



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_AIR_8475
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of the Intraluminal Fluid Pathway to Prevent Catheter Related Bloodstream Infections and Occlusions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The review is relevant to clinical practice and nursing, there is no consensus on the use of connectors, some authors indicate its use, and some authors refute it. Its use implies training of staff in handling the catheter and analysis of risks and benefits. Protocols for using these connectors are essential, as well as the commitment of the healthcare team. Maintain clear the objective of the review in the introduction. The term "Connector" is known too as needleless connector. This term includes all the types of existing models. I suggest include in the line 68. What CDC (2011) e FDA talk about the connector usage? Up to now there is no consensus regarding the use, further explore has to be done regarding this question in the line 88. Wide the comments about the connector design and the influence in the infections. Ex: Organisms enter the device, they can colonize in the collapsed folds of the centerpiece or between the fluid pathway and the connector housing, where fluid may leak (Jarvis WR, et al. 2009). There are recent articles about Hubs impregnated with silver nanoparticles whose were not cited and whose help on infection prevention. (Maki 2010).	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The study (Hadaway, 2011), found that the nursing professionals did not know to associate the right connector to each catheter, it confirms the need of train the staff. I suggest add this study to the item education. Some Systematic Reviews were not include but help in the review. I suggest checking. E.g.: – Review regarding use of connectors conclude that (Niel-Weise B S, Daha T J, van den Broek P J, 2006): - The authors concluded that, from the point of view of infection prevention, there are no objections to using needleless closed catheter access systems. However, there is insufficient evidence at this stage to recommend their use. Other reviews about flusing: López-Briz E, Ruiz-García V. (2005); Anderson BJ et al (2010) ; Mitchell MD, Anderson BJ, Williams K, Umscheid CA (2009) e Goode CJ, et al, (1991). Cite the study of new technology to disinfect the connectors. (Wright MO, et al, 2012 and Sweet MA, et al. 20) Joint Commission established protocol disinfecting catheter hubs (2009), mention it. What are the data bases used to this review? Did you use any specific strategy in the articles search? What was the search period? If yes for some of them, mention it. Include hands hygiene, which helps on infection prevention. Include Guidelines/Societies orientations that treat the issue.	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Check the typing – line 59 (thereforethe); 88 e 89 (Withneutralconnectors, ordisconnection); 103 (commondisinfection); 104 (selectedby); 112 (studyrevealed); 116 (Thisprotocol); 131 (manufacturersof), 138 (that3-5); 137 (aninvitro); 147 (residueproviding - forbacterial); 175 (anyIV); 178 (whichclamping); 179 (Thismakes); 188 (occlusionsare); 219 (practiceincluding); 224 (orneutral); 239 (connectorwill); 239 (regardingconnector); 242 (thenbacteria); 248 (necessarywith); 251 (intraluminalvascular); 253 (outcomesresearch)	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Study references that are not in the review and were suggested: Jarvis WR, et al. Health care-associated bloodstream infections associated with negative- or positive-pressure or displacement mechanical valve needleless connectors. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i> 2009;49(12):1821-7). MAKI, D.M. In Vitro Studies of a Novel Antimicrobial Luer-Activated Needleless Connector for Prevention of Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infection. <i>Clin Infect Dis</i>. (2010) 50 (12): 1580-1587. Niel-Weise B S, Daha T J, van den Broek P J. Is there evidence for recommending needleless closed catheter access systems in guidelines: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. <i>Journal of Hospital Infection</i> 2006; 62(4): 406-413. Goode CJ, et al. A meta-analysis of effects of heparin flush and saline flush: quality and cost implications. <i>Nurs Res</i> 1991;40(6):324-30. López-Briz E, Ruiz-García V. Effectiveness of heparin versus NaCl 0.9% in central venous catheter flushing. A systematic review]. Farm Hosp. 2005 Jul-Aug;29(4):258-64. Anderson BJ et al (2010) What is the evidence for heparin or saline flush to maintain the patency of central venous catheters? <i>Nursing Times</i>; 106: 16 Mitchell MD, Anderson BJ, Williams K, Umscheid CA Heparin flushing and other interventions to maintain patency of central venous catheters: a systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2009 Oct;65(10):2007-21. Hadaway L. Needleless connectors: improving practice, reducing risks. <i>Journal of the Association for Vascular Access: JAVA</i> 2011;16(1):20-5.	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Wright MO, et al. Preventing contamination of central venous catheter valves with the use of an alcohol-based disinfecting cap. <i>Am J Infect Control</i> 2012;40(5):e179-e180. Sweet MA, et al. Impact of alcohol-impregnated port protectors and needleless neutral pressure connectors on central line-associated bloodstream infections and contamination of blood cultures in an inpatient oncology unit. <i>Am J Infect Control</i> 2012 May 8. [Epub ahead of print.] Joint Commission. <i>National patient safety goals</i>. Oakbrook Terrace, IL; 2009. http://www.jointcommission.org/standards_information/npsgs.aspx.	
--	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Janislei Gislei Dorociaki Stocco
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraná State – Brazil (Universidade Federal do Paraná – Brasil)