Abstract

Original Research Article

Impact of Photosynthetically Active Radiation on temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction in Lubigi *Cyperus papyrus* L. wetland surface

- 7 Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) is dominant solar radiation reaching the earth 8 surface. PAR changes in response to the position of the sun and length of the day can be 9 complex and perhaps even counter - intuitive to ecosystems. Assessment of PAR on relative 10 humidity (RH), temperature, wind speed and direction in *Cyperus papyrus* (papyrus) wetland 11 canopy surface was done during the months of September, 2010 (wet month) and June, 2011 12 (dry month) when the sun is at the equator and Tropics of Cancer respectively. 13 PAR picked up in the morning (7.00 hour) and exhibited similar pattern during the months, 14 although September values were significantly higher between 07.00 - 08.00 hours, 12.00 -15 15.00 hours and 19.00 hour. Significant difference in PAR at 19.00 hour of the months was 16 characterized by significant temperature change between 20.00 to 21.00 hours. Significant 17 temperature change between the months from 11.00 to 12.00 hours occurred before that of PAR (12.00 - 15.00 hours). RH was significantly different between the months. Wind speed 18 19 and PAR differences were significant between 07.00 - 08.00 hours. Wind oscillation was 20 easterly and southerly wind and significant difference in wind direction occurred between 21 07.00 - 08.00 hours and 10.00 hour. Overall, data based on regression analysis indicate 22 significantly strong correlation between PAR with RH, temperature and wind speed. Such 23 changes in weather variables in relation to ecosystem services and development requires 24 assessment. 25
- Keywords: Papyrus, photosynthetically active radiation, relative humidity, temperature,
 wetlands

28 Introduction

29 The area bounded by the Tropic of Cancer on the north and Tropic of Capricorn on the south 30 is known as the tropics and does not experience significant seasonal variations because the 31 sun is always high in the sky as said by [1]. However, the position of the sun, the length of 32 the day and solar radiation change occur according to [2]. This directly affect the annual 33 changes of extraterrestrial radiation in the tropics with position of the sun in the north and 34 south of the equator with varied energy budget as reported by [3]. [4] also related shift in 35 energy budget to position, intensity of convection clouds and large scale tropical circulation. 36 [5] reported heat energy storage in the Lake Victoria basin during daytime, considerably as 37 larger sink in open water as compared to wetland ecosystems. At regional and ecosystem 38 levels, [6] argued that the daily response to such changes can be complex and perhaps even 39 counter – intuitive. [7] reported that radiation absorption by water vapour (attenuation of 40 radiation) plays an important role in the physics of the atmosphere and the absorbed energy 41 changes partly into heat and produces a change of temperature in the free atmosphere. An 42 additional part of the energy is transformed into longer wave radiations emitted into the 43 environment. In Lake Victoria region, [2] reported that extraterrestrial radiation is low and 44 high during the months of June and September respectively

The diurnal cycle of convective activity and cloudiness over Lake Victoria basin was described by [8]. [9] argued that two wet seasons in Lake Victoria basin appear to have fundamental dynamic differences. For this reason, [8] said; diurnal cycles of cloudiness during different seasons are expected to be quite different. However, cloudiness cycles was reported by [10] and [11] to cause restricted and intense sunshine between 11.00 - 16.30 hours, and high night cloudiness impact on evaporation through net radiation respectively. This study is aimed at assessing the PAR variation of solar radiation in the *Cyperus papyrus* wetland surface in Lake Victoria basin during the months of June and September when the sun is at Tropic of Cancer on 21^{st} June and directly overhead at noon on the equinoxes near 21^{st} of September respectively and the influence on temperature, relative humidity (RH), and wind speed and direction. It is important in the understanding of the atmospheric changes that occur as a result of wetland ecosystems presence. The study hypothesized that the PAR has no relationship with the diurnal weather changes in the *C. papyrus* wetland canopy.

58

59 Materials and Methods

60 Study area

The study was conducted in Lubigi wetland, Kampala District, located at an altitude of 1,158 - 1,173 m above sea level and covering geographical co-ordinates of 0^0 17' N to 0^0 22' N and 31⁰ 30' E to 31⁰ 34' E (Figure 1). Early rain season in the area starts from March to May and second rain from August to December.

65 Experiment and data collection

66 Data was collected during the entire month of September, 2010 and June, 2011 which was 67 characterized by dry and wet period respectively. A data Hog 2 logger installed in monotypic stand of C. papyrus located at 0^{0} 24' N and 32⁰ 31' E at an average of 1,166 m above sea 68 69 level was used for weather data recording. The logger was calibrated for relative humidity 70 (RH), air temperature, wind speed and direction, and photosynthetically active radiation 71 (PAR). RH/Temperature probe calibration for relative humidity and air temperature was at 72 standard factory specifications at nominal points of 1% and 75.45 RH, and 27 degrees 73 Celsius. Pyranometer calibration was directly against a calibrated reference World 74 Meteorological Office, First Class Pyranometer under natural daylight conditions with 75 uncertainty +5% for determining PAR. However, the actual calibration was based on an

76	estimated confidence of not less than 95% (typically +3%). RH/Temperature, wind sensors
77	and pyranometer were connected to the logger at different specified calibrated channels. No
78	channel was calibrated for rainfall data recording. Changes in air temperature, RH, wind
79	speed and direction, and solar radiation in form of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR)
80	were averaged on thirty (30) minutes. Data were collected for wet period of September, 2010
81	and dry period of June 2011. Average values were determined for 30 days samples of both
82	day and night time phenomena. June, 2011 and September, 2010 were selected because of the
83	dry and wet spell and characteristic difference in sun's position from equator [2].
84	
85	Statistical analysis
86	Statistical data analysis was according to Minitab version 13 and regression analysis was
87	used to test for statistical relationship between variables [12]. Non parametric test of Mann-
88	Whitney was used for diurnal differences between weather variables during September, 2010
0.0	
89	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05.
89 90	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05.
89 90 91	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results
89 90 91 92	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results
 89 90 91 92 93 	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results The changes in air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the months of September,
 89 90 91 92 93 94 	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results The changes in air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the months of September, 2010 and June, 2011 is shown in Table 1.
 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 	 and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results The changes in air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the months of September, 2010 and June, 2011 is shown in Table 1.
 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 	 and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results The changes in air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the months of September, 2010 and June, 2011 is shown in Table 1. Observed temperature values were not significantly different during June and September
 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 	and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results The changes in air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the months of September, 2010 and June, 2011 is shown in Table 1. Observed temperature values were not significantly different during June and September except for values between 11.00 - 12.00 hours and 20.00 - 21.00 hours that were significantly
 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 	 and June, 2011. All statistical values were considered significant at less than 0.05. Results The changes in air temperature in wetland canopy surface during the months of September, 2010 and June, 2011 is shown in Table 1. Observed temperature values were not significantly different during June and September except for values between 11.00 - 12.00 hours and 20.00 - 21.00 hours that were significantly lower for September. Temperature inversion occurred in the morning (8.00 - 10.00 hours) and

100	between the months. Slightly stable thermal atmosphere was formed throughout the night in
101	both months. However, June exhibited longer thermal equilibrium compared to September.
102	The variation in relative humidity (RH) in the surface of C. papyrus during September (wet)
103	and June (dry) months is presented in Table 2.
104	
105	Lower RH was recorded between 11.00 – 19.00 hours and 09.00 – 22.00 hours during June
106	and September respectively. RH was very distinct between the months with overall higher
107	values in June. A significant difference in RH between the months was observed between
108	20.00 - 10.00 hours (p < 0.05). A lag in RH inversion compared to temperature occurred in
109	morning hours but for evening hours both temperature and RH inversion started at the same
110	time (Tables 1 and 2). Maximum relative humidity of 100% dominated most hours during
111	June.

The change in wind direction in surface of wetland canopy during September and June ispresented in Table 3.

115

116 Southern wind dominated night hours (19.00 - 03.00) during both June and September 117 months. However, June experienced more of southerly wind and was significantly higher 118 between 07.00 - 08.00 hours and at 10.00 hours compared to September month. Wind 119 generally oscillated between eastern and southern direction. Wind oscillation was 120 significantly different between hours of each season except for 20.00 hour of the wet season 121 (p > 0.05).

122

123 The variability in wind speed over wetland canopy is shown in Table 4.

125	Diurnal variation of wind speed occurs with June exhibiting significantly lower speed during
126	night hours (01.00 - 10.00). Similar wind speed were observed between 11.30 - 21.00 hours.
127	Maximum wind speed occurred at about 15.00 - 17.00 hours in June. A shorter period of high
128	wind speed was exhibited around 15.00 - 16.00 hours of September.
129	
130	Table 5 shows changes of PAR in surface of C. papyrus wetland canopy during the months.
131	
132	Solar radiation picked up in the morning (7.00 hour). Similar pattern was observed for both
133	months. Maximum values were reached around 12.00 hour in both dry and wet months.
134	Significantly higher values in the wet month occurred between 07.00 - 08.00 hours, 12.00 -
135	15.00 hours and in the evening hour (19.00).
136	
137	The relation between PAR, temperature, RH and wind speed and direction is represented in
138	Table 6.
139	
140	The weather variables changes could be accounted for the PAR variation. PAR influence on

141 wind direction was experienced in each hour of the day except for 20.00 - 21.00 hours of both 142 months of June and September which exhibited minimum values above 12.4 degrees (Table 3). PAR effect on wind speed was not significant since the values were below the F-values 143 for dry (40.8 m s⁻¹) and wet (55.9 m s⁻¹) respectively. However, PAR effect on wind speed 144 145 was higher during September (55.9%) compared to 40.8% of June. Temperature change was 146 not significantly related to PAR variation since all values were below the F-values of the months of June (68.5 ⁰C) and September (76.7 ⁰C) respectively. Significant difference in RH 147 148 occurred above 64.5% and 83.9% during June and September respectively. The effect of PAR on RH was shorter for dry season of June (11.00 - 19.00 hours) compared to wet season of
September (09.00 - 22.00 hours) (Table 2).

151

152 **Discussion**

153

154 The photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) pattern was similar to that reported by [13], 155 and [14] in wetlands canopy in Naivasha and Kirinya wetlands respectively. PAR picked up 156 in the morning (7.00 hour) and similar pattern was observed for both June (dry month) and 157 September (wet month). However, significantly higher values were recorded during the wet 158 month between 07.00 - 08.00 hours, 12.00 - 15.00 hours and 19.00 hour. Lower solar 159 radiation intensity in the dry month of June is attributed to the sun's position at the Tropic of 160 Cancer as a result of axial tilt of the earth at the equator reported by [2]. The radiation 161 intensity is usually determined by angle between direction of sun's rays to normal surface of 162 atmosphere, and such angles change at different latitudes, during the day and in different 163 seasons. However, the effect of cloudiness cycles cannot be ruled out since this was reported 164 by [10] to cause restricted and intense sunshine between 11.00 - 16.30 hours.

165 Significant PAR difference in the evening (19.00 hour) was followed by significant 166 temperature difference between 20.00 to 21.00 hours of the months. Data based on regression 167 analysis indicates that the significant difference is not directly caused by the PAR intensity 168 but attributed to elevated RH change (Table 2). [7] reported attenuation of radiation by RH 169 plays an important role in the atmosphere. The absorbed energy changes partly into heat and 170 produces a change of temperature in the free atmosphere. An additional part of the energy is 171 transformed into longer wave radiations emitted into the environment in the absence of solar 172 radiation which affects duration of thermal equilibrium particularly at night. The increased 173 thermal air equilibrium during night hours of June is attributable to low net radiation. The

effect of high night cloudiness impacting on evaporation through net radiation as reported by [11] cannot be ruled out. The shorter thermal equilibrium duration during September is attributed to high PAR that caused evaporative cooling of air due to net radiation in the night. The evaporative cooling also explains temperature variation in September which was characterised by higher and lower values compared to June. This is attributed to the 100% RH attained in most hours of June. The amount of moisture in the air affects the amount of heat absorbed.

181 Significant difference in PAR between the months also coincided with temperature change at 182 noon (11.00 - 1200 hours); a reflection of direct effect of PAR on temperature. This is also 183 exhibited by the relatively similar variance of temperature response to PAR approximated at 184 76.7% and 74.6% for wet and dry season respectively.

185 General wind oscillation was easterly and southerly wind and significant difference occurred 186 during 07.00 - 08.00 hours and 10.00 hours of the months. At the equatorial regions, air is 187 heated more strongly than at other latitudes, causing it to become lighter and less dense as 188 reported by [15]. In this regard, wind oscillation in Lubigi wetland is attributed to the warm 189 air rising to high altitudes and flowing southward towards the poles where air near the surface 190 is cooler during both June and September months. This movement ceases at about 30° S, 191 where air begins to cool and sink and a return flow of this cooler air takes place in the lowest 192 layers of the atmosphere. The dominance of southern cool wind during dry month (June) 193 compared to wet month (September) implies higher cooling rate amidst lower PAR in June. 194 Notwithstanding the effect caused by evaporative cooling, high wind speed and direction 195 lowered temperature at higher PAR for a similar reason. This was exhibited at 1.00 hour in 196 the month of September compared to the month of June.

Wind speed during dry spell of June was lower than the value (15 m s^{-1}) reported by [16] for 199 200 Lake Victoria eco-region. The lower altitude at which the meteorological unit was installed 201 could have contributed to the recorded speed. Significant PAR difference between the months 202 coincided with significant wind speed difference at the sun rise (07.00 - 08.00 hours); an 203 indication that early morning heating makes air lighter, ultimately causing wind to move 204 faster as observed during the day. During 11.30 to 21.00 hours, wind speed was not 205 significantly different between the months, indicating relatively similar air weight and 206 turbulence at the papyrus wetland canopy.

207

208 The study indicates significant PAR influence in papyrus canopy surface on wind speed and 209 temperature at sun rise (07.00 - 08.00 hours) and noon (11.00 - 12.00 hours) respectively. 210 These changes attributed to the sun's position in the different months makes it important in 211 ecophysiology and development of papyrus. [17] and [14] reported water flux in papyrus 212 canopy and photosynthesis increasing to a threshold as PAR and air-temperature increased. 213 The threshold could be related to the sun's position. Therefore, the development of papyrus 214 relatively to sun's position needs to be investigated. This will reveal periods of annual growth 215 phases that can easily be integrated in the sustainable management of wetlands. 216 Acknowledgement

- The data used in this paper were obtained from a meteorological unit installed in Lubigi that was funded by Irish Aid and Trinity International Development Initiative (TIDI) in collaboration with Makerere University.
- 220

221 **References**

- Rosenberg, M. (2013). The Equator, Hemispheres, Tropic of Cancer, and Tropic of
 Capricorn. Important Lines of Latitude The Equator and Tropics. Retrieved on
 24/8/2013.
- 225 2) FAO (1998) Crop evaporation guidelines for computing crop water requirement.
 226 FAO irrigation and drainage paper 56. ISBN 92-5-104219-5.
 227 http://www.fao.org/docrep/X0490E/X0490E00.htm. Retrieved on 15/07/2011.
- 3) Wielicki, B.A., Wong, T., Allan, R.P., Slingo, A., Kiehl, J.T., Soden, B.J., Gordon,
 C.T., Miller, A.J., Yang, S., Randall, D.A., Robertson, F., Susskind, J. & Jacobowitz,
 H. (2002) Evidence for large decadal variability in the tropical mean radiative energy
 budget. *Science*, 295(5556), 841-844.
- 4) Chen, J., Carlson, B.E. & Del Genio, A.D. (2002) Evidence for strengthening of the
 tropical general circulation in the 1990s. *Science*, 295(5556), 838-841.
- 5) Burba, G.G., Verma, S.B., Kim, J. (1999) A comparative study of surface energy
 fluxes of three communities (*Phragmites australis, Scurpus acutus* and open water) in
 a Prairie wetland ecosystem. *Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 19(2), 451-457.
- 237 6) Trenberth, K.E., Jones, P.D., Ambenje, P., Bojariu, R., Easterling, D., Klein Tank, A., 238 Parker, D., Rahimzadeh, F., Renwick, J.A., Rusticucci, M., Soden, B. & Zhai, P. 239 (2007) Observations: Surface and Atmospheric Climate Change. In: Solomon, S., D. 240 Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H. L. Miller 241 (eds.), Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working 242 Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate 243 Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, 244 NY, USA.
- 245 7) Lukáč, J. & Vítek, R. V. (1985). Absorption of direct solar radiation by water vapour.
 246 *Studio Geophysica at Geodaetica* 29(3): 315-317.
- 247 8) Yin, X., Nicholson, S.E. & Mamoudou, B.B. (2000) On diurnal cycle of cloudiness
 248 over Lake Victoria and its influence on evaporation from the Lake. *Hydrological*249 *Sciences*, 45(3), 407 424.
- 9) Nicholson, S.E. (1996) A review of climate dynamic and climate variability in
 Eastern Africa. In: *T. C. Johnson and E. Odada (eds), The Limnology, Climatology and Paleoclimatology of the East African Lakes.* Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam,
 The Netherlands.
- 254 10) Bugenyi, F.W.B. & Magumba, K.M. (1996) The present physicochemical ecology of
 255 Lake Victoria, Uganda. In: *T. C. Johnson and E. Odada (eds), The Limnology,*

- 256 *Climatology and Paleoclimatology of the East African Lakes*, Gordon and Breach,
 257 Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 11) Bergman, J.W. & Salby, M.L. (1997) The role of cloud diurnal variation in the time
 mean energy budget. J. Climate, 10, 1114-1124.
- 12) <u>http://tinyurl.com/as2hdev</u>. Reference Manual for Statistical Software: A gentle
 overview of Excel, SPSS, Minitab, SAS. Retrieved on 10/08/2013.
- 262 13) Jones, B.M. & Muthuri, F.M. (1984) The diurnal course of plant water potential,
 263 stomatal conductance and transpiration in a papyrus (*Cyperus papyrus* L.) canopy.
 264 *Oecologia (Berlin)*, 63, 252-255
- 14) Saunders, J.M., Jones, M.B. & Kansiime, F. (2007) Carbon and water cycles in
 tropical papyrus wetlands. *Wetlands Ecol. Manage.*, 15, 489-498.
- 267 15) CREST (2000) Postgraduate Distance Learning Series in Renewable Energy Systems
 268 Technology Wind Power Unit 1. <u>http://preview.tinyurl.com/a9l8ldy</u>. Retrieved on
 269 10/01/2013.
- 270 16) Spigel, R.H. & Coulter, G.W. (1996) Comparison of hydrology and physical
 271 limnology of the East African Great Lakes: Tanganyika, Malawi, Victoria, Kivu and
 272 Turkana (with references to some North American Great Lakes). In: *Johnson, T. C.,*273 *Odada, E. O. (eds.), The limnology, climatology, and paleoclimatology of the East*274 *African lakes. Amsterdam,* The Netherlands: Gordon and Breach Publishers.
- 275 17) Jones , M.B. & Humphries, S.W. (2002) Impacts of the C₄ sedge *Cyperus papyrus* L.
 276 on carbon and water fluxes in an African wetland. *Hydrobiologia*, 488, 107-113.
- 277
- 278

Table 1: Temperature (°C) changes in papyrus wetland canopy surface during the months of June and September. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between hourly means of dry and wet months.

		Dry period-	June	W	et period-Sej	otember	
Time							
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	17.76	15.44	20.70	17.48	14.57	20.15	NS
02.00	17.48	15.80	19.53	17.32	14.70	20.40	NS
03.00	17.38	15.57	19.15	17.06	14.67	19.36	NS
04.00	17.31	15.65	19.84	17.03	15.51	19.58	NS
05.00	17.20	15.35	19.92	16.82	15.49	19.18	NS
06.00	17.18	15.13	19.36	16.92	15.68	19.10	NS
07.00	17.00	14.88	18.88	17.07	15.75	19.21	NS
08.00	17.32	14.73	19.48	17.59	16.03	19.47	NS
09.00	19.20	16.98	22.02	19.33	17.56	23.43	NS
10.00	21.86	17.33	25.05	21.47	18.83	25.36	NS
11.00	23.87	17.71	26.71	23.17	20.47	26.61	S
12.00	25.05	18.37	27.86	24.58	20.71	27.51	S
13.00	25.63	18.80	28.57	25.28	19.15	28.27	NS
14.00	25.27	15.47	28.89	25.60	18.29	28.39	NS
15.00	24.67	16.34	28.75	25.55	18.33	28.86	NS
16.00	24.48	16.59	28.22	24.83	18.52	28.15	NS
17.00	24.30	16.65	27.93	23.89	16.95	28.13	NS
18.00	24.07	16.73	27.13	23.44	17.65	28.15	NS
19.00	22.81	16.86	25.72	22.22	17.86	27.09	NS
20.00	20.82	16.87	23.87	20.15	17.34	22.65	S
21.00	19.52	16.65	22.52	19.02	16.92	21.11	S
22.00	18.74	16.74	21.21	18.39	16.32	20.90	NS
23.00	18.29	16.55	20.60	18.09	15.47	20.72	NS
00.00	17.95	16.19	20.38	17.88	15.10	20.35	NS

Table 2: Relative humidity (%) changes in surface of *C. papyrus* wetland canopy during the
months of September and June. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences
between hourly means in wet and dry months.

a a a	
290	

	Ι	Dry period-Ju	ne	We	et period-Sep	tember	
Time							p –
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	value
01.00	99.48	88.50	100	95.68	86.43	97.77	S
02.00	99.59	87.68	100	95.65	85.68	97.82	S
03.00	99.84	95.05	100	95.78	83.23	97.66	S
04.00	99.83	94.71	100	96.11	90.75	97.88	S
05.00	99.53	90.21	100	96.42	91.43	97.97	S
06.00	99.63	94.10	100	96.33	91.23	97.93	S
07.00	99.65	95.92	100	96.03	90.34	97.88	S
08.00	99.50	92.19	100	95.72	89.59	97.82	S
09.00	98.54	86.20	100	92.18	75.81	97.88	S
10.00	90.35	76.05	100	82.67	64.05	93.00	S
11.00	80.92	63.19	100	74.83	60.36	87.34	S
12.00	74.94	57.84	100	68.73	58.11	86.13	S
13.00	71.45	53.60	98.64	66.15	53.49	93.89	S
14.00	72.72	50.21	99.65	65.48	53.18	95.39	S
15.00	74.40	50.86	100	66.20	50.86	91.98	S
16.00	74.93	54.91	100	68.25	55.21	92.66	S
17.00	76.81	59.75	100	71.90	53.60	97.22	S
18.00	77.64	60.09	99.26	74.01	49.40	97.55	S
19.00	83.37	63.68	99.60	78.96	57.42	97.49	S
20.00	92.87	80.21	99.93	87.71	75.32	97.55	S
21.00	97.01	84.78	100	91.73	80.87	97.66	S
22.00	98.56	90.53	100	93.62	83.14	97.77	S
23.00	99.15	90.34	100	94.25	86.43	97.82	S
00.00	99.59	95.53	100	94.51	84.37	97.71	S

Table 3: Wind direction (degrees) changes in surface of papyrus wetland canopy during the
months of September and June. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences
between means of dry and wet months.

		Dry period-	June	W	et period-Sej	otember	
Time							
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	199.1	0	340	213.1	5	340	NS
02.00	181.8	0	345	186.3	0	355	NS
03.00	184.5	0	345	180.5	0	355	NS
04.00	165.8	0	350	177.2	0	350	NS
05.00	163.9	0	325	161.7	0	355	NS
06.00	173.2	0	355	157.9	0	355	NS
07.00	185.6	0	355	129.2	0	280	S
08.00	210.1	0	350	140.0	0	335	S
09.00	160.8	0	355	111.5	0	315	NS
10.00	158.7	0	345	103.9	0	330	S
11.00	157.6	0	355	159.5	0	355	NS
12.00	160.9	0	350	163.6	0	340	NS
13.00	175.3	0	355	155.8	0	335	NS
14.00	170.8	0	305	153.3	0	330	NS
15.00	171.7	0	340	155.5	0	315	NS
16.00	172.2	0	325	173.0	0	340	NS
17.00	168.4	0	290	166.4	0	315	NS
18.00	175.8	0	220	173.8	0	350	NS
19.00	182.3	0	290	191.1	0	350	NS
20.00	209.7	25	345	198.8	25	335	NS
21.00	219.0	5	350	208.6	5	355	NS
22.00	204.6	0	340	216.1	0	355	NS
23.00	194.3	0	330	215.1	0	355	NS
00.00	187.2	0	355	211.5	5	350	NS

Table 4: Wind speed (m s⁻¹) in surface of papyrus wetland canopy during the month of June and September. NS and S represent non significant and significant differences between means of dry and wet months.

		Dry period-	June	W	et period –Se	ptember	
Time						_	
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	0.53	0	2.29	0.65	0.15	1.84	S
02.00	0.46	0	1.39	0.78	0	3.19	S
03.00	0.44	0	1.39	0.80	0	2.87	S
04.00	0.51	0	1.74	0.91	0.15	3.93	S
05.00	0.55	0	2.04	0.85	0.20	3.43	S
06.00	0.63	0	2.19	0.80	0.20	2.09	S
07.00	0.57	0	2.19	0.86	0.15	2.54	S
08.00	0.47	0	1.69	0.76	0.15	2.34	S
09.00	0.51	0	2.14	1.00	0.20	2.09	S
10.00	1.27	0.20	4.43	1.53	0.45	3.33	S
11.00	1.56	0.40	3.73	1.83	0.90	4.08	NS
12.00	1.77	0.65	4.23	1.91	0.70	4.08	NS
13.00	1.92	0.85	4.33	2.15	0.80	5.52	NS
14.00	2.17	0.70	4.73	2.23	0.50	4.28	NS
15.00	2.45	0.50	5.23	2.53	0.85	4.28	NS
16.00	2.42	0.20	4.73	2.60	0.60	5.57	NS
17.00	2.43	0.30	5.03	2.29	0.50	4.38	NS
18.00	1.95	0.15	4.38	2.08	0.40	4.63	NS
19.00	1.50	0.20	3.83	1.41	0.25	2.84	NS
20.00	0.78	0.15	1.89	0.80	0.20	2.04	NS
21.00	0.64	0.10	2.29	0.61	0.25	1.30	NS
22.00	0.71	0	3.58	0.71	0.30	1.34	NS
23.00	0.45	0	1.59	0.71	0.30	1.59	S
00.00	0.45	0	1.20	0.62	0.15	1.64	NS

308

310 Table 5: PAR in surface of papyrus wetland canopy during June and September. NS and S

311	represent non	significant and	significant	differences	between	means of	dry and	wet months.
-----	---------------	-----------------	-------------	-------------	---------	----------	---------	-------------

	I	Dry period-J	une	Wet	period-Sept	tember	
Time							
(hours)	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	p-value
01.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
02.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
03.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
04.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
05.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
06.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
07.00	0.84	0	8.42	4.07	0	18.95	S
08.00	96.42	12.63	292.63	140.55	5.26	493.68	S
09.00	380.79	8.42	787.37	427.54	136.84	954.74	NS
10.00	781.51	47.37	1267.37	802.22	137.90	1408.42	NS
11.00	1071.39	149.47	1612.63	1151.03	345.26	1863.16	NS
12.00	1234.82	254.74	1816.84	1482.83	440	2255.79	S
13.00	1065.84	158.95	1682.11	1421.97	53.68	2193.68	S
14.00	1037.42	17.90	1917.9	1483.37	127.37	2291.58	S
15.00	887.99	22.11	1720	1288.42	186.32	2093.68	S
16.00	817.96	35.79	1450.53	858.71	13.68	1746.32	NS
17.00	601.07	40	1317.9	620.05	24.21	1245.26	NS
18.00	339.23	32.63	675.79	367.13	27.37	841.05	NS
19.00	84.65	1.05	234.74	66.53	1.05	241.05	S
20.00	0.3	0	0.3	0	0	0	
21.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
22.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	
00.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	

316 Table 6: Regression analysis results of PAR with temperature, RH, and wind speed and

317 direction during the dry and wet month of June and September respectively.

Variable (s)	June (dry month)	September (wet month)
RH	$r^2 = 73.4\%, F = 64.52, p = 0.000$	$r^2 = 78.3\%, F = 83.88, p = 0.0$
Temperature	$r^2 = 74.6\%, F = 68.45, p = 0.000$	$r^2 = 76.7\%, F = 76.69, p = 0.0$
Wind speed	$r^2 = 63.4\%$, F = 40.82, p = 0.000	$r^2 = 70.5\%, F = 55.94, p = 0.0$
Wind direction	$r^2 = 33.2\%$, F = 12.44, p = 0.002	$r^2 = 15.1\%$, F = 5.08, p = 0.03

319

Figure 1: Lubigi *C. papyrus* wetland in Lake Victoria basin and location of meteorological
 unit.

