



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Advances in Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12094
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of a Teacher Mentoring Programme in Enhancing Pre-Service Chemistry Teachers' Attitude towards the Teaching Profession
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Null hypotheses have used instead of hypothesis research questions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What about validity of questionnaire? If there any competing interest issue, please clarify.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer