



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_AIR_8475
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review of the Intraluminal Fluid Pathway to Prevent Catheter Related Bloodstream Infections and Occlusions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript 2013_AIR_8475 , titled : A Review of the Intraluminal Fluid Pathway to Prevent Catheter Related Bloodstream Infections and Occlusions figure out several views in preventing CR-BSI regarding intravascular devices. I believe the author(s) have many experiences in dealing with this issue.</p> <p>First of all, the English text of the manuscript should be revised.</p> <p>I suggest some aspect t o consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Give the definition of the CR-BSI, since it related only for Central venous line only, not perifer, it must clearly explained in the beginning. - Typos and spacing must be check thoroughly - The subtitles should clear enough to separate each part, i.e. row number 187: "EDUCATION" was typed by uppercasing word, but there were no other subtitles typed by uppercasing words. - Content of the subtitles should be in comprehensive manner in order to explain the message of the manuscript. - Subtitle: "Patient IV connector assessment "(rows below no 223) should be more explaining. I suggest build it in positive sentences than questions 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>sentences.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Currently, references list are not written in a consistent style. It should be uniform and according to the journal instruction, i.e. references number 1,2, 32 were in different style. - Provide the table and figure in a proper and informative style. Follow the instruction of the journal. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enty Tjoa
Department, University & Country	Microbiology Dept, Catholic university of Atma Jaya, Jakarta, Indonesia