



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11157
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between National Income and Occupational Injury
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The article analyzes the alleged relationship between national income and occupational injury. In doing so, it considers two groups of economies (developed: USA, Norway, Germany, and United Kingdom; emerging: Russia, India, Mexico, and Thailand) to which is applied a simple descriptive analysis of the period 2001-2007.</p> <p>First of all, I do consider that the number of countries in each group is too small in order to reach at peremptory conclusions. Therefore I recommend increasing the number of countries in the sample- In the second place, I recommend going beyond a simple descriptive analysis. At a minimum, a simple regression analysis between those two variables is to be done.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I recommend a revision of all the graphs in order to increase legibility. For instance, considering graphs 4 and 5 also as line graphs and plotting some data in a second y-axis (graphs 5 and 6).	
<u>Optional/General</u> commnts		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer