

Original Research Article**EFFETC OF COLD WRAPS ON MUSCLE RECOVERY
AFTER EXERCISE INDUCED MUSCLE SORENESS**

Aims: Numerous studies have been conducted on the effects of cold on muscle soreness; however, few agree on the "real" defined measureable benefits of cold after exercise. The issue in need of clarity appears to be consistency for the type of cold, duration of cold, temperature of cold, and how best to assess the efficacy. Thus, the purpose of the study was to assess the effect of standardized cold wraps applied immediately or 24 hours after exercise.

Study design: longitudinal study

Place and duration of study: Physical Fitness Laboratory, Department of Physical Therapy, School of Allied Health Professions, Loma Linda University (LLU), California, U.S.A. between Mar 2013 and May 2013.

Methodology: Three groups of 20 subjects' with an age range, 20-40 years conducted leg squats three 5 minute rounds to cause delayed onset muscle soreness; 3 minutes of rest separated the rounds. One group had cold wraps applied immediately and a second group had cold wraps applied 24 hours after exercise. A third group was the control group. The effect of cold was measured by visual analog pain scales, muscle strength of the quadriceps muscles, knee range of motion, stiffness of the quadriceps, Algometer to measure quadriceps soreness, and electrical resistance of the leg.

Result: One of the most significant outcomes was a reduction in soreness in the group that had cold wraps applied immediately after exercise ($p < 0.01$). Cold immediate helped reduce damage to the quadriceps after heavy exercise. Cold was not just cool water but ice packs, a form of cooling capable in a short time in reducing deep tissue temperatures.

Conclusion: Therefore, these data are encouraging in that they isolate the effect of cold from hydrostatic pressure showing good results from cold packs post exercise.

Key words; cold, exercise, muscle, soreness

1. INTRODUCTION

In various sports and activities, if the level of activity is greater than that normally encountered, it is common to have stiffness and soreness that begins after 1-2 days after exercise[1]. This soreness, called delayed onset muscle soreness or DOMS, is characterized by decreased range of motion of the joints[2], cellular inflammation[3], decreased muscle strength[4], and increased concentrations in the plasma of intramuscular constituents such as Myoglobin[3]. Balance has also been shown to be altered in people who have DOMS [5]. Because DOMS discourages exercise and following clinical therapeutic exercise programs [6], there have been numerous studies on the means of reducing DOMS. The

concept is if heat or cold can be applied to damaged muscle, it will reduce damage and allow less pain and loss of function [7, 8]. These modalities include heat, massage, diathermy, contrast baths, cold hydrotherapy, ultrasound and cold packs.

Cryotherapy has been accepted as a means of reducing tissue damage and inflammation for many years and is usually used after sports related injuries [9, 10]. Cold is used commonly on athletic teams such as for rugby players [11]. However, research on the use of cold to reduce muscle micro trauma is sparse.

The idea is that cold will reduce swelling and slow metabolism so that edema and injury is reduced[12]. Cold also reduces pain and therefore has a dual role[13, 14]. However, the evidence is controversial. Some studies show no beneficial effects of contrast baths or cold water immersion immediately after exercise while others show a reduction in pain and preservation of muscle swelling[15]. Vaile, for example, determined that contrast baths were superior in reducing muscle soreness and preserving strength to hydrotherapy but details on how he measured DOMS was absent and therefore this study is unreliable[16]. Other studies show no effect[17]. This is not surprising since measures of deep tissue temperatures in the thigh show that contrast baths change skin but not deep tissue temperature[18, 19]. Higgins compared 2, 5 minute bouts of cold water immersion to contrast baths and found a reduction in pain. While this study was conducted with more rigor than previous studies, its measure of muscle strength was the ability to jump high- a measure that combines flexibility with strength and did not isolate the effect of exercise and cold application on either alone[7].

In a recent study, contrast baths and cold hydrotherapy (10 degree C) were used on rugby players[8]. In this study, performance was increased in rugby games by 2-6% after cold hydrotherapy was used after exercise. Here, contrast baths did cause a small increase in performance.

In a study of squats used to induce muscle soreness, cold water hydrotherapy was used for 72 hours post exercise. There was no change in analytes such as myoglobin compared to the control group. Perceived pain did improve as did recovery of isometric strength[20]. The authors however used immersion of the legs. As they correctly stated, this causes, in itself, an increase in tissue hydrostatic pressure and may be responsible for reduced edema and swelling. They did not use a control group with room temperature water.

In a study of the biceps, after muscle soreness was created, there was no effect of ice massage on muscle analytes such as myoglobin. The authors concluded that ice massage immediately and 24 and 48 hours post exercise were ineffective[21].

In another recent study, [12] cold water immersion was at 15 degree C and was administered in leg exercise after and at 24, 48 and 72 hours post exercise. They found no effect of cold water immersion on the first bout of exercise but found benefit days later in the second bout. They concluded that the use of cold water baths remains unclear.

A major problem in these studies is that the cold water was at widely different temperatures and exposure was for different lengths of time while some used immersion, adding increased tissue pressure, while others used ice. The means of determining relief from DOMS were also variable and many studies simply self-reported the effectiveness of pain relief. Therefore, in the present investigation, a more systematic study was accomplished to look at both self-reported and subjective measures of muscle soreness and stiffness with ice packs applied to the legs of subjects post exercise.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Subjects

The subjects for this study were 60 healthy individuals between the ages of 20 and 40 years old, divided randomly into 3 groups of 20 subjects. The groups were 1) control, 2) ThermaCare cold packs immediately after exercise, and 3) ThermaCare cold packs applied 24 hours after exercise. All subjects had at least six weeks of physical inactivity in the upper body and their body mass index (BMI) was less than 40. Subjects had no cardiovascular disease, hepatic disease, diabetes, lower limb neuropathies, or recent lower limb injuries. Subjects were not taking alpha or beta agonist/antagonists, any type of NSAID, Cox 2 inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, Pregabalins (Lyrica), or pain reducers. The demographics of the subjects are shown in Tables 1. All methods and procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Loma Linda University and all subjects signed a statement of informed consent.

Table1. Demographics of subject groups

	Age (years)	Height (Cm)	Weight (Kg)	BMI (Kg/m2)
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Control group	25.25 (2.99)	165.93 (5.99)	63.69 (10.35)	23.10 (3.53)
Cold immediately exercise	25.45 (2.72)	174.38 (9.24)	67.18 (12.37)	21.96 (2.54)
Cold 24 hours after exercise	26.10 (2.75)	170.28 (8.60)	74.10 (26.55)	25.33 (7.75)

2.2. Measurement

2.2.1. Muscle Strength Measurement

Muscle strength was measured with a strain gauge transducer, which used four strain gauges placed on opposite sides of a steel bar. The bar was fixed to a chair base with a leather ankle strap that was placed just above the malleolus and measured force developed during extension by the quadriceps muscle with the knee bent at 90 degrees. When the bar was bent, the strain gauges, arranged as a Wheatstone bridge, were deformed and an electrical output was provided to a BioPac (BioPac Systems, Goleta, CA) system DAC100 bioelectric amplifier module. The signal was amplified 5,000 times and then digitized through a BioPac MP150 analog to digital converter at a resolution of 24 bits and a frequency of 1,000 samples per second, and stored it digitally for later analysis. Data analysis and storage were accomplished using the Acknowledge 4.1 software from BioPac Inc. (BioPac Systems, Goleta, CA). Muscle strength was determined on two occasions as a maximum isometric contraction, with each contraction lasting for three seconds in duration with at least one minute of rest separating the contractions. The average of the two strength measurements was used in the data analysis as the subject's maximum strength.

98

99

100 **2.2.2. Subjective Pain Measurement**

101

102 A 10 cm visual analog scale was used. It had a horizontal line across a piece of paper 10 cm long. One
 103 end was marked "pain free" and the other "very, very sore". The subject was asked to place a vertical
 104 slash across the line where appropriate. The location of the slash was converted into a number, where 0
 105 indicated pain free and 10 indicated very, very sore. Only one visual analog pain scale was printed on a
 106 single sheet of paper.

