



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12059
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE NUTRITIVE VALUES OF <i>Pelophylax esculentus</i> (EDIBLE FROG) FOUND IN HANYAN GWARI, MINNA NIGER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Comments 1-3: The research is very interesting but but the title need to be reframe, also, the recommendation and conclusion should tilt toward comparative study of diff spp of edible frog if i may suggest</p> <p>Comments 16-18; The danger of the frog was not stated in any part of this study. Please do</p> <p>Comments 29-31: study.</p> <p>Comments 29-31: diabetic patient</p>	Corrections/suggestions were noted and effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If there any ethical issue, please clarify	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		