COMPARATIVE ROAD DUST SUPPRESSION CAPACITY OF MOLASSES STILLAGE AND WATER ON GRAVEL ROAD IN ZIMBABWE

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

9 10

1

2

3

4

5 67 8

Gravel road dust has significant health effect. The study was conducted to assess road dust suppression effect of molasses stillage in gravel at a Sugarcane Estate in Zimbabwe. Three, 2 km long gravel road sections (steep, sloping and gentle) had the following dust suppression treatments applied to 500m long segments: (i) molasses stillage, (ii) water and (iii) control. Data on dust deposition rates were subjected to Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) to compare treatment means. Mean road dust deposition rates ranged from 998.46±50.04 to 6184.02±257 mg/m²/30 days between January and June 2012. Road segments treated with molasses stillage had the lowest (P = .05) dust deposition rates compared to other treatments. Dust deposition rates were reduced by 77-83% and by 18-39% for molasses stillage and water treatments respectively. The sloping road segments had consistently the highest (P = .05) mean dust deposition rates. It was concluded that molasses stillage outperformed water as a road dust suppressant but variations were caused by type and volume of vehicular traffic together with meteorological factors at the Estate.

11 12

Keywords: molasses stillage, dust deposition rate, water, gravel road, suppression

13

14 **1. INTRODUCTION**

15

16 Gravel roads constitute about 90% of all road networks in the world and act as catalysts for 17 the movement of people and agricultural produce [1, 2]. A gravel road consists of a mixture 18 of gravel (40-80%), sand (20-60%) and fines (silt + clay: 8-15%) which are blended and compacted into a strong dense surface crust hard enough to resist breaking down under 19 20 traffic [3]. Dust generation from vehicular traffic is a considerable problem on gravel roads. Estimates by the US EPA indicate that gravel roads contribute up to 40% of the total fugitive 21 dust emitted into the atmosphere [4]. Fine particles in the road surface are pulverized by 22 23 vehicular traffic as the moisture in the road decreases, creating more dust under dry 24 conditions [5]. Vehicle weight, speed, design and wind strength influence the amount of dust 25 suspended by vehicles[6,7].

26

27 Dust is a solid particulate matter (PM) capable of temporary suspension in the air, with a 28 diameter size range of $0.1 - 75.0 \mu m$ [8]. Compositionally, suspended dust consists mainly 29 of oxides of aluminum, silicon, calcium, titanium, iron and other metal oxides [9]. Dust 30 emissions from gravel roads are a nuisance to the environment, agriculture and the public 31 health. Inhalable particulate matter (PM₁₀) is associated with respiratory and cardiovascular 32 morbidity and mortality [10]. In addition, fallout dust particles (<5 μm in diameter) reduce 33 agricultural crop productivity [11,12].

In Zimbabwe, the policing for atmospheric pollution control legislation are done by the 35 36 Environmental Management Agency (EMA) under the Ministry of Environment, Climate 37 Change and Water. EMA's Department of Environmental Protection enforces the adherence 38 to the Environmental Management Act Chapter 20:27 and its Environmental Management 39 (Atmospheric Pollution Control) regulations Statutory Instrument (S.I.) 72 of 2007 which 40 regulate the dust emissions and depositions [13]. The dust emission limits of 10mg/m³ stipulated in the S.I. 72 of 2007 is used to check compliance to environmental laws for 41 ambient air. The South African Standard (SANS) 1929:2010 and the German DIN air quality 42 43 monthly dust deposition rate limits of 1300 mg/m²/day for industrial and 650 mg/m²/day for non industrial sites (which include unpaved roads) are also used to check compliance in 44 45 Southern African countries [14].

46

47 The suppression of dust on gravel roads curtails PM loading in the atmosphere which 48 contributes to air pollution. Application of proper dust suppressants to gravel roads is 49 essential to ensure road safety, cleaner and healthier environment [4]. Water is key in gravel 50 road dust suppression dynamics as it facilitates binding of individual soil particles [15]. Dust 51 suppressants function either by attracting moisture from the surrounding air, which in turn 52 holds the dust or by adhering particles together or retarding evaporation from the road 53 surface [2,16]. Techniques of suppressing dust emissions range from spraying the roads 54 with hygroscopic chemicals to using geo-textiles in road reconstruction [17]. The commonly 55 used dust suppressants are lignin derivatives; chlorides of Ca, Mg and Na; road fabric; resinous adhesives and water [18]. 56

57

58 Besides polluting the environment, the generation of dust means the loss of fine particles 59 which are essential road surface binders. This loss of fines requires aggregate replacement 60 and increases gravel road maintenance cost. Large volumes of stillage are generated from sugar processing and application on gravel roads is an option for managing waste from the 61 62 sugar mills but limited research has been done on its effectiveness as a road dust 63 suppressant in Zimbabwe. The aim of the study was therefore to evaluate the effect of 64 molasses stillage on gravel roads in suppressing dust emissions using deposition rates as 65 indices at the beginning of the harvesting season.

66

67 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

68 Study site and work design

69 The study was carried out in a sugarcane Estate involved in growing and milling sugar cane 70 which is situated about 650 km to the South east of Harare, Zimbabwe. The Estate falls in 71 the Zimbabwean natural farming region 5 and receives a mean annual rainfall of 469 mm 72 between November and March [19]. The Mean annual evaporation is 1751 mm and 50% of it 73 occurs between December and March. The average monthly temperatures are 23°C in June 74 and 36℃ in October. The growing season is less than 90 days, making the region unsuitable 75 for dry land cropping. General wind direction is East of South East (ESE) with an average 76 speed of 1.3m/s [19].

The topography in the estate is generally flat (~1-2% gradient) and the estate is underlain by rocks associated with the Limpopo mobile metamorphic belt which are dominated by undifferentiated mafic and felsic gneisses and granulites intruded by quartz, dolerite and magnetite dykes [20]. A shear zone cuts across the estate and the rocks have an East of North East / West of South West strike.The dominant soils derived from the rocks are reddish in colour, unleached and base-rich [21].

83 The road network in the estate comprises gravel roads linking the agricultural production 84 areas. Regular gravel road maintenance includes road surfacing, watering, blading and 85 occasionally re-gravelling every 3 to 7 years. The reshaping of the driving surface and the

road shoulder is done by graders whilst rollers compact the finished surface.

The experiment had three 2 km long road sites as the main sampling strata and dust suppressants applied constituted the following treatments: road segment where molasses stillage was applied (treatment 1), control segment (treatment 2) and road segment where water was applied (treatment 3). The road was divided into three sections (strata) according to the road topography (Table 1).

92

93 **Table 1. Topographic characteristics of studied road sites**

Road site	Average slope angle	Slope class
Site 1(RS1)	21±4 ⁰	moderately steep
Site 2 (RS2)	14±7 ⁰	sloping
Site 3 (RS3)	4±3 ⁰	Gentle

94

95 Road segments (500 m long) were randomly selected at each road site and allocated to the 96 three treatments. A 200 m buffer band was left between the treatments in each road site to 97 reduce dust carry over (Fig. 1). Molasses stillage and water were applied at a rate of 4 litres 98 per square metre after every 14 days based on the recommendations from the Land 99 Preparation Department of the Estate.

100

101 Dust deposition gauges were installed at each sampling site to collect dust fall [22]. Six dust deposition gauges (15 cm diameter, 30 cm height) were installed 2m above the ground in 102 103 each treatment in a road segment (3 gauges on each side of the road) to determine the effectiveness of the dust suppressants (Fig.1). For the whole experiment, a total of 54 dust 104 deposition gauges were installed. Dust deposition was monitored over six months through 105 gravimetric weighing of the deposited dust after 30 days from 1st January to 30th June 2012. 106 Samples were sent to the laboratory for gravimetric analysis. Dust deposition rates were 107 108 calculated according to equation 1:

 $D = \frac{W}{A}$

- 109 Where: D is the deposition rate $(mg/m^2/30 \text{ days})$; W is the weight of the deposited dust (mg)110 and A is the area of the deposition gauge (m^2) .
- 111



 112
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P
 P

116 Data on dust deposition rates were tested for normality and subjected to Analysis Of 117 Variance (ANOVA) in SPSS version 20.0 of 2011 to compare the treatment means. Fisher 118 Least Significant Different (LSD) post-hoc tests were used to separate means of dust 119 deposition rates.

