



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13219
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Diagnostic Test Performance Using Receiver Operating Characteristic And Fundamental Concepts Of Information Theory
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. It should be "and" but not "And" in title.</p> <p>2. It should be "developed" but not "eveloped" in Line 31.</p> <p>3. It should be "get no ill" but not "get not ill" in Line 129.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. There should be a declaration about where the experiment data come from.</p> <p>2. It would be better to introduce more related research advances in this area in introduction section and give more references.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Xi'an Jiaotong University, P.R. China