Short Research Article

Epiphytic Orchids of Kericho Forest, Kenya

5 C Abotro

1

2 3 4

6 Abstract

7 Aim: The study assessed the distribution of epiphytic orchids in selected trails of Kericho forest Kenya

8 Study design: Belt transects and timed random walks

Place and Duration of Study: Field survey was conducted in September, 2013 in Kericho forest, located
in Rift Valley next to one of the main water tower in Kenya, the Mau Forest.

Methodology: Six belt transects of 10 x 30 m were established at each of the portions along the meandered trails. The belt transects were divided into intervals representing zones and each treated as a plot. The number of individual orchid species were counted and recorded. Apart from transects, timed random walks were also taken to increase the number of orchid species recorded during the survey

Results: A total of eighteen species representing nine genera and one *Habenaria sp* were recorded and collected. The largest number of orchids occurred at an altitude of 2123 m above sea level with over 66% being restricted to a single location. The distribution was affected by logging, charcoal burning, conversion of forest land for agricultural use and quarrying for road construction.

19 Conclusion: Conservation strategies should therefore focus on minimizing loss and fragmentation of 20 orchid habitats particularly the destruction of the moist forest habitats, host and associated indigenous 21 plants.

22

23 Key words: Random walks, altitude, indigenous, Habenaria sp,

- 24
- 25

26 Introduction

27 The Orchidaceae family account for 10% of plant biodiversity and is of great economic importance in the 28 global horticultural and food industries [1]. There are over 75 genera and 500 species in East Africa, 29 among which 143 species are endemic to the region [2]. Orchids are predominantly distributed in tropical 30 regions with some representatives found in temperate and arctic habitats [3]. Most tropical orchids are 31 found exclusively in primary forests that are largely undisturbed, although a lesser number of species 32 thrive in marginal or disturbed sites, such as forest edges or 'gaps' [4]. The occurrence and distribution of 33 orchid species are influenced by factors such as latitude, altitude, soil types, climatic conditions, 34 atmospheric humidity and temperatures [5]. Increased population growth, economic development, and 35 financial pressures have led to increased rates of deforestation in many parts of the world [6]. Indeed, 36 destruction and fragmentation of habitat is the leading threat to orchid biodiversity worldwide [4]. Due to 37 habitat loss, climate change and over-exploitation, many species are threatened with extinction [7]. Most 38 species are classified in the IUCN red listing as Critically Endangered, Vulnerable, or Threatened. In 39 many countries including Kenya, forest burning is associated with loss of orchid species and consequently poses a threat to other living organisms. Orchids are keystone species for monitoring the 40 41 general health of a wide range of habitats and also serve as flagship group that can successfully be used 42 to educate the general public on a variety of conservation issues [8]. However, in Kericho forest, there is insufficient published information on the distribution and ecological status of orchids. Therefore in 43

response to these challenges, this project aimed at assessing the distribution of epiphytic orchids inKericho forest.

46

47 Material and Methods

48 Study site and Sampling

49 The study was carried out in Kericho forest, located in Rift Valley next to one of the main water tower in 50 Kenya, the Mau Forest. The forest is within the K5 ecological region in Kenya. This is one of the less 51 disturbed forests, the richest and least studied in terms of orchid diversity. Intensive field survey was 52 conducted in September, 2013 in selected portions of the expansive Kericho Forest approximately 5 km 53 section of two adjoining trails of Kenya Tea Research Foundation and Finlay's Tea plantation. Six belt 54 transects of 10 x 30 m were established at each of the portions along the meandered trails and divided 55 into intervals representing zones and each treated as a plot. The number of individual orchid species 56 were counted and recorded. Apart from transects, timed random walks were also taken to increase the 57 number of orchid species recorded during the survey. All the wild orchid species found in the plots were 58 recorded and representative voucher specimen collected. High quality photographs, habitat description, 59 GPS coordinates, host plants, associated plants species and field notes on morphological characteristics 60 of orchids were also taken. After collection, the specimen were tagged with collection numbers, field-61 identified by a taxonomist and cross-referenced with the aid of the checklist based on the Flora of 62 Tropical East Africa (FTEA). Finally, the specimen was matched with herbarium collections of the targeted 63 areas. The collected specimens were then made into standard mounted herbarium sheets[9] and 64 deposited in the herbarium. Under special circumstances, orchid species were rescued from fallen dead 65 trees. These rescued species were kept as live specimen in the orchid house based at the NMK. In 66 addition, representative leaf samples were collected and preserved in silica gel for further molecular 67 identification.

- 68
- 69
- 70

71 **Results and Discussion**

- 72 A total of eighteen species representing nine genera and one Habenaria sp were recorded and collected
- 73 (Table 1).
- 74

75 t

76

5 Table 1: Orchids collected at different altitudes in Kericho For	est
--	-----

Code Site **Orchid species** Frequency Altitude range in meters (a.s.l.) 001 K5 Cvnorkis kassneriana 1704 Rare 2162 002 K5 Polystachya cultriformis Occasional 003 K5 Rangaeris muscicola 2162 Occasional 004 Satyrium crassicaule K5 Occasional 2131 005 K5 Cribbia bachycerus Rare 2131 006 Tridactyle bicaudata Common K5 2123 007 K5 Tridactyle scottellii Occasional 2123 800 K5 Tridactyle furcistipes Common 2123 009 2123 K5 Bulbophyllum cochleatum Common 010 K5 Polystachya tessellata Occasional 2123 011 2123 K5 Polystachya bennettiana Occasional 012 K5 Bolusiella maudiae Occasional 2123 013 K5 Angraecum sacciferum Occasional 2123 014 K5 Bulbophyllum bidenticulatutum Common 2123 2123 015 K5 Polystachya eurygnantha Occasional 016 K5 Polystachya bella Occasional 2123 017 K5 Bulbophyllum bequaertii Rare 2123 018 K5 Habenaria sp Rare 1704

77 78

Collectors: Miyawa, DO; Obwanga, BO; Gaya, HC and Kawaka, JF

79

80

81

82 Over 66% of the species were found at a single location, an indication of reduced population distribution 83 within the forest. Since the study was carried out immediately after the rainy season, occurrence of many 84 species at one location could be as a result of regrowth from dormant bulbs and pseudo-bulbs buried in 85 the soil from the previous season [10]. Most orchids encountered were epiphytes growing on the smaller 86 to medium branches of forest indigenous trees and woodlands. High orchid diversity has been found on 87 smaller branches of West African and Belize forests with lower diversity on the outer branches [11]. 88 Reduced occurrence of orchid on the tree trunks could also be attributed to minimum exposure to light 89 and moisture that is needed by epiphytic orchids. The occurrence of the orchids in surveyed trails of the 90 forest varied from an altitude of 1704 m to 2162 m above the sea level. Out of the species collected, 11% 91 were found clustered at 1704 m, 2131 m and 2162 m a.s.l. while 67% were collected at 2123 m a.s.l. 92 (Figure 1)

- 93
- 94

UNDER PEER REVIEW



UNDER PEER REVIEW

127 Human activities such as logging, charcoal burning and quarrying during road construction could have contributed to the decline of most orchid species. Altitude and genus were found to be the key factors that 128 129 influenced the occurrence and distribution of orchids. Among the three rarest species, Cynorkis 130 kassneriana and Habenaria sp were collected at an altitude of 1704 m, Cribbia bachycerus at 2131 m and 131 Bulbophyllum bequaertii at 2123 m above sea level. The occasional species were found between a range 132 of 2123- 2126 m and 2123-2162 m a.s.l. This observation could be an indication that factors such as 133 altitude affect the diversity of wild orchids and confirms other studies showing that vegetation affect the 134 composition of wild orchids [10]. There was reduced species diversity at lower altitudes as compared to moderately elevated altitudes. Similar studies in Chiapas, México showed a high concentration of orchid 135 136 species at mid altitudes [12]. Lower altitude is always associated with increased temperatures and 137 reduced moisture which could negatively affect survival of epiphytic orchids. The occurrence and 138 distribution of orchids in the forest were found to be associated with certain indigenous plants (Table 2). 139 These associated plants could be used as indicators for the conservation of orchids with conservation 140 efforts focusing on the plants as well.

141

142

143 144

3 Table 2: Indigenous plants associated with different orchid species

Orchid species	Associated indigenous plants
Cynorkis kassneriana	Sonchus asper, Biden spilosa, Solanum nigrum, Solanum incunum
Polystachya cultriformis	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys
Rangaeris muscicola	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys
Satyrium crassicaule	Syparus sp, Ferns
Cribbia bachycerus	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus
Tridactyle bicaudata	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus
Tridactyle scottellii	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus
Tridactyle furcistipes	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus
Bulbophyllum cochleatum	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Polystachya tessellata	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Polystachya bennettiana	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Bolusiella maudiae	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Angraecum sacciferum	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Bulbophyllum bidenticulatutum	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Polystachya eurygnantha	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Polystachya bella	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina,
Bulbophyllum bequaertii	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Bulbophyllum cochleatum	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina
Habenaria sp	Psychotria petersii, Erythrina abyssinica, Croton mycrotachys, Croton megalocarpus, Zysigium afromontaina

145

146

147 Conclusion

148 There is a clear evidence that orchids are threatened by loss and fragmentation of their habitats 149 particularly the destruction of the moist forest habitats and indigenous host plants. Based on these 150 challenges, there is an urgent need to carry out a more comprehensive survey of the adjacent and 151 neighboring trails of Kericho forest for possible salvage of the critically endangered and rare species such 152 as Bulbophyllum bidenticulatutum. In addition, conservation efforts should take into account the 153 associated plants which could serve as indicators for the ecological status of native orchids. There 154 should be deliberate effort to sensitize relevant stakeholders including communities living around the 155 forests on importance of conserving the primary indigenous forests for the benefit of all.

156

157158 References

- 159 1. Kasulo V, Mwabumba L, Munthali C: A review of edible orchids in Malawi. *The Journal of* 160 *Horticultural Science and Biotechnology* 2009, 1:133-139.
- Simo M, Droissart V, Sonké V, Stévart T: The orchid flora of the Mbam Minkom hills (Yaoundé, Cameroon). *Belgium Journal of Botany* 2009, 142(2): 111-123.
- Dressler RL: The Orchids: Natural History and Classification 1st edn. Harvard University Press, Massachusetts, USA 1981.
- IUCN/SSC Orchid Specialist Group: Orchid Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan. *IUCN*, Gland, Switzerland 1996.
- 167 5. Piers FMD: Orchids of East Africa, 2nd edn. Verlag Von J. Cramer. 1968.
- 168 6. World Bank: World Development Indicators. World Bank, Washington, DC. 2000.
- Cuoco LB, Cronan JB: 'Orchidaceae: Using a Globalized Commodity to Promote Conservation and Sustainable Economic Development in Southern Ecuador'. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 2009, 28(6):799 –824.
- 1728.Stewart J: Orchids of Kenya. The Kenya Orchid Trust and the Kenya Orchid Society Published173by St Paul's Bibliographies, West End House, 1 Step Terrace, Winchester S022 5BW, UK 1996.
- Jain SK, Rao RR: Field and Herbarium Methods. *Today and Tomorrow's Printers and Publishers New Delhi, India* 1977.
- 176 10. Bulafu CE, Mucunguzi P, Kakudidi EK: Diversity and distribution of wild terrestrial orchids of Mt 177 Elgon forest national park, eastern Uganda. *African Journal of Ecology* 2007, 45:21-28.
- 178 11. Ingram SW, Nadkarni NM: Composition and distribution of epiphytic organic matter in a neotropical cloud forest, Costa Rica. *Biotropica* 1993, 25:370-383.
- Wolf JHD, Flamenco-S A: Patterns in species richness and distribution of vascular epiphytes in Chiapas, Me´xico. *Journal of Biogeography* 2003, 30:1689–1707.

182