



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_9580
Title of the Manuscript:	A Phytotherapeutic Formulation for Allergic Rhinitis in Autistic Children
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title was carefully <u>selected</u> , methodology is good, discussion was written in professional way. The paper is creative & interesting	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No spelling mistakes & paper was revised carefully. <i>Can we applied the methodology on large scale of patients other than autistic children. ?</i>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper was written in a very good way	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sahar Mohamed Nour El-Din
Department, University & Country	Ain Shams University, Egypt