



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12228
Title of the Manuscript:	Ghana in the Square Kilometre Array
Type of the Article	Mini review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	As such, this manuscript ought be treated as a communication wherein reporting is being made on preliminaries of an ambitious project, which nevertheless is both necessary and desirable for developing countries in their quest for creating trained human capital in front-ended areas of scientific studies. What is good about the project is that it is being developed in collaboration and partnerships with other African countries.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are some spelling mistakes and grammatical omissions.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer