



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13710
Title of the Manuscript:	Mental Illness and Medical Co-morbidity: Using BioSense Data 2008 – 2011
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>Methods</u>: in this session it should be said something about BS (instead of referring to literature only) just to give an idea about what it is based on the paper (1).</p> <p>Statistic analysis should be described even if they are mainly descriptive (2)</p> <p>Figure and table should be numbered f.i: figure 1 and table 1. given that figure is cited before table, it should compare as first in the manuscript</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Padua, Padua, Italy