107

108 **2.2.3. Ligament Elasticity**

109 Elasticity of the anterior cruciate ligament was measured by a kinematic knee device which is
 110 commercially produced and has been validated in numerous studies. The device was the Medmetric
 111 KT2000 (Medmetric Corporation, San Diego, CA). The subject lay supine with the angle of the knee at
 112 25-30 degrees. A strain gauge measured the force necessary to generate an anterior/posterior glide of
 113 the proximal end of tibia on the femoral condyles thus generating a force curve of elasticity of the anterior
 114 cruciate ligament (ACL).

115 A foot positioning device and thigh strap was used to position the leg of the subject. Force was applied
 116 for the anterior cruciate ligament at 15, 20 and 30 lbs. (66.6, 88.8, 133.2 Newton's, respectively). As
 117 force was applied, the force and measured displacement were plotted on an x-y plotter to record the
 118 ligament elasticity. The device has been well validated and published[22-24].

119

120 **2.2.4. Force to flex and extend the knee (FK)**

121

122 The force to flex and extend the knee was measured from 90 to 125 degrees. The subject was in the
 123 seated position with the leg free to hang at an initial angle of 90 degrees with the foot off of the floor. A
 124 linear actuator was connected through an ankle strap to passively move the knee through 35 degrees of
 125 flexion. The force needed to move the knee was measured as a measure of the flexibility and elasticity of
 126 the quadriceps muscle and its tendons. The rate of movement was 45 degrees in 7.5 seconds. The knee
 127 was flexed and then extended and the force was measured in each direction. Resistive strain gauges
 128 (350 ohms) were arranged as a Wheatstone bridge. The bridge output was amplified and conditioned with
 129 a DAC100 strain gauge amplifier with a gain of 500 (BioPac Systems, Goleta, CA). The amplified output
 130 was digitized at 2000 Hertz with a resolution of 24 bits on an MP150 BioPac data acquisition system
 131 (BioPac Systems, Goleta, CA). A goniometer measured the angle of the knee to calculate the force
 132 needed per degree moved. The goniometer used a ruby bearing 360 degree 5000 ohm potentiometer.
 133 Its output was amplified and digitized by the BioPac system as described above.

134

135 **2.2.5. Measurement of skin resistance**

136

137 Electrical resistance was measured from a prototype device from Mettler Electronics (Anaheim, CA)
 138 called a Zone Finder. It supplied a constant 9 volts between two probes to measure the micro current in
 139 micro amps between the electrodes, generally measuring around 100 micro amps. The two probes were
 140 tipped with cotton pads and mounted in housing where the distance between probes could be changed,
 141 and the force of each probe on the skin could be measured on two separate force gauges. Due to the
 142 angle of the probes, pressure caused the skin between the probes to stretch. During each test, the cotton

pads on the probes were first soaked with 0.9% saline. Then they were placed onto the subject so that equal pressure was applied on each probe, as measured by each force gauge. Only then would the current be recorded. Also, the skin was first cleaned to minimize the effects of dirt, sweat, or anything else on the surface of the subject. Skin current was measured at 9 locations above the quadriceps in each leg and the data shown in the figures is the average of 18 measurements.

2.2.6. Measurement of range of motion

Range of motion of the knee was measured by a trained physical therapist with a digital goniometer. Measures were made of full active range of motion and the point during range of motion of the knee where pain was felt, if any, after the exercise.

2.2.7. Measurement of pain threshold

The minimum pressure that induces pain in tender and trigger points of tissue were measured with an Algometer (Wagner model FPX, Greenwich, CT). The Algometer quantified the pressure it took over a defined surface to produce pain in the belly of the quadriceps muscle. It measured pressure with 10 gram sensitivity and the location used was 40% of the distance from the top of the patella to the anterior superior spine of the hip. The point was marked the first day with a marker so that measurements could be repeated. The surface area of the Algometer tip was 52.5 square mm.

2.2.8. Exercise

All subjects participated in the same exercise to induce DOMS in the lower body. To provoke DOMS, the subjects accomplished squats as fast as they could for 5 minutes. They repeated the exercise after 3 minutes of rest two more times (total 3 rounds). The depth of each squat was at 90° or below.

2.2.9. Cold Therapy

Cold was applied by placing 1 ThermaCare cold wrap on each leg centered over the quadriceps and lying longitudinally over the muscle. Packs were left on for 20 minutes and were at 0 degrees C.

2.3. Procedures

On each day, subjects entered the room and relaxed in a thermally neutral environment for 20 minutes. Measurements such as leg strength, range of motion, tissue resistance, analogue visual pain scales, ACL laxness, and force to move the leg were recorded. These data were collected on a Monday, exercise was accomplished on Tuesday and then measurements were measured again on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday. The only difference between the groups was that one was the control and did not have cold applied; one had cold applied by ThermaCare cold wraps immediately after exercise and another group had ThermaCare cold wraps applied 24 hours post exercise. ThermaCare cold wraps were placed on the long axis of the quadriceps bilaterally for 20 minutes.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of the experiments are shown in Figures 1-7.

3.1. Results

3.1.1. Muscle strength

As shown in Figure 1, there was a reduction in strength the day after the exercise in the control group. This significant reduction ($P < .01$) was 23.8% less than the resting (pre exercise) strength. Strength was still significantly lower in the cold immediate group compared to the resting data at 1, 2, and 3 days post exercise ($P < .01$). For the group that had cold applied at 24 hours post exercise, there was a reduction in strength 1 day post exercise and 2 days post ($P < .01$) but no reduction in strength that was significant ($P = .09$) 3 days post exercise. The cold immediate group had the least reduction in muscle strength after exercise for the 3 groups.




Fig. 1. The measured strength in the quadriceps muscles in the subjects before exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the standard deviation.

3.2. Pain Scale

The results of the pain scale determination are shown in Figure.2. As can be seen in this figure, all subjects showed an increase in pain after the exercise. The pain peaked by 2 days post exercise. The increase in pain in all groups was significantly higher than rest at days 1, 2, and 3 post exercise ($P = .02$). The least pain was felt 1 day post exercise and was in the cold immediate group. Pain was significantly higher at 1 day post exercise in the cold 24 and control groups than the cold immediate group ($P < .01$). Pain was not different 1 day post exercise in the control and cold 24 groups. But by the 2nd day post exercise, pain was significantly less than the control group in the cold 24 group ($P < .01$).





Fig.2. The measured visual analog pain scale of the subjects before exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the standard deviation.

214 **3.3. Knee flexion pain**

215 The knee was passively flexed through full range of motion and the point where, if any, pain was felt was
 216 recorded. The results are shown in Figure 3. As can be seen here, there was pain felt on flexing the
 217 knee at less than full range of motion on the 1st, 2nd and third day post exercise for all three groups of
 218 subjects. The decreased range of motion at which pain was felt was significant comparing it to the
 219 resting data for all 3 groups at days 1 and 2 post exercise ($P = .02$). But the reduction in the 2 groups
 220 using heat was only a few degrees whereas the reduction in the control group was over 10 degrees and
 221 was significantly more than the other 2 groups at days 1,2 and 3 post exercise ($P = .03$).



222
 223 **Fig. 3. The point during passive movement of the knee where pain was felt in the subjects before**
 224 **exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the**
 225 **standard deviation.**

227 **3.4. Skin current**

228 Skin current for the average of the 18 sites above the quadriceps muscles is shown in Figure 4. There
 229 were minor differences in the resting micro current from one subject to the other, perhaps due to
 230 differences in subcutaneous fat thicknesses. Therefore, the current was expressed as a percent of the
 231 first day's current as shown in this figure. After the first day, the skin currents were significantly lower in

all 3 groups of subjects ($P<.01$). For the control group, skin current continues to drop for the next 2 days and was significantly lower each day ($P<.01$). But for the other 2 cold groups, current was not significantly less than the resting data on days 2 and 3.




Fig. 4. The average skin current over the belly of the quadriceps muscles in the subjects before exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the standard deviation.

3.5. Force to passively move the leg

The force needed to flex the knee was measured from the knee at 90 to 125 degrees. Figure 5 shows the force measured at 110 degrees of flexion. This measuring point was used since the measurement was well after the start of movement (90 degrees) and when the inertia of the leg was brought into motion and when motion was at steady state. At this point, there were some differences in the forces to move the leg depending on the leg length and girth of the leg from one individual to the next. Therefore, in this figure, all of the data was normalized in terms of the force to flex the knee before the exercise in each subject. There was no difference in the force to flex the leg one day after the exercise bout. In the group that had cold immediately after the exercise, force stayed constant over the next 2 days. For the group that had no cold applied, force to move the leg increased significantly in the 2nd and third day ($P<.01$). For the group that had cold applied 24 hours after the exercise or cold immediately, there was no significant change in force at days 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise.

Figure 6 shows the hysteresis curve for the same measurement. The force to flex the knee at the 110 degree point and to allow it to extend to the 110 degree point is different. This difference is called the hysteresis. As shown in Figure 6, for the 2 groups that received cold, the hysteresis stayed constant over the 4 day period. But for the control group, there was an increase in the difference between the force of flexion and extension that peaked on the 2nd day post exercise and was still significantly higher than rest at the last day of measurements ($P<.01$).




Fig. 5. The force required to passively move the quadriceps muscle with the knee at 110 degrees in the subjects before exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the standard deviation.




Fig. 6. The force required to passively move the quadriceps muscle with the knee at 110 degrees during flexion minus extension force in the subjects before exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the standard deviation.

3.6. Knee ligament laxness

The movement of the tibia was measured at 3 levels of force. The results for the highest force are shown in Figure 7. There were no significant differences between the 3 groups on any measuring day. For all groups, there was less displacement of the tibia with the highest force applied on the KT2000 by the second day post exercise. The reduction in displacement of the tibia was significant ($P<.01$) at day 1 and 2 compared to the resting data.




Fig. 7. The displacement of the tibia with a force of 133.2 newton applied to measure ACL laxity in the subjects before exercise (rest) and 1, 2 and 3 days post exercise. Each point is the mean of 20 subjects +/- the standard deviation.

3.7. Discussion

Cold has been used in Physical Therapy for thousands of years[25]. The premise has always been that cold reduces both pain and swelling in over exercised tissue [26, 27]. Certainly, there is ample data that cooling has physiological effects. The cold receptors in the body that operate in the non-painful physiological temperature range are specialized ion channels called trpm8 (melastatin) channels[28, 29]. When cooled, the TRpm8 receptor increase calcium permeability in sensory nerves[30]. They are sensitive to menthol, increasing calcium influx and sensitivity when exposed to menthol[25, 28]. Low doses of menthol produce a cooling sensation but high doses produce a burning sensation[31]. Activation of the trpm8 receptors has been shown to reduce pain sensation and reflex pain activity[25]. The reduction in pain probably occurs in the dorsal horn of the chord with the activation of glutamine release on pain pathways[25]. Glutamate is an inhibitory neurotransmitter that reduces transmission of pain from sensory afferent. Pain can be gated away by activation in the dorsal horn of these inhibitory neurotransmitters[32].

Cold also can decrease inflammation and edema[33, 34]. However, studies have questioned the ability of brief cold treatment to penetrate deep into tissue[7, 35]. Further, using ice baths, tissue hydrostatic pressure increases and that in itself may reduce edema[7]. When these facts are added to the fact that quantitative measures of the benefit of cold are usually never made, it is hard to conclude that cool helps after over exertion.

In the present investigation, we tried to take a more comprehensive evaluation of cold. By using hard measures of the effect of cold on soreness such as muscle strength and range of motion as well as current flow through the quadriceps muscle and muscle and tendon elasticity, damage could be examined on a more quantitative basis and compared in cold and control groups. Ice packs were used. In other studies the temperature of the cold source varied from 15 degrees centigrade to 0 degrees centigrade. We used 20 minutes of exposure to an ice pack since previous studies have shown that this will lower muscle temperature[36]. The results seem fairly conclusive. The analog visual pain scale showed the greatest soreness in the no ice group and the least soreness in the ice immediate group. This subjective data was paralleled by the resistive data through the quadriceps. In a previous study, the validity of this measurement for tissue damage was shown as well as reliability. The dc resistance of the tissue is uninfluenced by blood flow and very repeatable if electrodes are applied at constant pressure and separation distance[37]. The results here confirm less tissue damage in the cold groups compared to the control group. The force needed to move the knee through range of motion offers more support. Only in the control group did force increase showing damage to the muscle and/or its tendons. Further, the hysteresis in the movement curve is a measure of elastic energy storage in the muscle. In the cold groups there was little change after exercise. In the control group, hysteresis provided better evidence that some structural change had occurred in muscle in the control group such as might occur with soft tissue damage. This caused the muscle to be stiffer if cold wasn't used. ACL laxness was not different in any group of subjects pre and post exercise. Here there was less tibial displacement for a given force on the tibia after exercise showing swelling or damage to the ACL. The cold and control groups were not different. But since cold was not applied to the knee, it is not surprising.

4. CONCLUSION

From a subjective and objective standpoint, cold helped reduce damage to the quadriceps after heavy exercise. Cold immediate was the most helpful. The disparity between this and other studies may lie in the fact that data was not confused by immersing the leg, causing a reduction in edema by increased tissue hydrostatic pressure. Further, cold was not just cool water but ice packs, a form of cooling capable in a short time in reducing deep tissue temperatures. Therefore, these data are encouraging in that they isolate the effect of cold from hydrostatic pressure showing good results from cold packs post exercise.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Denegar, C.R. and D.H. Perrin, *Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, cold, and a combination treatment on pain, decreased range of motion, and strength loss associated with delayed onset muscle soreness*. J Athl Train, 1992. **27**(3): 200-6.
2. Denegar, C.R., et al., *Influence of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain, range of motion, and serum cortisol concentration in females experiencing delayed onset muscle soreness*. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther, 1989. **11**(3):100-3.
3. Hassan, E.S., *Thermal therapy and delayed onset muscle soreness*. The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness, 2011. **51**(2): 249-54.
4. Komi, P.V. and E.R. Buskirk, *Effect of eccentric and concentric muscle conditioning on tension and electrical activity of human muscle*. Ergonomics, 1972. **15**(4): 417-34.
5. Hedayatpour, N., et al., *Delayed-onset muscle soreness alters the response to postural perturbations*. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2011. **43**(6): 1010-6.
6. Ervilha, U.F., et al., *Experimental muscle pain changes motor control strategies in dynamic contractions*. Exp Brain Res, 2005. **164**(2): 215-24.
7. Higgins, T., M.L. Cameron, and M. Climstein, *Acute response to hydrotherapy after a simulated game of rugby*. J Strength Cond Res, 2013.
8. Higgins, T.R., M. Climstein, and M. Cameron, *Evaluation of hydrotherapy, using passive tests and power tests, for recovery across a cyclic week of competitive rugby union*. J Strength Cond Res, 2013. **27**(4): 954-65.
9. Barnett, A., *Using recovery modalities between training sessions in elite athletes: does it help?* Sports Med, 2006. **36**(9): 781-96.
10. Hubbard, T.J., S.L. Aronson, and C.R. Denegar, *Does Cryotherapy Hasten Return to Participation? A Systematic Review*. J Athl Train, 2004. **39**(1): 88-94.
11. Higgins, T., M. Cameron, and M. Climstein, *Evaluation of passive recovery, cold water immersion, and contrast baths for recovery, as measured by game performances markers, between two simulated games of rugby union*. J Strength Cond Res, 2012.
12. Howatson, G., S. Goodall, and K.A. van Someren, *The influence of cold water immersions on adaptation following a single bout of damaging exercise*. Eur J Appl Physiol, 2009. **105**(4): 615-21.
13. Bleakley, C., S. McDonough, and D. MacAuley, *The use of ice in the treatment of acute soft-tissue injury: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials*. Am J Sports Med, 2004. **32**(1): 251-61.
14. Ernst, E. and V. Fialka, *Ice freezes pain? A review of the clinical effectiveness of analgesic cold therapy*. J Pain Symptom Manage, 1994. **9**(1): 56-9.
15. Bleakley, C.M. and G.W. Davison, *What is the biochemical and physiological rationale for using cold-water immersion in sports recovery? A systematic review*. Br J Sports Med, 2010. **44**(3): 179-87.
16. Vaile, J.M., N.D. Gill, and A.J. Blazeovich, *The effect of contrast water therapy on symptoms of delayed onset muscle soreness*. J Strength Cond Res, 2007. **21**(3): 697-702.
17. French, D.N., et al., *The effects of contrast bathing and compression therapy on muscular performance*. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2008. **40**(7): 1297-306.
18. Breger Stanton, D.E., R. Lazaro, and J.C. Macdermid, *A systematic review of the effectiveness of contrast baths*. J Hand Ther, 2009. **22**(1): 57-69; quiz 70.

19. Petrofsky, J., et al., *Effects of contrast baths on skin blood flow on the dorsal and plantar foot in people with type 2 diabetes and age-matched controls*. Physiother Theory Pract, 2007. **23**(4): 189-97.
20. Vaile, J., et al., *Effect of hydrotherapy on the signs and symptoms of delayed onset muscle soreness*. Eur J Appl Physiol, 2008. **102**(4): 447-55.
21. Howatson, G., D. Gaze, and K.A. van Someren, *The efficacy of ice massage in the treatment of exercise-induced muscle damage*. Scand J Med Sci Sports, 2005. **15**(6): 416-22.
22. Lawhorn, K.W., et al., *The effect of graft tissue on anterior cruciate ligament outcomes: a multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled trial comparing autograft hamstrings with fresh-frozen anterior tibialis allograft*. Arthroscopy, 2012. **28**(8): 1079-86.
23. Shelbourne, K.D., S.E. Urch, and H. Freeman, *Outcomes after arthroscopic excision of the bony prominence in the treatment of tibial spine avulsion fractures*. Arthroscopy, 2011. **27**(6): 784-91.
24. Araki, D., et al., *The use of an electromagnetic measurement system for anterior tibial displacement during the Lachman test*. Arthroscopy, 2011. **27**(6): 792-802.
25. Fleetwood-Walker, S.M., et al., *Cold comfort pharm*. Trends Pharmacol Sci, 2007. **28**(12): 621-8.
26. Traherne, J.B., *Evaluation of the cold spray technique in the treatment of muscle pain in general practice*. Practitioner, 1962. **189**: 210-2.
27. Chung, M.K. and S. Wang, *Cold suppresses agonist-induced activation of TRPV1*. J Dent Res, 2011. **90**(9): 1098-102.
28. McKemy, D.D., W.M. Neuhausser, and D. Julius, *Identification of a cold receptor reveals a general role for TRP channels in thermosensation*. Nature, 2002. **416**(6876): 52-8.
29. Peier, A.M., et al., *A TRP channel that senses cold stimuli and menthol*. Cell, 2002. **108**(5): 705-15.
30. Andersson, D.A., M. Nash, and S. Bevan, *Modulation of the cold-activated channel TRPM8 by lysophospholipids and polyunsaturated fatty acids*. J Neurosci, 2007. **27**(12): 3347-55.
31. Proudfoot, C.J., et al., *Analgesia mediated by the TRPM8 cold receptor in chronic neuropathic pain*. Curr Biol, 2006. **16**(16): 1591-605.
32. Melzack, R. and P.D. Wall, *Pain mechanisms: a new theory*. Science, 1965. **150**(3699): p. 971-9.
33. Rana, M., et al., *3D evaluation of postoperative swelling using two different cooling methods following orthognathic surgery: a randomised observer blind prospective pilot study*. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg, 2011. **40**(7): 690-6.
34. Enwemeka, C.S., et al., *Soft tissue thermodynamics before, during, and after cold pack therapy*. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 2002. **34**(1): 45-50.
35. Petrofsky, J., et al., *Dry heat, moist heat and body fat: are heating modalities really effective in people who are overweight?* J Med Eng Technol, 2009. **33**(5):361-9.
36. Petrofsky, J.S. and M. Laymon, *Heat transfer to deep tissue: the effect of body fat and heating modality*. J Med Eng Technol, 2009. **33**(5):337-48.
37. Hui, T. and J. Petrofsky, *The Detection of Injury and Inflammation by the Application of Microcurrent Through the Skin*. Physical Therapy Rehabilitation Science 2013. **1**(2).

426

427

428

429

430

431