¹¹⁵

121 3. RESULTS

122 Overall Dust deposition rates

123

Dust deposition rates ranged from 998.46±50.04 mg/m²/30days on gentle slopes (RS3) to 124 6184.02±257 mg/m²/30days on sloping terrain (RS2) (Table 2). RS2 (sloping terrain) had 125 126 consistently the highest (P = .05) dust deposition rates when compared to the other sites 127 (Table 2). However, at each road site the order of dust deposition rate was 128 control>water>stillage over the six month period and all the treatment combinations were 129 significantly different (P = .05) (Table 2). At RS1 (steep terrain), application of stillage to the 130 road reduced the deposition rate of settlable dust by 3303 mg/ m²/30days (or 77%) when 131 compared with control which had a mean deposition rate of 4319.07±323.43 mg/m²/30days 132 (Table 2). Dust deposition rate in the control treatment was more than four-fold bigger than 133 that of stillage treatment at RS1. Water application marginally reduced dust accumulation 134 rate by 18% when compared with the control. However, water treated road section had 135 mean deposition rates 3.5 times higher (P = .05) than that of the stillage treated road 136 section.

137

138 Dust deposition rates were highest at RS2 (on sloping terrain). Rates of settlable dust 139 deposition of 5.7 times that of stillage applied segments were observed for the control. This 140 represented an 82% reduction in dust deposition rate as a result of stillage application when 141 compared with the control mean overall rate of 6184.02 \pm 257 mg/m²/30days (Table 2). 142 Application of water overally reduced the dust deposition rate by 39% when compared with 143 the control segment. At RS3, the dust deposition rates observed for the control (mean: 144 5984.09±322.61 mg/m²/30days) were about six times that of stillage treatment. An 83% 145 reduction in dust deposition rate was observed when stillage treatment is compared with 146 control (Table 2). Water application also nominally reduced road dust deposition rate by 147 about 39% when compared with the control. It was observed that the mean rate of dust 148 deposition in the water segments were 3.7 times that of stillage treated segments.

149 150 151

Table 2. Overall mean dust deposition rates: 01 January 2012 to 30 June 2012

Road Treatment		Mean dust deposition	Recalculated mean dust		
Site		rate (mg/ m²/30days)	(mg/ m ² /day)	(t/km²/day)	
RS1	Stillage	1016.23±61.75*	33.87±2.06	<mark>0.03</mark>	
	Control	4319.07±323.43*	118.53±6.44	<mark>0.1</mark> 2	
	Water	<mark>3556.10</mark> ±244.68*	36.49±1.71	<mark>0.04</mark>	
RS2	Stillage	1094.59±51.44*	143.97±10.78	<mark>0.14</mark>	
	Control	6184.02±257*	206.13±8.57	<mark>0.21</mark>	
	Water	3782.45±149.36*	126.06±4.98	<mark>0.13</mark>	
RS3	Stillage	998.46±50.04*	33.28±1.67	<mark>0.33</mark>	
	Control	5984.09±322.61*	199.47±10.75	0.20	
	Water	3671.13±217.51*	122.37±7.25	<mark>0.12</mark>	

154 **Temporal variation of dust deposition rates**

155

156 The variation in dust deposition rates is illustrated on Figs 2, 3 and 4 for the six month period 157 at each road site. At RS1 stillage treated segments had the lowest deposition rates whilst the control segments had the highest in any given month (Fig. 2). Dust deposition rates on 158 stillage treated segments increased gradually between January 2012 and June 2012, but 159 remained below 2000 mg/m²/30days. On the contrary, mean dust deposition rates in the 160 161 untreated road segments (control) exhibited high variability and deposition rates peaked in 162 January 2012 (about 5800 mg/ m²/30days); April (5200 mg/m²/30days) and June 2012 (4100 163 mg/m²/30days) whilst trough rates were observed in March 2012. The water-treated 164 segments' dust deposition rates exhibited a pattern similar to that of the control segments, 165 but were consistently lower throughout the six month period except in May 2012.

166 167



168

Fig. 2. Mean dust deposition rates for Steep sloping road site (RS1) for six months of2012

171

172 Fig. 3 shows the mean dust deposition rates over a period of six months at RS2 (on sloping 173 terrain, Table 1). There were no significant differences in dust deposition for the untreated 174 road segments (control) over the six months (Fig. 3). Dust deposition rates gradually 175 declined from 4000 mg/m²/30days in January to about 3800 mg/m²/30days in May and June 176 in water treated road segments at RS2. However, there were no significant differences 177 between dust depositions over the months. Dust deposition rates in stillage treated 178 segments were lower than water treated or control, but lowest in February and remained 179 below 2000 mg/m²/30days. However, the rates gradually increased to a peak in June 2012. 180





Fig. 3. Mean dust deposition rates for slopping road site (RS2) for six months

183 At RS3 road segment treated with stillage recorded lowest mean dust deposition rates when 184 compared with other segments (Fig. 4). A similar trend for dust deposition rates for the 185 stillage treated segments was observed over the period (<2000 mg/ m²/30days; gradually 186 rising to a maximum value in June). There were no significant differences in dust deposition 187 in the untreated segments over the 6 months. The water treated road segments also showed 188 no significant differences in dust deposition over the 6 months. The stillage showed the least 189 dust deposition, and the lowest values were observed in February and the highest in June 190 (Fig. 4).





192193Fig. 4: Mean dust deposition rates for gentle slopping road site (RS3) from January to194June 2012

195 Meteorological variables and vehicle counts: January 2012 to June 2012

Table 3 shows the rainfall and temperature data collected during the study period which was
hypothesized to contribute to dust suppression dynamics. Rainfall was mostly received in
January 2012 (48 mm) and February 2012 (5mm) (Table 3). Mean monthly temperatures
ranged from 26.9°C to 34.4°C.

Table 3: Rainfall and Temperature data for the Estate: 01 January 2012 to 30 June2012

	January 2012	February 2012	March 2012	April 2012	May 2012	June 2012
Total rainfall (mm)	<mark>48.0</mark>	<mark>5.0</mark>	<mark>0.0</mark>	<mark>0.0</mark>	<mark>0.0</mark>	<mark>0.0</mark>
Mean Monthly Temperature (°C)	32.7	31.3	34.4	32.1	27.4	26.9
Mean daily evaporation rate (mm/day) [*]	<mark>7.0</mark>	<mark>6.0</mark>	<mark>6.0</mark>	<mark>5.0</mark>	<mark>4.0</mark>	<mark>3.0</mark>

202 *Values calculated from more than 24 years of data (Lecler, 2003)

Fig. 5 shows the monthly vehicle counts at the three road sites. The vehicles are the major sources of settlable road dust. Tractors and light vehicles plied the road sites from January through to June 2012 whilst haulage trucks trafficked the sites between April and June 2012 and dominated (33-54%) the traffic volumes. At RS1 vehicle count totals ranged between 393 (January 2012) and 877 (April 2012). Tractors dominated (54-64%) traffic volume on the roads before April 2012. Haulage trucks were dominant in last three months and in April they constituted 41% of total traffic; 43% in May and 40% in June (Fig. 5). Traffic at RS2 was also dominated (64%) by tractors in January where the total count was 872 and remained constant (600-800) thereafter. Haulage trucks also had the highest proportion of counts after March 2012 (Fig. 5) and accounted for 45% in April; 33% May and 54% June.





Traffic volume was most variable at RS3 and a minimum total count of 281 was observed in March 2012 (Fig. 5). Haulage trucks contributed to 36% of vehicular traffic in April, 44% in May and 52% in June.

231

232 4. DISCUSSION

233 STILLAGE AS A ROAD DUST SUPPRESSANT

234

235 In this study, application of molasses stillage significantly reduced settlable road dust 236 accumulation by between 77% (steep terrain; RS1) and 83% (gentle terrain; RS3). On 237 steeper road terrain there was more traction (for ascending) and breaking (descending) 238 required by vehicles. Both processes increased wearing of applied stillage coat which 239 reduced its effectiveness and more dust deposition rates were consequently observed at 240 steeper sites. The mineral composition of stillage makes it an effective soil binder and 241 improves soil structure. Stillage is a lignin based dust suppressant which contains 242 magnesium lignosulphonates, humic acid (2.36%) and fulvic acid (12.5%) that bind soil 243 particles together due to a combination of chemical and physical interactions [23]. This 244 agrees with the earlier findings that lignin based suppressants like stillage outperform other 245 suppressants [24]. Lignin has been reported to be even more effective when incorporated 246 into the road material but such an operation would raise maintenance costs in the short term 247 [25]. The lipids found in stillage increase the mass of the soil particles precluding their 248 suspension. Stillage also contain sugars which are hygroscopic and attract moisture from the 249 atmosphere when the air is humid enough. This was likely to be the case during the first 250 three months of the study period which coincided with the tail part of the rainy season in the 251 country when humidity is high. The hygroscopic nature of the stillage was therefore 252 attributable to the reduced dust accumulation rates and its effect was more sustainable due 253 to multiple advantages.

254

255 WATER AS A DUST SUPPRESSANT

256

257 Surface moisture content in unpaved roads plays a key role in dust control and water in the 258 surface of unpaved roads causes particles to aggregate and the cohesion of the wetted 259 particles even persists after the water has evaporated [17]. In this study, road segments 260 treated with water had lower dust deposition rates than control segments at the three road 261 sites. Water application reduced dust settling rates by at most 39% (gentle sites) and was less effective than molasses stillage (Figs 2, 3, and 4). According to Flocchii et al. (1994) 262 263 cited in [6], raising of road surface moisture contents to more than 2% through addition of water led to a reduction (> 86%) in emission rates of PM compared to the control surface 264 265 (with a mean moisture content of 0.56%). Research has shown that aggregate surface 266 moisture content was the best predictor of dust control efficiency in unpaved roads [26]. 267 Water adheres to individual soil particles, thus increasing their mass, adding surface tension 268 forces and mitigating suspension [17]. Moisture content affects the ejection of particulates by 269 vehicles, as well as the strength of the road bed and hence its ability to deform under vehicle 270 loading [27]. The water treatment and the control represented contrasting extremes of soil 271 water content of the gravel road surface.

272

273 RAINFALL AND TEMPERATURE EFFECT ON DUST DEPOSITION

274

Rainfall and temperature affected soil moisture dynamics in the surface layer of the roads in the Sugar Estate during the period studied. The dust deposition rates reflected a balance between the main hydrological processes of precipitation (rainfall) and evaporation. In January 2012 a total of 48 mm of rainfall were received, but the lowest rates of dust deposition were observed in February 2012 (the only other month that received rainfall with a paltry total of 5 mm). There was residual moisture carryover from January to February 281 leading to low deposition rates in February (Table 3). The average monthly temperature of 282 30.8 °C recorded from January 2012 to June 2012 influenced the evaporation of the 283 moisture from the road surface. The lower dust deposition rates recorded in February were 284 also attributed to lower mean monthly temperature of 31.3 °C recorded compared to 32.7 °C 285 in January and the respective mean evaporation rates were 6mm/day and 7mm/day [28]. 286 On the basis of mean temperature and mean daily evaporation figures, higher evaporation 287 water losses were therefore experienced in January leading to drying of road surfaces 288 resulting in higher dust deposition rates at all the three road sites despite receiving more 289 rainfall. Similar observations were reported from studies on the effects of climatic factors on 290 dust emissions [29,30,31].

291

292 VEHICLE TRAFFIC AND DUST DEPOSITION

293 294 The type and volume of vehicular traffic was in relation to agricultural activities taking place 295 in any given month [28]. Sugarcane harvesting in the Zimbabwean lowveld begins in April 296 and this is associated with larger volume of haulage traffic that emit the most dust from the 297 unpaved roads. Before April, the agriculture activities were executed by tractor drawn 298 implements, such as cultivators. Supervision light vehicles also frequented the roads before 299 onset of harvesting from January to March 2012. Between January and March, the traffic 300 was dominated by slower (tractors) and lighter traffic than the period when harvesting (April 301 to June 2012) was in full swing when haulages were dominant and more dust was emitted 302 and deposited at all road sites. This is in agreement with the findings of [6] who reported that 303 dust emission factors showed a strong linear dependence on speed and vehicle weight. A 304 study by Ediagbonya et al in Nigeria revealed that most particulate pollution emanates from 305 various activities such as resuspension of dust from unpaved roads by traffic [32]. This is 306 also in agreement with the findings of this study.

307

308 5. CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

309

310 The study evaluated the effectiveness of molasses stillage application on gravel roads in 311 suppressing dust emissions and its effect on the environment in a sugarcane estate. 312 Molasses stillage was a better suppressant than water over the six month study period. In 313 some months the dust deposition rates on roads treated with water compared well to the untreated sections because of the high temperatures which evaporated the moisture from 314 315 the roads quickly. The control road segments had high levels of dust deposition through-out 316 the monitoring period hence the rate of dust deposition on productive land is high. The 317 application of stillage to roads is a potentially sustainable practical method for dust 318 suppression for reduced emission of particulate matter into the atmosphere. Further studies 319 to evaluate the life span of an effective stillage road coat need to be carried out as this study 320 was only done over six months.

- 321 322
- 323

Nchouji FD. The Effect of Road Transport Network on Agricultural Produce Marketing in
 Giwa Local Government of Kaduna State. *The Information Manager Journal* 2008; 8(2):6-11.
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/tim.v8i2.44818</u>

327

328 2. Edvardsson K. Evaluation of Dust Suppressants for Gravel Roads: Methods Development
 329 and Efficiency Studies, Unpublished PhD Thesis, Department of Civil and Architectural
 330 Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm. 2010:13-19.

331

332 3. Burningham, Stankevich. Why road maintenance is important and how to get it done.
333 World Bank Washington D.C. 2005. Accessed on 09 February 2012.

334 Available: http:// <u>www.worldbank.org</u>

335
336 4. US EPA. Fugitive Dust Sources. U.S Environmental Protection Agency Research
337 Triangle, NC. 2005 Accessed : 4 May 2012.

338 Available <u>http://www.epa.gov</u>

339

5. Addo JQ, Sanders TG, Melanie C. Road Dust Suppression: Effect on Maintenance
Stability, Safety and the Environment Phases 1–3, Colorado State University, Fort Collins,
Colorado. 2004.

343

6. Gillies JA, Etyemezian V, Kuhns H, Nikolic D, Gillette DA. Effects of Vehicle
Characteristics on Unpaved Road Dust Emission. Journal of Atmospheric Environment.
2005; 39:2341-47. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.064</u>

348 7. Sheridan J, Noske PJ, Whipp RK, Wijesinghe N. The effect of truck traffic and road water
349 content on sediment delivery from unpaved forest roads. Journal of Hydrological Processes.
350 2006; 20(8):1683–99. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5966</u>

- 351
 352 8. ISO (International Standards Organisation) 4225. Air quality General aspects. Geneva.
 353 1994.
- 354

360

355 9. Chow JC, Watson JG, Fugitive emissions add to air pollution. Environ Protection. 1991; 3:
26-31.
357

358 10. World Health Organisation. Health risks of particulate matter from long-range
 359 transboundary air pollution. WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen. 2006.

11. Machian J, Navas A. Soil pH changes induced by contamination by magnesium oxides
 dust. Journal of Land Degradation and Development. 2000; 11: 37-50.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002

12. Frazer L. Down with road dust. Journal of Environment Health Perspectives. 2003; 111:
892- 95. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.111-a892</u>

367

374

364

368 13. EMA (Environmental Management Agency). Environmental Management Act Chapter
369 20:27 Statutory Instrument 72: Air pollution control Regulations. Government Printers,
370 Harare. 2007.
371

372 14. SANS (South African National Standard) 1929. Ambient air quality – Limits for common
 373 pollutants. South African Bureau of Standards Edition 1.1. Pretoria. 2005.

15. Donnges C, Edmonds G, Johannessen B. Rural Road Maintenance - Sustaining the
Benefits of Improved Access (SETP 19). International Labour Office, Bangkok. 2007.

16. Larkin Laboratory. Calcium Chloride and Magnesium Chloride for Dust Control. Midland,
 Michigan. 1986.

380

381 17. DCURC (Dust Control for unpaved roads Canada). Federation of Canadian
 382 Municipalities and National Research Council. 2005. Accessed: 8 January 2012.

383 Available: http://www.infraguide.ca.

385 18. Thenoux G, Vera S. Evaluation of hexahydrated magnesium chloride performance as 386 chemical stabilizer of granular road surfaces. Journal of the Transportation Research Board. 387 2003; 1819: 44-51. http://dx.doi.org/10.3141/1819b-06 388 19. DMS (Department of Meteorological Services). Climate handbook of Zimbabwe 389 Suppliment No.5. Harare: Government Printers. 1981:119-60. 390 391 392 20. Stagman JG. An Outline of the Geology of Rhodesia. Rhodesia Geological Survey 393 Bulletin. 1978; 80: 126. 394 395 21. Nyamapfene KW. Soils of Zimbabwe. Nehanda Publishers, Harare. 1991. 396 397 22. ASTM Standard D1739. Standard Test Method for the Collection and Measurement of 398 Dustfall (Settleable Particulate Matter). ASTM International, West Conshohocken. 2010. 399 Accessed: 19 August 2013. 400 Available: http://www.astm.org/Standards/D1739.htm 401 402 23. Cortez L, Freire WJ, Rosillo-Calle F. Biodigestion of vinasse in Brazil. International Sugar 403 Journal. 1998; 100(1196):403-13. 404 405 24. Addo JQ, Sanders TG. Effectiveness and Environmental Impact of Road Dust 406 Suppressants. Department of Civil Engineering Colorado State University Fort Collins. 1993: 407 6-10. 408 409 25. MDNR (Missouri Department of Natural Resources). Air Pollution Control Program report 410 No.6. 2006. Accessed : 27 April 2013 411 Available: www.dnr.mo.gov/env/apcp. 412 413 26. Johnson EN, Olson RC. Best Practices for Dust Control on Aggregate Roads. Final 414 report. Minnesota Department of Transportation, Minnesota. 2009. Accessed: 27 April 2013. 415 Available: http://www.lrrb.org/PDF/200904.pdf. 416 417 27. Rosbury KD, Zimmer RA. Cost-Effectiveness of Dust Controls Used on Unpaved Haul. 418 PEDCo Environmental, Inc. 1983. 419 420 28. Lecler NL. Charts and calendars for irrigation scheduling of sugarcane in the Zimbabwe 421 lowveld. Proceedings of South African Sugar Technology Association. 2003; 77: 364-68. 422 423 29. Grini AG, Myhre CS, Zender J, Sundet K, Isaksen I, Model simulations of dust sources 424 and transport in the global troposphere: Effects of soil erodibility and wind speed variability. 425 Journal of Geophysical Research. 2005; 110: 1-14. 426 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005037 427 428 30. Zender CS, Kwon EK. Regional contrasts in dust emission responses to climate. Journal 429 of Geophysical Research. 2005; 110: 1-7. 430 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005501 431 432 31. Ediagbonya TF, Ukpebor EE, Okieimen FE, Okungbowa GE. Comparative study of TSP, 433 Inhalable particles and Respirable particles in Urban and Rural areas in Niger Delta Region 434 of Nigeria. Greener Journal of Physical Sciences. 2012; 2(3): 89-96. 435 436 32. Ediagbonya TF, Ukpebor EE, Okieimen FE. Heavy metal in inhalable and respirable 437 particles in urban atmosphere. Environmental Skeptics and Critics. 2013; 2(3): 108-17.