



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_AIR_7807
Title of the Manuscript:	ADAPTATION STRATEGIES AGAINST SOIL DEGRADATION AMONG ARABLE CROP FARMERS IN ATISBO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Consistent on the use of past tense in the presentation of findings.</p> <p>Population of the farmers and sample size should be indicated under methodology.</p> <p>Descriptive and inferential statistics used should be stated in the abstract and methodology.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove Lines 7-9 and start with The study examined adaptation strategies used by arable crop farmers in Atisbo Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria to cope with soil degradation. 2. Lines 13-14 should read Descriptive and inferential statistics such aswere used to analyze data for the study. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">3. Line 20 remove and before technical knowhow4. Line 29 include strategies in key words5. Lines 43-49 provide source of the material6. Line 51 the different forms of chemical degradation of soil include: salinization.....7. Line 61 overdependence on agro-chemicals8. Provide sources of material for Lines 69-909. Line 103 should read The study therefore seeks to examine strategies.....10. Lines 107-113 under specific objectives should read The specific objectives of the study were to:<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. ascertain personal characteristics of the farmers	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>2. examine farmers' presumed causes of soil degradation in the area</p> <p>3. identify arable crop farmers' perceived effect of soil degradation</p> <p>4. ascertain soil conservation strategies adopted to control soil degradation</p> <p>5. examine trends in yields of arable crops of the farmers</p> <p>6. identify challenges to adoption of soil conservation strategies</p> <p>11. Line 121 should read The study was carried out in Atisbo Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria</p> <p>12. Line 125 should read annual rainfall of between</p>	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>13. Under methodology state the total population of the farmers in each of the communities and sample size selected from such population.</p> <p>14. Line 160 should read Percentage distribution of the respondents according to their personal characteristics</p> <p>15. Crosscheck the figures you have under age, it is more than 100%.</p> <p>16. Lines 166-172, present the figures on the table and discuss accordingly</p> <p>17. Line 175 move multiple responses to the left hand side</p> <p>18. Line 194 the strategies and not they, change they to be the</p> <p>19. Line 200 indicate multiple responses under</p>	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>table 4</p> <p>20. Lines 217-227 use present the figures in table 6, discuss and draw implications.</p> <p>21. Remove the serial numbers you have in Table 6.</p> <p>22. Line 243 should read This also agrees with the result of</p> <p>23. Where is Table 8? Renumber table 9 to be 8.</p> <p>24. Line 260 use a better word for rugged</p> <p>25. Line 270 should read conclusion and recommendations</p> <p>26. Line 290 non-governmental</p> <p>27. References – see the journal’s guide for authors.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is ok and publishable. I recommend that it should be published after the minor corrections.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer