



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11364
Title of the Manuscript:	Calcareous Nannofossil Biostratigraphic Analysis of Well 'K-2', Deep Offshore Niger Delta, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Make the corrections on the attached manuscript 2- All Zones should be written as follow: <i>Pseudoemiliana lacunosa</i> NN 19 Zone 3- How can you placed the Pliocene/ Pleistocene boundary, Discuss the boundary events in details 4- Try to subdivide <i>Pseudoemiliana lacunosa</i> NN 19 Zone into four sub-zones (see the attach file)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	5- PLEASE, Try to read about Kande & Kent 1992, Berggren 1992 and Berggren et al. 1995	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer