SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12639
Title of the Manuscript:	Environmental parameters and <i>Biomphalaria</i> snail distribution along River Kochi, West Nile region, Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

PART 1: Review Comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The spelling mistakes must be revised through the whole text; Paired words in the text by mistake should be corrected before the final approval for publication of this paper. Exemples: line 2. Read <i>Biomphalaria</i> snail but not <i>Biomphalariasnail; line 20.</i> Read B. <i>stanleyi</i> and but not B. <i>stanleyiand; and so on see line 19, line 97</i> I strongly suggest to the author to mention the different species of aquatic plants found during the sampling. These can also help understanding the distribution of snails in the	ms/ner jeeaback nerej
Minor REVISION comments	studied sites. Line 134. Figure 3 is not really important; but the relationships of each variable versus the number of snails Are considered important. Thus, I suggest to delete this figure and to mention only the correlation coefficient of water flow velocity versus water pH. Line 140. Replace the word "predict" by the word "assess".	
Optional/General comments	2. Material and method 2.2. Estimating snail abundance and water parameters Were the sampled snails returned to their habitat after the morphological identification? If no, can the authors explain what is the impact of the method on the distribution trend of snails in both the two sites collection? Did the authors take care of the presence of <i>Afrogyrus</i> and <i>Gyraulus</i> during the sampling? How could the authors differentiate very juvenile <i>Biomphalaria</i> sp. from <i>Gyraulus</i> sp. and Afrogyrus sp. considering that these species are morphologically very similar?	
	What is the long-term impact of the methyl orange dye sprinkled in the stream on the snail's life? Line 111. Could the authors add or indicate the altitude or the altitude range of each collection site on the x-axis of this figure? Exemple: Koboko (>1000 m); Yumbe (700 m-1000 m); Moyo (<700 m).	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer