



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11688
Title of the Manuscript:	PM Najib's Transformation Agenda in Making Malaysia as a High Income Nation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Research question must be designed for this manuscript.</p> <p>Research methodology and tools must be organized for this study.</p> <p>Abstract must be improved.</p> <p>Content of the study must be re-organized and re-presented.</p> <p>Manuscript must be supported with current literature. References look weak.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Title: PM Najib's Transformation Agenda in Making Malaysia as a High Income Nation".</p> <p>It has not both research question and also research methodology. To be acceptable it to review, both abstract and rest of the paper must be re-designed. Content of the paper must be improved with current literature. Also potential contribution for related field is not obvious and I am not sure that it has any potential contribution.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Anadolu University, Turkey