to position of and intensity of convection clouds and large scale tropical circulation. Heat energy storage in the Lake Victoria basin during daytime was reported considerably as larger sink in open water as compared to wetland ecosystems [5]. At regional and ecosystem levels, [6] argued that the daily response to such changes can be complex and perhaps even counter – intuitive. The radiation absorption by water vapour (attenuation of radiation) also plays an important role in the physics of the atmosphere and the absorbed energy changes partly into heat and produces a change of temperature in the free atmosphere [7]. An additional part of the energy is transformed into longer wave radiations emitted into the environment. In Lake Victoria region, extraterrestrial radiation is low and high during the months of June and September respectively [2]. The diurnal cycle of convective activity and cloudiness over Lake Victoria basin was described by [8]. [9] argued that two wet seasons in Lake Victoria basin appear to have fundamental dynamic differences. For this reason, [8] said; diurnal cycles of cloudiness during different seasons are expected to be quite different. However, cloudiness cycles was reported to cause restricted and intense sunshine between 11.00 - 16.30 hours [10], and high night cloudiness impact on evaporation through net radiation [11]. This study aimed at assessing the variation of solar radiation in the *Cyperus papyrus* wetland surface in Lake Victoria basin during the months of June and September when the sun is at Tropic of Cancer on 21st June and directly overhead at noon on the equinoxes near 21st of September respectively and the influence on temperature, relative humidity (RH), and wind speed and direction. It is important in the understanding of the atmospheric changes that occur as a result of wetland ecosystems presence. The study hypothesized that the solar

radiation intensity has no significant effect on the diurnal weather changes in the *C. papyrus*wetland canopy.

53

- **Materials and Methods**
- 55 Study area
- 56 The study was conducted in Lubigi wetland, Kampala District. This wetland is one of largest
- 57 remaining permanent water logged wetland in Lake Victoria basin. It is located at an altitude
- of 1,158 1,173 m above sea level and covering geographical co-ordinates of 0^0 17' N to 0^0
- 59 22' N and 31° 30' E to 31° 34' E (Figure 1). Early rain season in the area starts from March to
- May and second rain from August to December.
- 61 Experiment and data collection
- Data was collected during the entire month of September, 2010 and June, 2011 which was
- 63 characterized by dry and wet period respectively. A data Hog 2 logger installed in monotypic
- stand of C. papyrus located at 0⁰ 24' N and 32⁰ 31' E at an average of 1,166 m above sea
- level was used for weather data recording. The logger was calibrated for relative humidity
- 66 (RH), air temperature, wind speed and direction, and photosynthetically active radiation
- 67 (PAR). RH/Temperature probe calibration for relative humidity and air temperature was at
- standard factory specifications at nominal points of 1% and 75.45 RH, and 27 degrees
- 69 Celsius. Pyranometer calibration was directly against a calibrated reference World
- 70 Meteorological Office, First Class Pyranometer under natural daylight conditions with
- 11 uncertainty +5%. However, the actual calibration was based on an estimated confidence of
- 72 not less than 95% (typically +3%). RH/Temperature, wind sensors and pyranometer were
- connected to the logger at different specified calibrated channels. None of the channel was
- 74 calibrated for rainfall data recording. Changes in air temperature, RH, wind speed and
- direction, and solar radiation in form of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) were

76	averaged on thirty (30) minutes. Data were collected for wet period of September, 2010 and
77	dry period of June 2011. Average values were determined for 30 days samples of both day
78	and night time phenomena. June, 2011 and September, 2010 were selected because of the dry
79	and wet spell and characteristic difference in sun's position from equator [2].
80	
81	Statistical analysis
82	Minitab version 13 was used for statistical data analysis [12]. Regression analysis was used to
83	test for statistical relationship between variables. Non parametric test of Mann-Whitney was
84	used for diurnal differences between weather variables during September, 2010 and June,
85	2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05.
86	
87	Results
88	
89	The daily and hourly variability of air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the
90	months of September, 2010 and June, 2011 is shown in Table 1.
91	
92	Observed temperature values were not significantly different during dry and wet months
93	except for values between 11.00 - 12.00 hours and 20.00 - 21.00 hours that were significantly
94	lower for September month. Temperature inversion occurred between 8.00 - 10.00 hours and
95	19.00 - 21.00 hours in the morning and evening respectively. The inversion preceded
96	significant temperature difference between the months. Slightly stable thermal atmosphere
97	was formed throughout the night during dry and wet months. The dry month exhibited longer
98	thermal equilibrium.
99	The variation of the daily and hourly changes in relative humidity (RH) in the surface of C .
100	papyrus during September (wet) and June (dry) months is presented in Table 2.

101	
102	Significantly low RH compared to the rest of the hours was recorded between 11.00 – 19.00
103	hours and $09.00 - 22.00$ hours during the dry and wet season respectively (p < 0.05). RH was
104	very distinct during the months with significantly higher values in the dry month. A highly
105	significant difference was observed between 20.00 - 10.00 hours (p < 0.05). A lag in RH
106	inversion compared to temperature occurred in morning hours but for evening hours both
107	temperature and RH inversion started at the same time (Tables 1 and 2). Maximum relative
108	humidity of 100% dominated most hours during dry spell.
109	
110	The daily and hourly change in wind direction in surface of wetland canopy during
111	September and June is presented in Table 3.
112	
113	Southern wind dominated night hours (19.00 - 03.00) during both dry and wet months.
114	However, dry month experienced more of southerly wind and was significantly higher
115	between 07.00 - 08.00 hours and at 10.00 hours compared to the wet month. Wind generally
116	oscillated between eastern and southern direction. Wind oscillation was significantly different
117	between hours of each season except for 20.00 hour of the wet season ($p > 0.05$).
118	
119	The daily and hourly variability of wind speed over wetland canopy is shown in Table 4.
120	
121	Diurnal variation of wind speed occurs with dry month exhibiting significantly lower speed
122	during night hours (01.00 - 10.00). Similar high wind speed was observed in dry and wet
123	months during half of days' hours (11.30 - 21.00). Maximum wind speed was observed at
124	about 15.00 - 17.00 hours in the dry month of June. A shorter period of high wind speed was
125	exhibited around 15.00 - 16.00 hours during the wet month.

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) intensity was used to indicate changes in general solar radiation in surface of *C. papyrus* wetland canopy. Table 5 shows daily hourly changes of PAR during the months. Solar radiation picked up in the morning (7.00 hour). Similar pattern was observed for both dry and wet months. Maximum values were reached around 12.00 hour in both dry and wet months. Significantly higher values in the wet month occurred between 07.00 - 08.00 hours, 12.00 - 15.00 hours and in the evening hour (19.00). The relation between daily hourly solar radiation (PAR) with corresponding temperature, relative humidity (RH) and wind speed and direction for months of June (dry) and September (wet) is represented in Table 6. All the weather variables changes could be accounted for the PAR variation. PAR influence on wind direction was experienced in each hour of the day except for 20.00 - 21.00 hours of both months of June and September which exhibited minimum values above 12.4 degrees (Table 3). PAR effect on wind speed was not significant since the values were below the Fvalues for dry (40.8 m s⁻¹) and wet (55.9 m s⁻¹) respectively. However, PAR effect on wind speed was higher during September (55.9%) compared to 40.8% of June. Temperature change was not significantly related to PAR variation since all values were below the Fvalues of the months of June (68.5 °C) and September (76.7 °C) respectively. Significant difference in RH occurred above 64.5% and 83.9% during June and September respectively. The effect of PAR on RH was shorter for dry season of June (11.00 - 19.00 hours) compared to wet season of September (09.00 - 22.00 hours) (Table 2).

151

152

Discussion

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

The daily photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) pattern was similar to that reported by [13], and [14] in wetlands canopy in the Naivasha and Kirinya wetlands respectively. PAR picked up in the morning (7.00 hour) and similar pattern was observed for both June (dry month) and September (wet month) with significantly higher values during wet month between 07.00 - 08.00 hours, 12.00 - 15.00 hours and 19.00 hour. The lower solar radiation intensity in the dry month of June is attributed to the sun's position at the Tropic of Cancer as a result of the axial tilt of the earth at the equator [2]. The radiation intensity is usually determined by angle between direction of sun's rays to normal surface of atmosphere, and such angles change at different latitudes, during the day and in different seasons. However, the effect of cloudiness cycles cannot be ruled out since this was reported to cause restricted and intense sunshine between 11.00 - 16.30 hours [10]. Significant difference in PAR in the evening (19.00 hour) was followed by significant temperature difference between 21.00 to 21.00 hours of the months. Data based on regression analysis indicates that the significant difference is not directly caused by the PAR intensity but attributed to the elevated RH change during the months of June and September (Table 2). Attenuation of radiation by RH plays an important role in the atmosphere [7]. The absorbed energy changes partly into heat and produces a change of temperature in the free atmosphere. An additional part of the energy is transformed into longer wave radiations emitted into the environment in the absence of solar radiation which affects the duration of thermal equilibrium particularly in the night. The increased thermal air equilibrium during night hours of June is attributable to the low net radiation. The effect of high night cloudiness impacting

on evaporation through net radiation cannot be ruled out [11]. The shorter thermal

176 equilibrium duration during the wet month of September is attributed to the high PAR that 177 caused evaporative cooling of the air due to net radiation of the in the night. The significant 178 difference in PAR intensity during the months also coincided with temperature change at 179 noon (11.00 - 1200 hours) which reflect a direct effect on PAR on temperature as exhibited 180 by the relatively similar variance of temperature response to PAR approximated at 76.7% and 181 74.6% for wet and dry season respectively. 182 General wind oscillation was easterly and southerly wind and significant diurnal difference 183 occurred during 07.00 - 08.00 hours and 10.00 hours of the months. At the equatorial regions, 184 air is heated more strongly than at other latitudes, causing it to become lighter and less dense 185 [15]. Wind oscillation in Lubigi wetland is attributed to the warm air rising to high altitudes 186 and flowing southward towards the poles where air near the surface is cooler during both 187 June and September months. This movement ceases at about 30° S, where air begins to cool 188 and sink and a return flow of this cooler air takes place in the lowest layers of the atmosphere 189 [15]. The dominance of southern wind during dry month (June) compared to wet month 190 (September) implies higher cooling rate due to reduced PAR in June. 191 192 Wind speed during dry spell of June was lower than the value (15 m s⁻¹) reported for dry 193 season in Lake Victoria eco-region [16]. The lower altitude at which the meteorological unit 194 was installed could have contributed to the recorded speed. The significant difference in PAR 195 intensity during the months coincided with the significant wind speed difference at the sun 196 rise (07.00 - 08.00 hours); an indication that early morning heating makes the air lighter and 197 therefore flow faster as observed during the day. The non-significant difference in diurnal 198 wind speed between September (wet month) and June (dry month) during more than half of 199 the days' hours (11.30 - 21.00 hours) indicates relatively similar air weight and turbulence at 200 the papyrus wetland canopy.

201	
202	The study indicates significant PAR influence in papyrus canopy surface on wind speed and
203	temperature at sun rise (07.00 - 08.00 hours) and noon (11.00 - 12.00 hours) respectively.
204	These changes attributed to the sun's position in the different months makes is important in
205	the ecophysiology and development of papyrus. [17] and [14] reported water flux in papyrus
206	canopy and photosynthesis increasing to a threshold as PAR and air-temperature increased.
207	The intensity of the threshold during the months could be related to the sun's position.
208	
209	
210	References
211	1) Rosenberg, M. (2013). The Equator, Hemispheres, Tropic of Cancer, and Tropic of
212	Capricorn. Important Lines of Latitude - The Equator and Tropics. Retrieved on
213	24/8/2013.
214	2) FAO (1998) Crop evaporation - guidelines for computing crop water requirement.
215	FAO irrigation and drainage paper 56. ISBN 92-5-104219-5.
216	http://www.fao.org/docrep/X0490E/X0490E00.htm. Retrieved on 15/07/2011.
217	3) Wielicki, B.A., Wong, T., Allan, R.P., Slingo, A., Kiehl, J.T., Soden, B.J., Gordon,
218	C.T., Miller, A.J., Yang, S., Randall, D.A., Robertson, F., Susskind, J. & Jacobowitz,
219	H. (2002) Evidence for large decadal variability in the tropical mean radiative energy
220	budget. Science, 295(5556), 841-844.
221	4) Chen, J., Carlson, B.E. & Del Genio, A.D. (2002) Evidence for strengthening of the
222	tropical general circulation in the 1990s. <i>Science</i> , 295(5556), 838-841.
223	5) Burba, G.G., Verma, S.B., Kim, J. (1999) A comparative study of surface energy
224	fluxes of three communities (<i>Phragmites australis, Scurpus acutus</i> and open water) in
225	a Prairie wetland ecosystem. <i>Biomedical and Life Sciences</i> , 19(2), 451-457.
226	6) Trenberth, K.E., Jones, P.D., Ambenje, P., Bojariu, R., Easterling, D., Klein Tank, A.,
227	Parker, D., Rahimzadeh, F., Renwick, J.A., Rusticucci, M., Soden, B. & Zhai, P.
228	(2007) Observations: Surface and Atmospheric Climate Change. In: Solomon, S., D.
229	Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H. L. Miller
230	(eds.), Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
231	Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

- Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York,
 NY, USA.

 Lukáč L & Vítek R V (1985) Absorption of direct solar radiation by water vanour
- Lukáč, J. & Vítek, R. V. (1985). Absorption of direct solar radiation by water vapour.
 Studio Geophysica at Geodaetica 29(3): 315-317.
- 8) Yin, X., Nicholson, S.E. & Mamoudou, B.B. (2000) On diurnal cycle of cloudiness over Lake Victoria and its influence on evaporation from the Lake. *Hydrological Sciences*, 45(3), 407 - 424.
- 9) Nicholson, S.E. (1996) A review of climate dynamic and climate variability in Eastern Africa. In: *T. C. Johnson and E. Odada (eds), The Limnology, Climatology and Paleoclimatology of the East African Lakes.* Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 10) Bugenyi, F.W.B. & Magumba, K.M. (1996) The present physicochemical ecology of
 Lake Victoria, Uganda. In: T. C. Johnson and E. Odada (eds), The Limnology,
 Climatology and Paleoclimatology of the East African Lakes, Gordon and Breach,
 Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 247 11) Bergman, J.W. & Salby, M.L. (1997) The role of cloud diurnal variation in the time 248 mean energy budget. *J. Climate*, 10, 1114-1124.
- 12) http://tinyurl.com/as2hdev. Reference Manual for Statistical Software: A gentle overview of Excel, SPSS, Minitab, SAS. Retrieved on 10/08/2013.
- 251 13) Jones and Muthuri (1984)
- 252 14) Saunders, J.M., Jones, M.B. & Kansiime, F. (2007) Carbon and water cycles in 253 tropical papyrus wetlands. *Wetlands Ecol. Manage.*, 15, 489-498.
- 254 15) CREST (2000) Postgraduate Distance Learning Series in Renewable Energy Systems
 255 Technology Wind Power Unit 1. http://preview.tinyurl.com/a918ldy. Retrieved on
 256 10/01/2013.
- 257 16) Spigel, R.H. & Coulter, G.W. (1996) Comparison of hydrology and physical
 258 limnology of the East African Great Lakes: Tanganyika, Malawi, Victoria, Kivu and
 259 Turkana (with references to some North American Great Lakes). In: *Johnson, T. C.,* 260 Odada, E. O. (eds.), The limnology, climatology, and paleoclimatology of the East
 261 African lakes. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Gordon and Breach Publishers.
- 17) Jones , M.B. & Humphries, S.W. (2002) Impacts of the C₄ sedge *Cyperus papyrus* L.
 on carbon and water fluxes in an African wetland. *Hydrobiologia*, 488, 107-113.

Table 1: Daily and hourly temperature (°C) changes in papyrus wetland canopy surface during the months of June and September. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between hourly means of dry and wet months.

268	
269	

-		Dry period-	June	W			
Time							•
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	17.76	15.44	20.70	17.48	14.57	20.15	NS
02.00	17.48	15.80	19.53	17.32	14.70	20.40	NS
03.00	17.38	15.57	19.15	17.06	14.67	19.36	NS
04.00	17.31	15.65	19.84	17.03	15.51	19.58	NS
05.00	17.20	15.35	19.92	16.82	15.49	19.18	NS
06.00	17.18	15.13	19.36	16.92	15.68	19.10	NS
07.00	17.00	14.88	18.88	17.07	15.75	19.21	NS
08.00	17.32	14.73	19.48	17.59	16.03	19.47	NS
09.00	19.20	16.98	22.02	19.33	17.56	23.43	NS
10.00	21.86	17.33	25.05	21.47	18.83	25.36	NS
11.00	23.87	17.71	26.71	23.17	20.47	26.61	S
12.00	25.05	18.37	27.86	24.58	20.71	27.51	S
13.00	25.63	18.80	28.57	25.28	19.15	28.27	NS
14.00	25.27	15.47	28.89	25.60	18.29	28.39	NS
15.00	24.67	16.34	28.75	25.55	18.33	28.86	NS
16.00	24.48	16.59	28.22	24.83	18.52	28.15	NS
17.00	24.30	16.65	27.93	23.89	16.95	28.13	NS
18.00	24.07	16.73	27.13	23.44	17.65	28.15	NS
19.00	22.81	16.86	25.72	22.22	17.86	27.09	NS
20.00	20.82	16.87	23.87	20.15	17.34	22.65	S
21.00	19.52	16.65	22.52	19.02	16.92	21.11	S
22.00	18.74	16.74	21.21	18.39	16.32	20.90	NS
23.00	18.29	16.55	20.60	18.09	15.47	20.72	NS
00.00	17.95	16.19	20.38	17.88	15.10	20.35	NS

Table 2: Daily and hourly relative humidity (%) changes in surface of *C. papyrus* wetland canopy during the months of September and June. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between hourly means in wet and dry months.

277	

	Dry period-June Wet period-September						
Time							p –
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	value
01.00	99.48	88.50	100	95.68	86.43	97.77	S
02.00	99.59	87.68	100	95.65	85.68	97.82	S
03.00	99.84	95.05	100	95.78	83.23	97.66	S
04.00	99.83	94.71	100	96.11	90.75	97.88	S
05.00	99.53	90.21	100	96.42	91.43	97.97	S
06.00	99.63	94.10	100	96.33	91.23	97.93	S
07.00	99.65	95.92	100	96.03	90.34	97.88	S
08.00	99.50	92.19	100	95.72	89.59	97.82	S
09.00	98.54	86.20	100	92.18	75.81	97.88	S
10.00	90.35	76.05	100	82.67	64.05	93.00	S
11.00	80.92	63.19	100	74.83	60.36	87.34	S
12.00	74.94	57.84	100	68.73	58.11	86.13	S
13.00	71.45	53.60	98.64	66.15	53.49	93.89	S
14.00	72.72	50.21	99.65	65.48	53.18	95.39	S
15.00	74.40	50.86	100	66.20	50.86	91.98	S
16.00	74.93	54.91	100	68.25	55.21	92.66	S
17.00	76.81	59.75	100	71.90	53.60	97.22	S
18.00	77.64	60.09	99.26	74.01	49.40	97.55	S
19.00	83.37	63.68	99.60	78.96	57.42	97.49	S
20.00	92.87	80.21	99.93	87.71	75.32	97.55	S
21.00	97.01	84.78	100	91.73	80.87	97.66	S
22.00	98.56	90.53	100	93.62	83.14	97.77	S
23.00	99.15	90.34	100	94.25	86.43	97.82	S
00.00	99.59	95.53	100	94.51	84.37	97.71	S

Table 3: Daily and hourly wind direction (degrees) changes in surface of papyrus wetland canopy during the months of September and June. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between means of dry and wet months.

285	
286	

		Dry period-	June	W			
Time							
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	199.1	0	340	213.1	5	340	NS
02.00	181.8	0	345	186.3	0	355	NS
03.00	184.5	0	345	180.5	0	355	NS
04.00	165.8	0	350	177.2	0	350	NS
05.00	163.9	0	325	161.7	0	355	NS
06.00	173.2	0	355	157.9	0	355	NS
07.00	185.6	0	355	129.2	0	280	S
08.00	210.1	0	350	140.0	0	335	S
09.00	160.8	0	355	111.5	0	315	NS
10.00	158.7	0	345	103.9	0	330	S
11.00	157.6	0	355	159.5	0	355	NS
12.00	160.9	0	350	163.6	0	340	NS
13.00	175.3	0	355	155.8	0	335	NS
14.00	170.8	0	305	153.3	0	330	NS
15.00	171.7	0	340	155.5	0	315	NS
16.00	172.2	0	325	173.0	0	340	NS
17.00	168.4	0	290	166.4	0	315	NS
18.00	175.8	0	220	173.8	0	350	NS
19.00	182.3	0	290	191.1	0	350	NS
20.00	209.7	25	345	198.8	25	335	NS
21.00	219.0	5	350	208.6	5	355	NS
22.00	204.6	0	340	216.1	0	355	NS
23.00	194.3	0	330	215.1	0	355	NS
00.00	187.2	0	355	211.5	5	350	NS

Table 4: Daily and hourly wind speed (m s⁻¹) in surface of papyrus wetland canopy during the month of June and September. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between means of dry and wet months.

293
294

-		Dry period-	June	W			
Time							
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	0.53	0	2.29	0.65	0.15	1.84	S
02.00	0.46	0	1.39	0.78	0	3.19	S
03.00	0.44	0	1.39	0.80	0	2.87	S
04.00	0.51	0	1.74	0.91	0.15	3.93	S
05.00	0.55	0	2.04	0.85	0.20	3.43	S
06.00	0.63	0	2.19	0.80	0.20	2.09	S
07.00	0.57	0	2.19	0.86	0.15	2.54	S
08.00	0.47	0	1.69	0.76	0.15	2.34	S
09.00	0.51	0	2.14	1.00	0.20	2.09	S
10.00	1.27	0.20	4.43	1.53	0.45	3.33	S
11.00	1.56	0.40	3.73	1.83	0.90	4.08	NS
12.00	1.77	0.65	4.23	1.91	0.70	4.08	NS
13.00	1.92	0.85	4.33	2.15	0.80	5.52	NS
14.00	2.17	0.70	4.73	2.23	0.50	4.28	NS
15.00	2.45	0.50	5.23	2.53	0.85	4.28	NS
16.00	2.42	0.20	4.73	2.60	0.60	5.57	NS
17.00	2.43	0.30	5.03	2.29	0.50	4.38	NS
18.00	1.95	0.15	4.38	2.08	0.40	4.63	NS
19.00	1.50	0.20	3.83	1.41	0.25	2.84	NS
20.00	0.78	0.15	1.89	0.80	0.20	2.04	NS
21.00	0.64	0.10	2.29	0.61	0.25	1.30	NS
22.00	0.71	0	3.58	0.71	0.30	1.34	NS
23.00	0.45	0	1.59	0.71	0.30	1.59	S
00.00	0.45	0	1.20	0.62	0.15	1.64	NS

20.00

21.00

22.00

23.00

00.00

0.3

Table 5: Daily and hourly PAR in surface of papyrus wetland canopy during June and September. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between means of dry and wet months.

	Dry period-June		Wet period-September				
Time (hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
02.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
03.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
04.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
05.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
06.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
07.00	0.84	0	8.42	4.07	0	18.95	S
08.00	96.42	12.63	292.63	140.55	5.26	493.68	S
09.00	380.79	8.42	787.37	427.54	136.84	954.74	NS
10.00	781.51	47.37	1267.37	802.22	137.90	1408.42	NS
11.00	1071.39	149.47	1612.63	1151.03	345.26	1863.16	NS
12.00	1234.82	254.74	1816.84	1482.83	440	2255.79	S
13.00	1065.84	158.95	1682.11	1421.97	53.68	2193.68	S
14.00	1037.42	17.90	1917.9	1483.37	127.37	2291.58	S
15.00	887.99	22.11	1720	1288.42	186.32	2093.68	S
16.00	817.96	35.79	1450.53	858.71	13.68	1746.32	NS
17.00	601.07	40	1317.9	620.05	24.21	1245.26	NS
18.00	339.23	32.63	675.79	367.13	27.37	841.05	NS
19.00	84.65	1.05	234.74	66.53	1.05	241.05	S

0.3

Table 6: Regression analysis results of PAR with temperature, RH, and wind speed and direction during the dry and wet month of June and September respectively.

306	
200	

Variable (s)	June (dry month)	September (wet month)
RH	$r^2 = 73.4\%$, $F = 64.52$, $p = 0.000$	$r^2 = 78.3\%$, $F = 83.88$, $p = 0.000$
Temperature	$r^2 = 74.6\%$, $F = 68.45$, $p = 0.000$	$r^2 = 76.7\%$, $F = 76.69$, $p = 0.000$
Wind speed	$r^2 = 63.4\%$, $F = 40.82$, $p = 0.000$	$r^2 = 70.5\%$, $F = 55.94$, $p = 0.000$
Wind direction	$r^2 = 33.2\%$, $F = 12.44$, $p = 0.002$	$r^2 = 15.1\%$, $F = 5.08$, $p = 0.035$

311312

Figure 1: Lubigi *C. papyrus* wetland in Lake Victoria basin and location of meteorological unit.

