



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_13438
Title of the Manuscript:	A study to examine the correlation between nutritional status with bone health of young adult college students of two different communities (Tribal and non-Tribal) by anthropometric measures and urinary indices
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I found the paper to be very well written and informative. The analysis and procedures appear to be sound. The tentative conclusions are reasonable.</p> <p>Since osteoporosis is such a widespread problem the paper should be of interest and use to a wide audience --- particularly young people and health care professionals. Therefore publication is recommended. No changes are suggested.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald L. Huston
Department, University & Country	School of Dynamic Systems, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, USA