



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_9161
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Environmentally Favourable and Unfavorable Bacteria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Line 7-8:</b> "Microbial communities have a vast importance to the ecosystem and can be used by humans for their health or industrial applications"</p> <p>Sentence should be reframed, as it does not convey the exact meaning which the authors intend to convey.</p> <p><b>Line 33:</b> to the environment... 'to' should be replaced with 'with'</p> <p><b>Line 61:</b> 'looses' to be replaced with 'losses'</p> <p><b>Line 62:</b> 'humans' to be replaced with either 'human' or 'human's'</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract can be reconstructed. The aim of publishing such a review is not fully understood through the abstract.</p> <p>Purpose of discussing lectins in the review is not clearly mentioned in the abstract.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Paper is concise and well presented.	

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**