1

2

3

4

5

6 7

# ENVIRONMENTAL ACCOUNTING PRACTICES BY CORPORATE FIRMS IN EMERGING ECONOMIES: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA

## Abstract

8 The issue of environmental accounting is an emerging issue in developing economies like Nigeria. Though, the Federal government has enacted various environmental laws and 9 established agencies and regulatory bodies; the problem has been that of enforcement and 10 compliance with the various regulations. On the part of the corporate firms which claim to 11 have policies and operating standards on environmental issue, the severity of the impact of 12 their operations have not been abated; and hostilities and tensions with host communities have 13 14 increased. This paper assessed the impact of government legislations on environmental accounting practice and compared current practices across firms in different sectors of the 15 economy. A survey of 25 quoted firms from different sectors of the economy revealed that 16 much attention has not be given to the cost of natural resources damages in project evaluation. 17 18 The hypotheses were tested using Chi-square and Kendall Coefficient of Concordance at 5% 19 level of significance. The results of the hypotheses testing showed that environmental accounting practice is significant in benchmarking standard for corporate reporting and that 20 compliance with Nigerian environmental protection laws has not had significant influence on 21 environmental accounting practice because the issues of enlightenment, enforcement and 22 23 compliance have been overlooked. It was revealed that in developing an appropriate Environmental Management System (EMS), the contribution of plant environmental staff is 24 important; they should work in cooperation with accountants. This paper recommended that 25 26 accounting professionals need to be trained in environmental accounting methods and the 27 Financial Reporting Council (FRC) should develop an accounting standard that will 28 incorporate full consideration of financial and physical impacts of business activity on the 29 environment.

30 Keywords: Environmental costs, Environmental Accounting, Environmental ethics,, Cost
 31 allocation, Pollution control.

- 32
- 33
- 34

## 35 Introduction

In developing or emerging economies of the world like Nigeria where there is infrastructural decay, lack of appropriate technology, lack of appropriate regulatory framework and high level of corruption, much attention have not been given to the degradation of the ecosystem through pollution, various emissions, natural resources damages etc; as a result of activities of companies. The resultant effects have been social unrest, diseases and violent
protest in many communities where these companies are sited. The crises in the Niger-Delta
region of Nigeria are off shoot of these environmental degradation and neglect.

43 In the 1990s, all corporate organizations faced a climate of rapid change and an 44 increased regulatory requirement. (White, Savage & Brody, 1995). Among the major changes 45 that occurred are environmental obligations both legal and moral. There were also pressures on 46 business and other organizations to respond to public issues such as, man-induced climatic 47 change, that is, depletion of the ozone layer, need for waste management, a need to avoid 48 polluting the earth, water and air, a need for recycling, and a need for a safe and clean 49 environment. It is therefore necessary for these corporate bodies to put in place an 50 Environmental Management Accounting System with a set of principles and procedures based 51 on internationally accepted financial accounting methods towards enhancing corporate 52 responsibilities (Daferighe and Aje, 2005).

53 Corporate compliance with accounting standard IAS 37 on contingency costs creates 54 the need for tracking and reporting environmental liabilities that affect the Statement of 55 Financial Position of a firm. According to Edward (1992), there is the need for regular and 56 systematic appraisal of the anticipated cost "reasonably likely to have a material effect" on the 57 financial position of a firm. According to Gray (1993), Rubenstein (1994), the concern of 58 environmental managers spill over into both the financial accounting and managerial 59 accounting concerns of the company. They opined that, the accounting profession remains 60 dominated by financial accountants whose responsibility is largely information gathering and in this case, to support external reporting to shareholders and regulators. MacLean and 61 62 Rappaport (1998) assert that it is important to integrate environmental issues into accounting 63 metrics and decisions.

On their part, Akers and Porter (1995) assert that the accounting functions are considered "one of the primary groups responsible for developing firm's strategies". While this assertion may be overstated, it is true when it comes to decision-making as well as the selection of firm strategies. In a very basic sense, accounting functions have four roles to perform for companies: aiding in strategic decisions, controlling current costs, cash flows and current decisions, and finally, filing required information (Daryl, Ranganathan & Banks, 1995). The spillover that occurs on issues of environmental consequences covers these four 71 areas as well.

According to Popoff and Buzzelli (1993), the vision is; creating accounting systems that will allow both firms and their stakeholders (investors, customers, environmental organization, host communities) a clear perspective on the total environmental effect of a company. Environmental staffs have been the prime movers in rethinking how accounting systems can better serve the firm's long-range environmental management objectives.

77 White et al (1995) in their study to survey current corporate environmental cost 78 accounting practices as they applied to the capital budgeting decision in United States of 79 America (USA) in manufacturing firms, seek to provide business managers and government 80 agencies with an understanding of how firms are integrating environmental cost considerations 81 into decisions about environmental investments. They observed that it was quite common for 82 financial analysis of investment alternatives to exclude many environmental costs, cost 83 savings, and revenue. As a result, firms may not have recognized financially attractive investments in pollution preventions and "clean technology". 84

85

## Justifications for the Study

86 The Federal Government has established various environmental laws among which are 87 the Harmful Waste Act 1988, Solid and Hazardous Management Regulation 1991, and the 88 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act of 1992. The States including the Local 89 jurisdictions within each State of the country have also enacted many other environmental laws 90 based on hazardous contamination control like the waste disposal law, law against bush 91 burning and periodic environmental sanitation exercises. The problem has been that of 92 compliance and enforcement of the various environmental laws. One major problem has been 93 that of disclosure in environmental reporting/accounting. Government has therefore established 94 agencies and regulating bodies such as the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) 95 now Ministry of Environment and their counterparts in the states. They have statutory powers 96 to require compliance from corporate firms.

97 In spite of the statutory powers of relevant environmental agencies, the problem of
98 enforcement and compliance with the various Environmental Acts could be a Herculean task.
99 Compliance with laws on environmental issues could be a function of attitude of various
100 operators and management of the various corporate firms to their environment. Self

101 consciousness and intelligent management of the earth is one of greatest challenges facing 102 humanity. There is therefore the need for a new environmental ethic to meet these challenges. 103 Environmental policies of corporate firms or fundamental value attached to environmental 104 issues are based on the perception of the operators/managers; of the moral basis of 105 environmental responsibility. Their perceptions may be influenced by various theories of moral 106 responsibility to the environment such as anthropocentrism, biocentrism and ecocentrism 107 (Enger & Smith, 2000).

Cost allocation too, remains a major challenge. Most firms continue to place most environmental costs initially into overhead accounts. Though some subsequently allocate those cost to products or processes; the basis upon which these allocation are made are often ill conceived, that is, they bear little or no relationship to the activities, which are responsible for their creation. When proper allocation does not occur, managers receive distorted signals regarding the true costs and benefits of retaining or changing a process/product (White et al, 1995). This is the more reason why Activity-Based-Costing (ABC) is being canvassed.

115 Many corporate firms like Shell Petroleum Development Company, Lafarge WAPCO (Nig) PLC, Nigerian Breweries Plc, Berger Paints (Nig) PLC and the likes claim they have 116 117 policies and operating standards with regard to the Environment, Health and Safety (EHS). 118 However, the activities of some companies have severely impacted on the environment leading 119 to degradation which is cost to the environments. The crisis in the Niger-Delta region of 120 Nigeria is a fall-out of this. There have been exploitation of labour, indifference to health and 121 safety issues, abuse of human rights, and a lack of concern for local issues. These are external 122 impact costs that could negatively affect the reputation of the firms.

123 The objective of this paper is to assess how government legislation promotes or 124 impedes improved environmental accounting practice, while comparing current practices 125 across firms of different types.

126

127 The basic assumptions in this paper are as follows:.

(i) (H<sub>01</sub>): Environmental accounting practice is not significant in benchmarking standard for
 corporate reporting.

(ii) (H<sub>02</sub>): The compliance with Nigerian environmental protection laws has no significant
influence on environmental accounting practice.

## 132 Methodology

133 This is an empirical investigation of corporate firms in Nigeria and is limited to twenty-134 five (25) quoted firms selected from the various sectors of the economy. Some sectors were not 135 represented because focus is on companies involved in conversion of raw materials to finished 136 products. At least two companies were selected from the chosen sectors. The basis was their 137 quoted share prices; at least one company with the highest sectoral price and another with the 138 lowest sectoral price were selected. They were classified as 'big' and 'small' company 139 respectively. Twenty (20) copies of questionnaires were administered in each of the sampled 140 companies making a total of 500 questionnaires in all. However, there was 87% response rate 141 as 435 questionnaires were returned. The sectors investigated are namely; Agro-allied, 142 Automobile and Tyre; Breweries; Building Materials; and Chemical Paints. Others are 143 Conglomerates; Food and Beverage and Tobacco; Healthcare; Industrial/Domestic Product; 144 Petroleum; Printing and Publishing; and Textile. The period of review is 2008-2012. A general paucity of data in Nigeria for planning and lack of comprehensive and objective corporate level 145 146 data on environmental damages in Nigeria is a major limitation to research of this type, hence 147 no secondary data on environmental damages in Nigeria could be obtained.

148

## Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

It is essential to conserve the natural environment, however; the activities of man which are necessary for economic development continue to deplete this natural environment through Sulphur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emission into the air, irreversible damage to ecosystem or species owing to mining or forestry activities. It is important that these externalities be duly recognized, managed, and accounted for in the financial statements of corporate firms.

154 In the years past, both corporations and individuals often ignored environmental issues. 155 The ecosystem has been degraded and depleted through pollution, wastewater, hazardous 156 waste etc. In recent times, awareness of the effects of these waste products on the environment 157 has increased (White, Becker & Goldstein, 1992).

Society has become increasingly concerned with the health of the natural environment and the role of corporations in impacting ecosystems and human health. Regulations have been developed to govern waste management and to ensure that corporate firms are environmentally conscious. Government has created environmental protection agencies at both federal and state 162 levels and now Ministries of Environment. Pressures are now on businesses and organizations 163 to respond to public issues such as:- man-induced climatic change, which is, depletion of the 164 ozone layer; earth, water and air; a need for recycling, and a need for a safe and clean 165 environment (Reyes, n.d.)

At present, enterprises are confronted by many constraints and responsibilities in 166 167 connection with environmental factor. Financial accounting does not identity environmental 168 costs because these are aggregated together. There is evidence however that some 169 environmental liabilities and risks that are in principle covered by reporting requirements are 170 often not reported e.g. liabilities for cleaning up contaminated land (White et al, 1992). They 171 added that comprehensive Environmental Management Accounting System would promote 172 more complete financial accounts. The expected future costs for a necessary waste treatment 173 plant upgrading should be part of the current budgeting cycle. Potential future liability claims 174 and corporate image cost from poor environmental performance should be considered when 175 comparing investment options.

The more materials flows and with the presence of environmental risks within an organization, the higher the potential value of an Environmental Management Accounting System to identify, compile, analyze and report environmental cost information in a timely and rigorous fashion. The existence of Environmental Management Accounting System is a prerequisite to understanding the source and magnitude of environmental costs in the firm.

181

#### An Overview of Relevant Environmental Theories

In this era of globalization and industrial development, there is strong interdependence between human development and the environment. Self-consciousness and intelligent management of the earth is one of the greatest challenges facing humanity. There is therefore the need for a new environmental ethic to meet these challenges.

Science and environmental policies are the most commonly accepted options for dealing with this crisis. The environmental crisis is primarily a consequence of human action. Therefore, there is the need to question the most fundamental values. This highlights the importance of ethical thinking in relations to the environmental crisis. The three main classes of ethical theory are teleological, utilitarian and deontological. Environmental ethic is a topic in applied ethics which examines the moral basis of environmental responsibility. There are three primary theories of moral responsibility to the environment. These are anthropocentric,

## 193 biocentric and ecocentric

### 194 Anthropocentrism

The anthropocentric theory is human-centered and expressed the view that all environmental responsibilities are derived from human interest alone. The assumption is that only human beings are morally significant persons and have a direct moral standing. Anthropocentrism or human-centeredness is believed by some to be the central problematic concept in environmental philosophy, where it is used to draw attention to a systematic bias in traditional western attitudes to the non-human world (Naess, 1973).

#### 201 Biocentrism

202 The second theory of moral responsibility to the environment is biocentric. It is a life-203 centered theory, which states that all forms of life have an inherent right to exist. Biocentrism 204 is most commonly defined as the belief that all forms of life are equally valuable and humanity 205 is not the centre of existence. Biocentric positions generally advocates a focus on the well-206 being of all life in the consideration of ecological, political and economic issues. Animal rights 207 theorist contends that if the suffering of all beings is minimized, then the environmental 208 destruction will be appeased. They segregate living organisms into a hierarchy based upon 209 moral criterion such as sentience or a basal level intelligence (Singer, 1990).

#### 210 Ecocentrism

The theory of ecocentricism is more holistic in its approach, typically building upon the interdependence of each organism, species, community and ecosystem. It often see that acts of destruction against a specie have a ripple effect; affecting other symbiotic species and thus the stability of the entire biological community and ecosystem. The environment is considered to be in a moral par with humans

- 216
- 217 Corporate Environmental Ethics

218 Many tasks of industry, such as procuring raw materials, manufacturing and marketing, 219 and disposing of wastes, are in large part responsible for pollution. This is not because any 220 industry or company has adopted pollution as a corporate policy. When raw materials are 221 processed, some waste is inevitable. It is usually not possible to completely control the dispersal of all by-products of a manufacturing process. The cost of controlling waste can bevery important in determining a company's profit margins.

Protecting the environment involves meeting the need of both current and future generations. Welford (1996) examines the various approaches to environmental policy to get businesses to improve their environmental performances, and how business itself influences that policy. These approaches according to him are: the free market approach and selfregulation; the reformist approach and financial incentives; and the interventionist approach and legislation

230

#### Understanding Environmental Costs

Environmental costs are generally defined narrowly. Environmental costs are those costs incurred in compliance with, or prevention of breach of, environmental laws, regulations and company policy. However, the true environmental costs to a firm can be far broader, including; costs of resources both those directly related to production and those involved in general business operations; waste treatment and disposal costs; the costs of poor environmental reputation; and the cost of paying an environmental risk premium.

White, Becker and Savage (1993), categorise environmental costs into two major dimensions. Those that directly impact on a company's bottom-line; they referred to as private costs. The other encompasses the cost to individuals, society, and the environment for which a company is not accountable; which they called societal cost. They can be classified as: Conventional Costs; Potentially Hidden Costs; Contingent Costs; and Image and Relationship Costs.

243

#### Why Environmental Accounting?

There are several reasons why businesses may consider adopting environmental accounting as part of their accounting system. As stated by Environmental Agency, UK, (2006), these include.

247 (i) Possible significant reduction or elimination of environmental costs.

248 (ii) Environmental costs and benefits may be over looked or hidden in overhead accounts.

- (iii) Improved environmental performance which may have a positive impact on humanhealth and business success.
- 251 (iv) May result in more accurate costing or pricing of products and more environmentally

desired processes.

(v) Possible competitive advantages as customers may prefer environmentally friendly
 products and services.

255

#### The Need for Environmental Reporting

There has been a growing recognition of the importance of transparency for economic growth and social development. Also, there have been calls from civil society and a broader range of stakeholders for greater transparency and accountability to aid decision-making (PR News Wire Association LLC, 1996-2007).

260 In Nigeria, an initiative encouraging transparency which can help strengthen reporting 261 in the extractive industry sectors is Nigeria Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative 262 (NEITI) launched in February, 2004. While substantial efforts have already been undertaken in 263 the reporting area, continued action is necessary to strengthen transparency. It is essential that 264 environment accounting reporting should be given a pride of place, as it is relevant to Risk 265 Management, Government, Legal Needs. Accounting Requirements. Competition. 266 Communities, Certification Need, Investors' interest, Contractors and Environmental Groups.

#### 267

## Applicability of Environmental Accounting

Towards the attainment of corporate goal of wealth maximization of a firm, environmental accounting should be applied in its operations - cost allocation, capital budgeting and process/product design. Numerous observers have recognized the complexity, consequences and necessity of rationalizing accounting systems to ensure proper allocation of costs to the sources within the firms that are responsible for such costs (Cooper et al, 1992; Johnson & Kaplan, 1991; Ness & Cucuzza, 1995; Todd, 1994).

Through the application of environmental accounting; management in particular, and other concerned stakeholders can identify environmental cost. Hence, they are motivated to find ways of reducing or avoiding those costs while at the same time improving environmental quality. This is the conceptual cornerstone of Activity Based Costing, (Schaltegger & Muller, 1997).

It may be easier to include environmental cost in capital budgeting, if existing processes; system and products are already being assigned environmental costs in cost accounting systems. Integrating environmental accounting into capital budgeting involves:

- Quantifying environmental costs
- Allocating and projecting environmental costs and benefits
- Using appropriate financial indicators
- Setting reasonable time horizon that captures environmental benefits.

The design of a process or product would certainly have significant impact on environmental costs and performance. Hence, many companies are adopting *"Life cycle design"* programmes to take environmental considerations into account at an early stage.

289

## Main Environmental Laws in Nigeria

- 290 The main environmental laws in Nigeria include:
- (a) The National Effluent Limitation Regulation S.1.8 of 1991, which makes it mandatory
   for industrial facilities to install anti-pollution equipment.
- (b) The Pollution Abatement in Industries and Facilities Generating Wastes- Regulations
   S.1.9, of 1999, which among other things impose restriction on the release of toxic
   substances and stipulates requirements for monitoring of pollution; to ensure that
   permissible limits are not exceeded as well as spelling out generator's liability.
- (c) The Solid and Hazardous Waste Management Regulation S.1.15 of 1991, which
   regulates the collection, treatment and disposal of solid and hazardous waste from
   municipal and industrial sources. The regulation also provides a list of over 1000
   hazardous chemicals to be controlled by FEPA by toxicity category
- 301 (d) The Harmful Wastes (Criminal Provisions) Act 42 of 1988, which sentences
  302 individuals who trade, dispose, or transport toxic waste in Nigeria or its Exclusive
  303 Economic Zone to life imprisonment. Koko toxic dump in Delta State in 1988 gave rise
  304 to this Act.
- 305 (e) The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Act 86 of 1992, which provides the
  306 procedure for conducting an EIA of any major development. The sectoral guidelines for
  307 the EIA Act have now being developed for oil and gas, mining, agricultural,
  308 manufacturing and infrastructure sectors.
- 309 (f) The Sea Fisheries and Inland Fisheries Act, 1992, which control access to fisheries
  310 resources. The Act includes wide provisions for the regulation of catch species, sizes
  311 and fishing zones. The regulation sets minimum net size for both finfish and shrimp.

312 (g) Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) Act, No. 58 of 1988. The Act 313 specifies establishment, membership, functions and powers of the Federal 314 Environmental Protection Agency and National Environmental Standards. In 2007, the 315 National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) 316 Act repealed the FEPA Act. NESREA has amongst other functions the power to 317 enforce compliance with laws, guidelines, policies and standards on environmental 318 matters. The worries are how effective has the Agency been in the enforcement of 319 compliance and also of note is the lack of jurisdiction over environmental matters 320 emanating from the Oil and Gas sector.

321

#### **Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Guidelines**

322 Society has become increasingly concerned with the health of the natural environment 323 and the role of corporations in impacting ecosystems and human health. Investors are calling 324 for the use of the Global Reporting Initiative by companies to improve their public disclosure 325 to shareholders on pressing environmental and social issues.

326 Global reporting initiative is designed to provide investors with complete, transparent 327 and consistent reporting from companies on a broad range of social and environmental issues. 328 The core principles of global initiative reporting frameworks are transparency, inclusiveness, 329 "auditability", completeness and relevance. Others are context, accuracy, neutrality, 330 comparability, clarity and timeliness. The important role that the guidelines play in driving 331 transparency, balance, continuous improvement and accountability across sustainability 332 reporting cannot be overemphasized. Nigerian corporate firms must be up and doing, to help 333 investors understand the environmental and social threats they face, whether from climate 334 change risks, resources challenges or workplace conditions.

335

336

## Data Analysis and Discussion of Results

The underlying objective of this paper is to assess how government legislations promote or impede improved environmental accounting practice, while comparing current practices across firms of different types. Various types of financial costs included in environmental project financial evaluation were considered to identify the degree of consensus 341 among various firms regarding the relative importance of each of the financial costs items.

In determining the influence of compliance with Nigerian laws on environmental accounting practice, the degree of consensus among the respondents was evaluated by the mean ranking of their responses in ascending order; the lowest ranked 1 and the highest rank for the highest values. The ranking statistic – Kendall's Coefficient of Concordance suggested by Siegel (1956) was employed since it is a simultaneous test for relationships between multiple cases. This test is often used for expressing inter-rater agreement among independent judges who are rating (ranking) the same stimuli.

However, most texts do not provide adequate information or tables to enhance the use of Kendall's Coefficient of Concordance (W) as a test-statistic. Hence, the significance of any value of W was evaluated by Chi-square ( $\lambda^2$ ) at 5% level of significance and n-1 degrees of freedom was used to derive the index of consensus.

353	According to Gibbons (1976), the test-statistic can be measured as
-----	--

354	$\mathcal{X}^2 = \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{n-1}) \mathbf{W}$
-----	---

355 356

or W = 
$$\frac{12D}{K^2 n(n^2-1)}$$

357 given that 
$$D = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( Rj - K \left( \frac{n+1}{2} \right)^2 \right)^2$$
 or  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (R_j - R)^2$ 

359 where;

n =the number of respondents

K = the number of objects ranking the factors

D = sum of squares of the observe deviations from the rank mean

363  $R_j = mean ranking$ 

R = sum of ranks assigned to the n's

When there is a perfect disagreement W =0, while W =1 shows a perfect agreement. The Chi-square  $(\lambda^2)$  statistic was used to evaluates the perception of respondents whether or not environmental accounting is significant in benchmarking standard for corporate reporting.

The study shows that of the 25 sampled companies, 88% have Environmental Management System (EMS) in place while 84% of the sampled firms have their environmental costs quantified. The implication is that some of the companies have near to non-functional EMS. Forty-four (44%) of the quantified costs are tracked at the plant level, 35% and 21% at 372 corporate and divisional levels respectively.

Table 1: Types of financial costs included in environmental project financial
 evaluation

S/N		No. of Respondents	Percentage (%)
i.	On-site air/waste water/hazardous waste testing/ monitoring	288	79
ii.	On-site air/waste water/hazardous waste treatment/ disposal/control	259	71
iii.	Manifesting for off-site hazardous waste transport	215	59
iv.	Off-site hazardous waste/waste water treatment	183	50
v.	Energy costs	285	78
vi.	Water costs	270	74
vii.	Licensing/permitting	274	75
viii	Reporting to government agencies	190	52
ix.	Environmental penalties/fines	208	57
X.	Staff training for environmental compliance	267	73
xi.	Environmental staff labour time	139	38
xii.	Legal staff labour time	102	28
xiii	Natural resources damages	117	32
xiv	Employee safety/health compensation claims	288	79

375 Source: Field survey 2013.

376 Table 1 shows the various types of financial costs included in environmental project 377 financial evaluation. Evidence contained in the table suggests that On-site air/ waste water/ 378 hazardous waste testing/ monitoring and Employee safety/ health compensation claims were 379 the most important internal costs included in environmental project financial evaluation as 380 indicated by 79% of the respondents. However, the least used internal costs are legal staff 381 labour time (28%) and natural resources damages (32%). Of the companies that quantifies 382 environmental costs, 67% indicate that the cost is always/usually assigned to overhead; while 383 18% stated that they are always/ usually to product/process; 15% indicated that they are left in 384 the pool of costs.

385

The study revealed that 34% of the respondents indicated that the production/operation

staff develops cost estimates for environmental projects, environmental staff 30%; financial/accounting staff 26%; and consultants 10%. Sixty-seven percent (67%) of the companies indicated that screening/evaluation of environmental project is done prior to detailed financial analysis. In evaluating the opinion of respondents on the relevance of environmental costs accounting in corporate reporting, the responses as indicated in table 2 are analysed using Chi-square ( $\lambda^2$ ).

Responses	No of respondents				
Strongly agree	169				
Agree	48				
Undecided	87				
Disagree	109				
Strongly disagree	22				
Total	435				

392 Table 2: *Relevance of Environmental Accounting in corporate reporting* 

## 393 Source: Field survey 2013.

At 5% level of significance and degree of freedom of 4, the computed  $\lambda^2 = 148.89$  is greater than the critical value  $\lambda^2_{0.05} = 9.48773$ ; hence the hypothesis (**H**<sub>01</sub>) that environmental accounting is not significant in benchmarking standard for corporate reporting is rejected.

397

398

- 399
- 400

401 Table 3: *The influence of legislation on corporate environmental accounting practice*.

Sectors	+VE	No	-VE	Mean			Ranking			Sum
		impact		+VE	No impact	-VE	+VE	No impact	-VE	
Agro-Allied	14	14	7	0.4	0.4	0.2	5	8	7	20
Automobile & Tyre	11	16	8	0.31	0.46	0.23	2	11	8	21
Breweries	22	9	4	0.63	0.26	0.11	9	5	6	20
Building Materials	12	10	8	0.4	0.33	0.23	5	7	8	20

Chemical & Paints	18	15	2	0.51	0.43	0.06	8	9	2	19
Conglomerates	13	14	3	0.43	0.47	0.09	7	12	4	23
Food & Beverage	38	9	3	0.76	0.18	0.06	12	3	2	17
& Tobacco										
Health Care	26	12	2	0.65	0.3	0.05	10	6	1	17
Industrial/Domestic	11	6	18	0.31	0.17	0.51		2	11	15
product										
Petroleum	26	10	4	0.65	0.25	0.10	10	4	5	19
Printing &	10	5	20	0.29	0.14	0.57	1	1	12	14
Publishing										
Textile	12	15	8	0.34	0.43	0.23	4	9	8	21
Total	213	135	87			]		1	1	1
Column mean	1775	11.25	7.25							
Grand mean	12.08			1						

402 Source: Field survey 2013 & Authors, computations.

From table 3, D = 622.96; W = 0.484 and  $\lambda^2 = 15.972$ . The computed  $\lambda^2$  is lesser than critical  $\lambda^2 = 33.9244$  at 5% significant level, hence the hypothesis (**H**<sub>02</sub>) that the compliance with Nigerian environmental protection laws has no significant influence on environmental accounting practice is accepted.

407 A close look at the income statements of the sampled companies showed general 408 statements on Employee Health and Safety (EHS) and list of community development project 409 donations and charitable gift where applicable. There were no sufficient details of integration 410 of environmental issues into their accounting metrics.

411 Society has high expectation from corporate firms in respect of environmental 412 performance. As pressures to curb industrial pollution mount, more and more companies will 413 find themselves considering investment projects that have both business and environmental 414 benefits. Proactive managers are constantly on the look out for business-oriented solutions to 415 their environmental challenges.

Environmental accounting offers a powerful measurement tool to concretely present the financial returns of proposed environmental-friendly investment. It offers an interesting view of corporate environmental practice and its relation to the profit objective; by providing a gateway by which the often hidden environmentally-related costs can enter the financial decision-making process. Environmental accounting can positively change the perception and behaviour of managers, owners, and financiers towards environmental improvement project. 422 Firms should endeavour to evaluate environmental project, prior to detailed financial 423 analysis. Environmental accounting must become part of strategic planning and capital 424 budgeting exercise. This means infusing core business thinking with accurate perceptions of 425 environmental costs. Environmental accounting is extensive. Companies should endeavour to 426 adopt the practice.

427

## **Summary and Conclusions**

428 This paper attempts at assessing environmental accounting practices in Nigeria; an 429 emerging economy of the world. Nigeria continues to suffer the detrimental effect on marine 430 life and human health from water and air pollution. The government has indicated a desire to 431 change this situation, and in recent years has taken measures to effect this change by promoting 432 the performance of environmental risk assessment prior to project initiation.

433 The issues have been that of compliance and enforcement and of creating accounting 434 systems that will allow both firms and their stakeholders (investors, customers, environmental 435 organization, host communities) a clear perspective on the total environmental effect of a 436 company.

437 Basically, this study compared practice by firms in the various sector of economy and 438 assessed how government legislations promote or impede improved environmental accounting 439 practice. The results of the findings indicate that environmental accounting practice is 440 significant in benchmarking standard for corporate reporting. It was also revealed that the 441 compliance with Nigerian environmental protection laws has not had significant influence on 442 environmental accounting practice by corporate firms in the country.

443 The study revealed that the input of plant environmental staff is important in cost 444 categorization and tracking of cost in developing an environmental management system. It was 445 discovered that legal staff labour time and natural resources damages are the least internal costs 446 included in environmental project financial evaluation. It has been established in this study that 447 the establishment of an Environmental Management System (EMS) is an essential for 448 corporate firms in Nigeria. This is an important task to ensure that all relevant, significant costs 449 are considered when making business decisions.

450 Arising from this study the following recommendations are put forward in order to 451 promote the practice of environmental accounting by corporate firms and to enhance the 452 benefits derived there from.

- 453 i. External impact costs should also be accorded importance and given the required
  454 attention. The least used internal costs such as natural resources damages and legal staff
  455 labour hour if given the required attention will reduce friction between the companies
  456 and their host communities.
- 457 ii. The practice of assigning environmental cost always to overhead is not the best
  458 practice. However, environmental cost should be duly allocated to products and
  459 processes to enable managers know the true costs and benefits of retaining or changing
  460 processes and product; and for appropriate pricing decisions. Costs should be traced
  461 systematically and attributed to the responsible processes and products instead of being
  462 summed up in general overhead.
- 463 iii. Government should step-up its enlightenment programme on policies and laws on
  464 environmental protection in order to increase awareness amongst corporations
  465 operating in the country. Also, the relevant agencies should ensure enforcement of and
  466 compliance with these policies and laws.
- 467 iv. Companies should endeavour to make use of environmental cost and performance
  468 information for designing environmentally preferable processes/products. This will
  469 result in improved profitability and a reduction in environmental risk.
- 470 v. Accounting professionals need to be trained in environmental accounting methods, and
  471 have appropriate guidelines to follow. Hence, the Nigerian Accounting Standards
  472 Board (NASB); now Financial Reporting Council (FRC) should think of having an
  473 accounting standard that will have a framework to extend practices to include costing
  474 and methods of pollution control; comparing alternative materials to be used,
  475 investigating possible recycling alternatives etc.
- 476
- 477
- 478

#### 479

## Suggestions for further research

480 Environmental accounting is an emerging and contemporary field relevant in these days

481 of debates on global environmental/climatic changes and control. Further research in the field

482 of accounting should look at:

- 483 (i) link between environmental accounting practices and firm performance; and
- 484 (ii) the link between Environmental accounting practices and corporate governance issues.
- 485
- 486
- 487

## 488 **References**

- Akers, M. D. and Grover, L.P. (1995).s Strategic Planning at Five World-Class Companies.
   *Management Accounting* July, pp.7-11.
- 491 Cooper, R; Kaplan, R. S; Miasel, L; Morrissey, E. and Oehm, R. M. (1992). *Implementation* 492 *Activity Based Cost Management: Moving from Analysis to Action*', Montvale, N. J.
   493 Institute of New Jersey.
- 494 Daferighe, E. E. and Aje, S. O. (2005). Management of Environmental Accounting System.
   495 *International Journal of Economic and Development Issues* Vol.5, No 1&2, pp.204 496 213.
- 497 Daryl, D., Ranganathan, J. and Banks, D. (1995). *Green Ledgers: Case Studies in Corporate* 498 *Environmental Accounting*, Washington DC. World Resources Institute.
- 499 Enger, E. D. and Smith, B. F. (2000). *Environmental Science: A study of interrelationships*. 7<sup>th</sup>
   500 ed.
- 501 Environmental Agency UK (2006). Glossary of Terminology and Definitions. Retrieved on 2006-05-25.
- Gibbons, J. D. (1976). Non-parametric methods for Quantitative analysis. New York: Holt,
   Rinehart and Winston.
- Gray, R.H. (1993). Accounting for the Environment, New York Merkus. Weiner Publishing
   Inc.
- Johnson, H. and Kaplan, R. (1991). *Relevance Lost: The Rise and Fall of Management Accounting*, Boston, MA; Harvard Business School Press.
- 509 Leopold, A. (1966). Land Ethic, from The Sand Country Almanac. New York: Balantine.

- MacLean, R. and Rappaport, A. (1998). Environmental Accounting for Competitive
   Advantage. In *Environmental Management and Business Strategy. Leadership Skills for the 21st. Century*\_USA, John Wiley & Sons. pp.107-118.
- Naess, A. (1973). "The Shallow and the Deep, Long-Range Ecology Movement". *Inquiry* 16:
   Pp.95-100.
- Ness, J. A. and Cucuzza, T. G. (1995). Tapping the full Potential of ABC. *Harvard\_Business Review*, July August, pp.130-138.
- 517 Popoff, F. and Buzzelli, D. (1993). Full Cost Accounting. *Chemical and Engineering News*518 Vol. 71, No 2, pp 8-10.
- 519 PR News Wire Association LLC (1996-2007). Investor Press S. & P 500 Companies for better
   520 Disclosure on Environmental and Social Challenges.
- Reyes, M. F. (n.d). Environmental Management Accounting: A Business Driver for Green
   Productivity Investments. Retrieved 2006-05-25 from <u>http://eman-ap.net</u>.
- Schaltagger, S. and Muller, K. (1997). "Environmental Management Accounting Current
   Practice and future Geographic Focus: Global. Calculating the true Profitability of
   Pollution Prevention," *Greener Management International* GMI, p.17.
- Siegel, S. (1956). Non-parametric statistics for the Behavioural sciences. New York: McGraw
   Hill.
- Singer, P. (1990). All Animals are Equal: Animal Liberation In Berbara MacKinnon. Belmont:
   Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Todd, R. (1994). "Zero Loss Environmental Accounting Systems" in B. R. Allenby and D. J.
   Richards (ed), *The Greening of Industrial Ecosystem*, Washington D.C National
   Academy Press. pp.191-200.
- Welford, R. (1996). Business and Environmental Policies In Blowers, A. and Glasbergen, P.
   (Eds) *Environmental Policy in an International Context: Prospects for Environmental Change* (pp.51-77), London, Arnold of Hodder Headline Group.
- White, A., Savage, D, and Brody, J. (1995). Environmental Cost Accounting for Capital
   Budgeting: A Benchmark Survey of Management Accountants U.S.A, EPA.
- White, A.; Becker, M. and Savage, D. S. (1993). Environmental Smart Accounting Using Total
   cost Assessment to advance Pollution. Prevention. *Pollution Prevention Reviews*. pp.
   247-259.
- White, A; Becker, M. and Goldstein, J. (1992). Total cost Assessment; Accelerating Industrial
   *Pollution Prevention through Innovative Project Financial Analysis*. USA: Boston,
   EPA.