SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_9672
Title of the Manuscript:	Rapid chemical bath deposition and optical property of CuS films using sodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate as chelating agent

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
3) I cannot identify which are the particles in Figure 2. The authors should identify the particle	
by means of a circle or any type of indicators.	
4) The deposition rate at different EDTA-2Na:Cu ²⁺ conditions in Figure 3 should be added as	
the evidence which clearly show the existence of maximum deposition rate.	
5) The composition of CuS and Cu ₂ S in the film should be given. The authors mentioned Cu ₂ S	
were covered with CuS in Figure 1. The considerable decrease in transmittance after long	
deposition, in Fig. 4 (b), was explained relating to higher transmittance of Cu ₂ S than CuS.	
This means that content of Cu_2S was maximum at EDTA-2Na: $Cu^{2+}=1.0$. Was the maximum	
deposition rate achieved by Cu ₂ S, not by CuS ? If the contribution of Cu ₂ S was large at	
EDTA-2Na: Cu ²⁺ =1.0, I must recognize that the authors chose the unsuitable condition for	
CuS deposition.	
8) Many grammatical errors still remain.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer

