



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11790
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF FOUR CONTRASTING SOILS UNDER DIFFERENT LAND USE SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article
comments	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is well written except results however the research is not novel at all. References are too old. There is a lot of room for statistical analysis. Mean comparison was done by LSD but values (?) were not described. I suggest to explain and label these values properly and present comparison by letters (I think it should be P value).The paper can be accepted after few corrections.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous Reviewer
Department, University & Country	Malaysia