



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_11388
Title of the Manuscript:	Crack-growth on canvas paintings during transport simulation monitored with digital holographic speckle interferometry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Residual scientific or technical value. Major deficiencies in grammar and spelling making difficult to understand parts of the work. Too many unnecessary tables. On figure 7 and next results presented with excessive precision (number of cracks parameters a, b, and c). On figure 8 a curve should be fitted to the data points. Table 4 and 5. Not sufficient explanation of the very long time of vibration cycle #2. The conclusions must be fully re-write.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer