



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_12059
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF THE NUTRITIVE VALUES OF <i>Pelophylax esculentus</i> (EDIBLE FROG) FOUND IN HANYAN GWARI, MINNA NIGER STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper requires some minor editorial corrections. Some of the area have been highlighted.</p> <p>The units of the variables measured e.g mineral contents should be included in the Tables.</p> <p>What type of statistical analysis was used? Please state it and discuss the implications.</p> <p>The references should follow the Journal format.</p>	<p>Observations/corrections were noted and effected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper should be accepted for publication after the corrections have been effected.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		